# **UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA**

# EVALUATION OF ADVANCE PAYMENT SYSTEMS

BY

S. J. TALAGALA



**SUPERVISED BY** 

DR. A.A.D.A.J.PERERA

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, MORATUWA, SRI LANKA.

# EVALUATION OF ADVANCE PAYMENT SYSTEMS

BY

#### SHARM JANAKA TALAGALA

# THE THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT

**OF** 

# THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING



THE FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

SUPERVISED BY DR. A.A.D.A.J. PERERA

67989

um Thesis

We accept this thesis as confirming to the required standard

**University of Moratuwa** 

OCTOMBER 1997

624 "97" 624.003.2



To My Son "Taraka"

Ŀ

Daughter "Taruka"



#### ABSTRACT.

The research was conducted to evaluate the advance payment methods in the construction sector. Data were collected from different contractors, consultants & clients to analyse the contractor's financing state and the effect of the advance payment methods on the contractor's finance. Interviews and the documentary research are the two methods adopted for the data collection.

From the data analysed during this research it is clear that the almost of all contractors are facing to cash flow problems during the projects. The existing advance payment practice of providing 20% advance at the beginning of the project is not sufficient to overcome these cash flow problems. Therefore improvement in the advance payment system is urgently required for the development of the construction sector in Sri Lanka.

The main conclusions of the research were that a better advance payment proposal couldn't be generalize. The three advance payment proposals considered in the research were:

- (A) Providing advance payment of 20% at the beginning.
- (B) 20% advance at the beginning and another 10% advance at the middle third of the project; and
- (C) Providing 20% advance & the material advances for the main construction materials.

The suitable advance payment proposal has a greater bearing on the variable factors for the contractors finance. These variable factors and their effect on each of these advance payment proposals are analysed and presented.

By observing in to the data analysed it's clear that the advance payment system for each and every contract, must be decided by considering limitations of the contractors finance. Presently the client & the consultant decide the advance payment method. But this system has to be modified, as the contractor will be able to request for his preferred advance payment option. By looking in to the other possible alternatives available for the contractors,( for to find the finance); going for his preferred advance payment system is profitable for the contractor even after allowing of a discount to the client. Therefore the existing advance payment system can be used to improve the contractors finance without increasing the advance payment percentage.

However allowing the selection of the advance payment option to the contractor may create an another difficulty in evaluation of the contracts at the tendering stage. If the request for discount percentages, for each and every advance payment option &their modifications (Delayed advance payment recovery or reduced payback period )are included in the tender document the evaluation of the tender can be done under the grounds of equity.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

I am grateful to Dr. AADAJ Perera, senior lecturer, University Of Moratuwa, who is my supervisor, for the support and the guidance extended to me.

Also I am grateful to my superiors and friends who offered me their fullest cooperation and assistance throughout the research.

My special thanks to my wife "Kumudu" who helped in several ways to prepare this document.

Finally I am indebted to Miss B.A. P.R.Kumari who helped me in word processing & editing this document.



#### **CONTENTS**

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	(i)
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	(ii)
CONTENTS	(iii)
LIST OF TABLES	(ix)
LIST OF FIGURE	(xi)
CHAPTER 01 INTRODUCTION	(01)
1.1 BACK GROUND	(02)
1.2 OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY	(03)
1.3 METHODOLOGY  University of Moreatuwa, Sri Lanka	(03)
1.4 SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS	(04)
1.4.1 COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT A PROPOSALS	DVANCE PAYMENT (04)
1.4.2 OTHER POSSIBLE AVAILABILIT CASH FLOW.	TES TO IMPROVE THE (06)
1.4.3 DEVELOPING A BETTER ADVAI MODEL.	NCE PAYMENT (08)
1.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	(09)
1.6 USEFULNESS OF THE STUDY	(09)
1.7 GUIDE TO THE REPORT	(09)

СНАРТЕ	R 02 LITER	RATURE REVIEW	(11)
2.1	BACK GROUND		(12)
2.2	THE DEVELOPM CONTRACTORS	MENT & THE PROBLEMS FACED BY THE	(13)
		DEVELOPMENT OF THE CONSTRUCTION USTRY	(13)
	2.2.2 STRU	JCTURE OF THE FIRMS	(14)
	2.2.2.1	DELAYS	(15)
	2.2.2.2	PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	(16)
	2.2.2.3	CASH FLOW PROBLEMS	(16)
	2.2.2.4	FINANCING OF WORKING CAPITAL & LACK OF FACILITIES	F (17)
	2.2.2.5	JOINT MEASUREMENTS	(18)
	2.2.2.6	CREDIT FACILITIES	(18)
	2.2.2.7	CONTRACTOR'S ASSOCIATIONS & THE TRAIN FOR CONTRACTORS	ING (19)
	2.2.2.8	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CLIENT AND THE CONTRACTOR	HE (20)
2.3	THE WAY THAT PROBLEMS	T JAPANESE CONTRACTORS FACE THE FINANC	IAL (20)
2.4	THE WAY THAT	SINGAPORE CONTRACTORS FACE THE OBLEMS	(23)
2.5	THE EXISTING	ADVANCE PAYMENT METHODS IN SRI LANKA	(25)
	2.5.1: ME	THODS OF PAYING MOBILIZATION ADVANCE	(26)
	2.5.1	.1: EXISTING ADVANCE PAYMENT SYSTEM	(26)
	2.5.1	.2: ADVANCE PAYMENT PROPOSED BY THE ICTAD	(27)

2.5.1.3: ALTERNATIVE ADVANCE PAYMENT SYSTEMS	(28)
2.5.2: FACTORS AFFECTING THE FINANCIAL STATE OF THE CONTRACTOR	(29)
2.5.2.1: PAY BACK PERIOD	(29)
2.5.2.2: BILLING DURATION	(29)
2.5.2.3: RETENTION	(29)
2.5.2.4: CREDIT FACILITIES	(30)
2.5.2.5: RECOVERY OF ADVANCE PAYMENTS	(30)
2.5.2.6: PROJECT DURATION	(30)
2.5.3: OTHER POSSIBLE AVAILABILITIES TO MODIFY THE ADVANCE PAYMENT SYSTEM	E (31)
2.5.3.1: OBTAIN THE FUNDS FROM THE BANK	(31)
2.5.3.2: CHANGE THE ADVANCE PAYMENT SYSTEM TO MINIMIZE THE MAXIMUM NEGATIVE CASH FLOW.	(32)
2.5.3.3: IMPROVE THE CLIENTS PAYMENTS BY REQUESTING A LESSER TIME DURATION FOR THE PAY BACK PERIOD.	(32)
2.6 SUMMARY	(33)
CHAPTRE 03 DATA ANALYSIS	(34)
3.1 SELECTION OF PROJECT	(35)
3.1.1: INTRODUCTION	(35)
3.1.2: DETAILS OF THE PROJECT	(35)
3.1.3: PROJECT AFTER IT'S COMPLETION (v)	(36)

.

,

3.2 PROCEDURE FOR ANALYSIS	(36)
3.2.1: VALUE OF THE WORK	(37)
3.2.2: COST OF THE WORK	(37)
3.2.3: CREDIT FACILITIES OR PAYMENT DELAYS FOR MATERIAL	(39)
3.2.4: CALCULATIONS FOR COST OF THE WORK DURING THE 1 <sup>st</sup> MONTH	(40)
3.2.5: ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THE ANALYSIS	(41)
3.2.6: ANALYSIS FOR THE COST OF THE WORK	(42)
3.2.7: CALCULATION OF NET FLOW OF THE PROJECT	(42)
3.2.8: METHODS OF PAYING THE MOBILIZATION ADVANCE	(44)
3.2.9: THE FACTORS THAT AFFECT THE FINANCIAL STATE OF THE CONTRACTOR	(44)
3.2.9.1: COMPARISON OF THREE ADVANCE PAYMED PROPOSALS WITH THE VARIATION OF THE PROJECT DURATION	NT (45)
3.2.9.2: COMPARISON OF THREE ADVANCE PAYMED PROPOSALS WITH THE VARIATION OF THE RECOVERY FOR ADVANCE PAYMENT AND THE RETENTION PAYMENT	NT (51)
3.2.9.3: COMPARISON OF THREE ADVANCE PAYMEN PROPOSALS WITH THE VARIATION OF THE PAY BACK PERIOD	NT (55)
CHAPTER 04EVALUATION OF RESULTS	(57)
4.1: INTRODUCTION	(58)
4.2: COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT ADVANCE PAYMENT OPTION (vi)	(58)

WITH THE VARIED PRO	DJECT DURATION. (59	9)
	ISON METHOD: BY USING MAXIMUM 'E CASH FLOW (59	
	ISON METHOD: BY USING THE TIME KIMUM NEGATIVE CASH FLOW (6	51
PROPOSALS WITH TH	FERENT ADVANCE PAYMENT E VARIATION OF THE RECOVERY NT AND THE RETENTION (6	53)
4.2.2.1: COMPARISON NEGATIVE	METHOD: BY USING THE MAXIMUM (6	<b>53</b> )
4.2.2.2: COMPARISON : MAXIMUM NE	METHOD: BY USING THE TIME FOR GATIVE (6	<b>i5</b> )
	METHOD: BY USING THE AVERAGE GATIVE PER MONTH (6	i <b>5</b> )
	FERENT ADVANCE PAYMENT E VARIATION OF THE PAY BACK (6)	<b>57</b> )
4.2.3.1 :COMPARISON I NEGATIVE	METHOD: BY USING THE MAXIMUM (6	5 <b>7</b> )
4.2.3.2 :COMPARISON I MAXIMUM NE	METHOD: BY USING THE TIME FOR GATIVE (6	i <b>8</b> )
	METHOD: BY USING THE AVERAGE GATIVE PER MONTH (6	(8)
CHAPTER 05 OTHER POSSIBLE AVA CASH FLOW OF A PRO payment)	AILABILITIES TO IMPROVE THE DJECT(In addition to the advance	9)
AND THE PROJECT DURATI	TRACTORS FINANCING ABILITY ON (7: vii)	<b>'0</b> )

PAYMENT	E (72)
5.2.1: OBTAIN THE FUNDS FROM THE BANK	(72)
5.2.1.1: BANK LOANS AND BANK OVERDRAFT	(72)
5.2.1.2: OBTAINING THE FUNDS THROUGH THE BILL DISCOUNTING SYSTEM	(79)
5.2.2: CHANGE THE ADVANCE PAYMENT SYSTEM TO MINIMIZE THE MAXIMUM NEGATIVE CASH FLOW	(83)
5.2.3: IMPROVE THE CLIENTS PAYMENTS BY REQUESTING A LESSER TIME DURATION FOR THE PAY BACK PERIOD	(84)
CHAPTER CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH WORK	(85)
6.1 CONCLUSIONS	(86)
6.1.1 COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT ADVANCE PAYMENT PROPOSALS  University of Moralium, Sri Lanka.  Electronic Theses & Dissertations	(86)
6.1.2 POSSIBLE MODIFIACTIONS TO THE ADVANCE PAYMENT PROPOSALS	(87)
6.2: RECOMMENDATIONS	(90)
6.3: LIMITATIONS AND THE FUTURE RESEARCH WORK	(91)
APPENDIX	(94)



## LIST OF TABLES.

		PAGE
TABLE 1.1	SUITABILITY OF ADVANCE PAYMENT OPTION WITH THE PROJECT DURATION	(4)
TABLE 1.2	EFFECT OF THE DELAYED ADVANCE PAYMENT RECOVERY	(5)
TABLE 1.3	EFFECT OF THE REDUCTION OF PAYBACK PERIOD FROM TWO MONTHS TO ONE MONTH	(5)
TABLE 1.4	THE EFFECT OF THE BANK O/D FACILITY OVER THE CONTRACTORS FINANCIAL STATE	(6)
TABLE 1.5	EFFECT OF BILL DISCOUNTING OVER THE CONTRACTORS FINANCIAL STATE	(7)
TABLE 3.1	DURATION VS. CUM. PROJECT VALUE	(37)
TABLE 3.2	SUMMARY OF COST DATA THROUGH THE ANALYSIS	(42)
TABLE 3.3	NET FLOW WITH THE DURATION	(43)
TABLE 4.1	DURATION VS. MAX, NEGATIVE STILLING	(59)
TABLE 4.2	DURATION VS. TIME FOR MAXIMUM NEGATIVE	(61)
TABLE 4.3	MAXIMUM NEGATIVE VS. RECOVERY OF ADVANCE PAYMENT	(63)
TABLE 4.4	TIME FOR MAXIMUM NEGATIVE VS. RECOVERY OF ADVANCE PAYMENT	(65)
	AVERAGE NEGATIVE PER MONTH AS % OF THE TOTAL VALUE VS. RECOVERY OF ADVANCE PAYMENT	(66)
TABLE 4.6	MAXIMUM NEGATIVE % VS. PAYBACK PERIOD	(67)
TABLE 4.7	TIME FOR MAXIMUM NEGATIVE VS. PAYBACK PERIOD	(68)
TABLE 4.8	AVERAGE NEGATIVE % PER MONTH Vs PAYBACK PERIOD	(68)
TABLE 5.1	INVESTMENT ABILITY VS EXTRA TIME REQUIRED	(71)

	•	AGE
TABLE 5.2	EFFECT OF BANK (O/D) OVER THE MAXIMUM NEGATIVE	(77)
TABLE 5.3	EFFECT OF BANK (O/D) OVER THE PROFIT OF THE PROJEC	T (77)
TABLE 5.4	COMPARISON OF ADVANCE PAYMENT OPTIONS WITH THE BANK O/D	(78)
TABLE 5.5	VARIATION OF THE MAXIMUM NEGATIVE VALUE WITH BILL DISCOUNTING	(82)
TABLE 5.6	VARIATION OF THE PROFIT OF THE PROJECT WITH THE BILL DISCOUNTING	(82)
TABLE 5.7	COMPARISON OF ADVANCE PAYMENT OPTIONS WITH THE BILL DISCOUNTING	(83)
TABLE 5.8	THE EFFECT OF THE ADVANCE PAYMENT RECOVERY AND PAYBACK PERIOD	(84)
TABLE 6.1	VARIATION OF MAXIMUM NEGATIVE AND THE NET PROFIT OVER THE DIFFERENT ADVANCE PAYMENT OPTIONS	(86)
TABLE 6.2	SUTABILITY OF ADVANCE PAYMENT OPTIONS WITH THE PROJECT DURATION	(87)
TABLE 6.3	EFFECT OF THE DELAYED ADVANCE PAYMENT PECOVER	Y (88)
TABLE 6.4	EFFECT OF THE REDUCTION OF PAYBACK PERIOD FROM	

(88)

. TWO MONTHS TO ONE MONTH

### LIST OF FIGURES.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PAGE
FIG.3.1	NET FLOW VARIATION: 7 MONTHS PROJECT DURATION PROFIT AT THE END.	(47
FIG 3.2	NET FLOW VARIATION: PROJECT DURATION 8 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END	(47)
FIG 3.3	NET FLOW VARIATION :PROJECT DURATION 9 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END	(48)
FIG 3.4	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 10 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END	(48)
FIG 3.5	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 11 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END	(49)
FIG 3.6	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 14 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END	(49)
FIG 3.7	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 21 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END	(50)
FIG 3.8	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 28 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END	(50)
FIG 3.9	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 7 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END: RECOVERY OF MOB. AFTER 25% COMPLETION	(53)
FIG 3.10	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 7 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END: RECOVERY OF MOB. AFTER 30% COMPLETION	(53)
FIG 3.11	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 7 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END: RECOVERY OF MOB. AFTER 40% COMPLETION	(54)
FIG 3.12	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 7 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END: RECOVERY OF MOB. AFTER 50% COMPLETION	(54)

D	٨		τ
Р.	А	U	

	·	
FIG 3.13	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 7 MONTHS PROFIT AT THE END:PAYBACK PERIOD ONE MONTH	(56)
FIG 3.14	NET FLOW VARIATION PROJECT DURATION 7 MONTHS PROJECT DURATION PROFIT AT THE END:PAY BACK PERIOD TWO MONTHS	(56)
FIG 4.1	DURATION VS MAXIMUM NEGATIVE (%Value Are Percentages From The Project Value.)	s (60)
FIG 4.2	DURATION VS TIME FOR MAXIMUM NEGATIVE (%Value Are Percentages From The Project Value.)	(62)
FIG 4.3	RECOVERY AFTER COMPLETION VS MAXIMUM NEGATIVE (%Value Are Percentages From The Project Value.)	(64)
FIG 4.4	RECOVERY AFTER % COMPLETION VS AVERAGE NEGATIVE PER MONTH (%Value Are Percentages From The Project Value.)	E (66)
FIG 5.1	%OF INVESTMENT FROM THE PROJECT VALUE VS % OF EXTIME REQUIRED University of Morenium, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	TRA (71)
FIG 5.2	NET FLOW VARIATION VS BANK OVER DRAFT FOR OPTION PROJECT DURATION SEVEN MONTHS.	V 01 (76)
FIG 5.3	NET FLOW VARIATION VS BANK OVER DRAFT FOR OPTION PROJECT DURATION SEVEN MONTHS.	1 02 (76)
FIG 5.4	NET FLOW VARIATION VS BANK OVER DRAFT FOR OPTION PROJECT DURATION SEVEN MONTHS.	N 03 (77)
FIG 5.5	NET FLOW VARIATION VS BILL DISCOUNTING FOR OPTION PROJECT DURATION SEVEN MONTHS.	(80)
FIG 5.6	NET FLOW VARIATION VS BILL DISCOUNTING FOR OPTION PROJECT DURATION SEVEN MONTHS.	(81)
FIG 5.7	NET FLOW VARIATION VS BILL DISCOUNTING FOR OPTION PROJECT DURATION SEVEN MONTHS.	(81)