# Scrutinizing the use of Emergent Intelligence for Geometric Analogy solving

### Dilhan Jayantha Thilakarathne



Faculty of Information Technology

University of Moratuwa

August 2011

# Scrutinizing the use of Emergent Intelligence for Geometric Analogy solving

### Dilhan Jayantha Thilakarathne



Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Information Technology, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka for the partial fulfilment of the requirements of the Degree of MSc in Artificial Intelligence

#### **Declaration**

I declare that this dissertation does not incorporate, without acknowledgment, any material previously submitted for a Degree or a Diploma in any University and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organization.

Dilhan Jayantha Thilakarathne

Name of Student

Signature of Student

Date:



Supervised by

Prof. Asoka S. Karunanada

Name of Supervisor(s)

Signature of Supervisor(s)

Date:

### **Dedication**

This thesis is dedicated to my

Father & Mother, who had never failed to give me love & moral support.



### Acknowledgements

It is with utmost pleasure that I take the opportunity to extend my gratitude to everyone who helped me to complete this thesis successfully as I am not a self-made man, and undoubtedly I cannot forget those who have sacrificed for me to get where I am today.

Prof. Asoka S. Karunananda, who is my project supervisor, has been of a great guidance at all the time. I want to thank him sincerely for his ability to let me realise my ideas and at the same time gave competent guidance that has been essential to my work. I respect his insight and the way he influences to model my knowledge by discussing the essence of relevant contents scientifically with philosophical background as well. Moreover, guided me through the milestones of the thesis in an appropriate path and for looking through the entire process suggesting and commenting over the progress; improving the quality and the content of the documentation.

I would also like to thank the IT Faculty of University of Moratuwa, and all the staff Electronic Theses & Dissertations members of Moratuma in Al programme, for giving me a wonderful opportunity to follow this program. Specially, I would like to thank Prof. Prian Dias, who was my Philosophy of Science lecturer who prepared the environment for me to think philosophically driven research. Furthermore, I cannot forget my undergraduate university, University of Colombo, which gave all the quality in my life just not to do a thing right but to do the right thing in the right manner.

Furthermore, my parents who gave enormous support and had faith in me were of a great strength to me all throughout my life on success. My brother and sister supported me all the time when I required their support, and provided me the time that I would not have been gotten. M. K. Dissanayaka who is a best friend of mine, supported me by providing valuable thoughts.

Thank You All!!

Dilhan J. Thilakarathne *University of Moratuwa*July 2011

#### **Abstract**

As a natural quandary it is a question that who are humans? It is possible to justify those humans, who are taxonomically known as Homo-sapiens; are nothing but analogy making species. Analogy making is the fundamental practice of the human cognitive process that makes to understand new things or knowledge based on what have been already understood. Abstract reasoning, language, introspection, problem solving, etc. all are merely governed by the analogy making process. Even though this is an innate ability of humans, embedding this feature into a computational analogy solving model has been a research challenge. Various types of analogy related research has been started from Thomas G. Evans 'ANALOGY' program in 1964; and a number of sophisticated approaches have been published like; Structure Mapping Engine, Analogical Constraint Matching Engine, Incremental Analogy Machine, Associative Memory-Based Reasoning, etc. Nevertheless, most of these works mainly have been driven by heuristic search strategies with rule based approaches, which are fundamentally away from a general analogy making process; though successfully accounted for many applications. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

Intelligence is the central property that can be inspected through any analogy making, and the main skill that differentiates human beings from all the other beings. Therefore, there is a core relation between analogy making and the intelligence. Intelligence can be considered as an emergent property with the holist view point that emerges when conditions met. Therefore, analogy making can be modelled through emerge intelligence by taking everything as conditioned phenomena. This approach has been enriched with five aggregates that explain in Buddhist Philosophy namely 'Form' (rūpa), 'Sensation' (vedanā), 'Perception' (saññā), 'Mental formations' (sañkhāra), and 'Consciousness' (viññāna). First any phenomenon can be considered as a 'Form' consisting of receiving knowledge of the outside world through the senses; and through that 'Sensation' will be developed that bridges the internal sense organs with external sense objects. That event leads to 'Perception' that makes the assimilation of sensation with ideas that pre-exist. Through that 'Mental formations' will be activated that will develop thoughts; which is the core in conditioned phenomena finally to form 'Consciousness'. In analogy making too; a phenomena/problem can be considered as a form and through sensation that will be

mapped into the computer understandable form (a domain mapping) that will be contributed to relate with existing ontology to get the perceptions. That will be further reason out with concept formation techniques to emerge intelligence.

This approach has implemented with Multi Agent technology by utilising its emergent ability through communication and negotiation features. Furthermore, the approach suggested for analogy making in this research is general though it has been scrutinized only for geometric domain for evaluation purposes. The model assumed that it has been given an analogy in the form of first order logic through the abstraction. That will be bound with ontology to develop appropriate perceptions to interpret the analogy in various manners. Those interpreted data will be going through a formation process that will be empowered by various reasoning strategies mainly through relations. These independent actions communicate appropriately when needed consciously and make an analogy. Furthermore, this approach has been evaluated in the geometric analogy domain parallel with eight to nine year old students and it is found to be that the results obtained from the system is substantial.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

Keywords: Analogy, Emergent Intelligence, Five Aggregates, Multi Agent System.

## **Contents**

			Page
Chapte	er 1 In	troduction to Intelligence and Analogy making	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	The Aim	4
	1.3	The Objectives	4
	1.4	The Scope	4
	1.5	Resource requirements	5
	1.6	Structure of the thesis	5
	1.7	Summary	6
Chapte	er 2 O	verview & background of analogy modelling	7
	2.1	Introduction	7
	2.2	Development of analogy modelling in early days	8
	5 6	2.2.1 UANALIGEY of Thomas Gwayansi Lanka.	8
	2.3	Structure Mapping Theosere Medissertations	10
		2.3.1 The Structure Mapping Engine	11
		2.3.2 Many Are Called but Few Are Chosen	12
	2.4	Analogical Constraint Mapping Engine	13
	2.5	Analogue Retrieval by Constraint Satisfaction	14
	2.6	Incremental Analogy Machine	15
	2.7	A Model for Geometric Analogies using Attribute Matching	16
	2.8	A Structure Mapping driven Geometric Analogy solver	17
	2.9	Learning and Inference with Schemas and Analogies	18
	2.10	CopyCat	19
	2.11	Associative Memory Based Reasoning	20
	2.12	Overall discussion about Analogy Models	21
	2.13	Summary	23
Chapte	er 3 Ph	nilosophy for intelligence & cognition	24
	3.1	Introduction	24
	3.2	Bio inspired computing	24

	3.2.1 Emergent Intelligence	25
3.3	Cognition through five aggregates in Buddhist philosophy	26
3.4	Summary	27
Chapter 4 E	Emergent intelligence based approach to analogy making	28
4.1	Introduction	28
4.2	Hypothesis	28
4.3	Input	29
4.4	Output	30
4.5	Processes	31
4.6	Features	33
4.7	Summary	34
Chapter 5 C	Conceptual design of analogy making	35
5.1	Introduction	35
5.2	Abstract model on Five Aggregates for analogy making	36
	5.2.1 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	38
( \$	32.2 Esensationic Theses & Dissertations	39
	5.2.3 Wereeptionmrt.ac.lk	40
	5.2.4 Mental Formation	40
	5.2.5 Consciousness	41
	5.2.6 Ontology	42
5.3	Summary	42
Chapter 6 In	mplementation for geometric analogy reasoning	43
6.1	Introduction	43
6.2	Agent Development Framework	43
	6.2.1 Java Agent DEvelopment Framework(JADE)	45
6.3	Implementation of the abstract model of Five Aggregates	47
	6.3.1 Geometric Ontology	47
	6.3.2 Sensation agent	49
	6.3.3 Perception agent	51
	6.3.4 Mental formation agent	53
	6.3.5 Consciousness agent	54

6.4	Summary	54
Chapter 7 E	valuation	55
7.1	Introduction	55
7.2	Experimental setup	55
7.3	Experimental results	57
7.4	Summary	57
Chapter 8 C	Conclusion &Future work	58
8.1	Introduction	58
8.2	Overall analysis	58
8.3	Achievement of Objectives	59
8.4	Limitations of the system	61
8.5	Summary	62
References		63
	Java Algent DEvelopment (JADE) Eraniework a.	67
A.1	PurodEction Theses & Dissertations	67
A.2	FIPA Specifications ac.lk	67
A.3	JADE Runtime System	68
	A.3.1 Distributed Agent Platform	68
	A.3.2 Message Delivery Subsystem	69
	A.3.3 Address Management and Caching	70
	A.3.4 User-Defined Ontologies and Content Languages	71
	A.3.5 Tools for Platform Management and Monitoring	71
A.4	JADE Agent Development Model	72
	A.4.1 From Agent Theory to Class Design	72
	A.4.2 JADE Agent Concurrency Model	73
	A.4.3 Using Behaviours to Build Complex Agents	75
Appendix B	: Geometric ontology	77
B.1	Introduction	77
Appendix C	: Analogy problems for verification	82
C.1	Introduction	82

## **List of Figures**

	Page
Figure 2.1: The Blind Men and the Elephant; Drawing by Friedel Stern	7
Figure 2.2: Geometric Analogy problem [7]	9
Figure 2.3: The MAC/FAC model	12
Figure 2.4: Two-stage structure mapping	17
Figure 2.5: Illustration of proposition structure in LISA [24]	18
Figure 2.6: Primitive level analogy making models	22
Figure 4.1: Semantic net representations of geometric analogies	30
Figure 4.2: Five aggregates that forma being	31
Figure 5.1: Abstract model on Five Aggregates as an automaton	36
Figure 5.2: Abstract model on Five Aggregates for analogy making	37
Figure 6.1: Geometric Ontology (Graphical view)	48
Figure 6.2: Geometric Ontology (Class hierarchy)	49
Figure 7.1: Geometric analogy problem	56
Figure A.1. Son ware architecture of a JADE Agent Platform	69
Figure A.2: JADE agent architecture, ac.1k	74
Figure C.1: Analogy problem 1	82
Figure C.2: Analogy problem 2	83
Figure C.3: Analogy problem 3	83
Figure C.4: Analogy problem 4	84
Figure C.5: Analogy problem 5	84
Figure C.6: Analogy problem 6	85
Figure C.7: Analogy problem 7	85
Figure C.8: Analogy problem 8	86
Figure C.9: Analogy problem 9	86
Figure C.10: Analogy problem 10	87

## **List of Tables**

	Page
Table 1.1: Wave particle duality nature of light [5]	2
Table 1.2: Thesis Outline	5
Table 2.1: Predicates Mapped in Different Types of Domain Comparison [16]	10
Table 2.2: Main types of AMBR agents [32]	20
Table 2.3: AMBR basic mechanisms [32]	21
Table 7.1: Experimental results	57

