

STUDY OF TRANSPORT OF CONTAMINANTS IN A PIPE NETWORK USING MODEL EPANET



by

I.N. Jayatilake
(Nee Hewa)

පුස්තකාලය
මොරටුව විශ්ව විද්‍යාලය, ශ්‍රී ලංකාව
මොරටුව.

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA**

1996

66058

UM Thesis
Coll.

626 "96"
626.823.7



66058

**STUDY OF TRANSPORT OF
CONTAMINANTS IN A PIPE NETWORK
USING MODEL EPANET**

by

**I.N. Jayatilake
(Nee Hewa)**

**This thesis was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, as a partial fulfillment of the
requirements of the degree of Master of Engineering in Hydraulic
Engineering.**



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis has not been previously presented in whole or part
to any University or Institute for a higher degree.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Mrs. M. S. ... and guidance which
has enabled me to complete this study without her help.

I would also thank the Dean of the Faculty of Engineering, Prof. L.L. ...
who enabled me to carry out my research.

I also thank Mr. J.P. ...
I.N. Jayatilake
(08-11-1996)

I would also thank the Head of the Department of Civil Engineering and
Members of the academic staff for advising and guiding me.



ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to get an understanding of the transport of contaminants in a distribution system, using the Model EPANET, in order to meet water quality regulations and customer expectations.

I am greatly indebted and thankful to my Project Supervisor Prof. Mrs. N. Ratnayake for her valuable instructions, corrective advice and guidance which she readily and ungrudgingly gave me right throughout my research work. I would not have been able to complete this study without her help.

I sincerely thank the Dean of the Faculty of Engineering, Prof. L.L. Ratnayake who awarded me a grant to carry out my research.

I also thank Mr. J.P. padmasiri of National Water Supply & Drainage Board, Peradeniya, & Mrs. Malani Jayawardana of National Water Supply & Drainage Board, Ratmalana for giving me valuable information and data with reference to my study.

I should also thank the Head of the Department of Civil Engineering and Members of the academic staff for advising and guiding me.



ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to get an understanding of the transport of contaminants in a water distribution system, using the Model EPANET, in order to meet water quality regulations and customer expectations.

For the application of the model a rural water supply system, having two probable water sources, an elevated tank, three schools and a hospital was selected. The transport of a conservative substance introduced at source, within the system was analysed by varying the hydraulic and water quality parameters for a predetermined demand pattern. The model was also used to design an effective operating program in a two-source distribution system in which pumping schedules were changed to get the contaminant levels below the maximum permissible values. Another application that was studied was the travel of a contaminant introduced at a point in the distribution system other than the source. Here, the study was confined to the behaviour of a conservative tracer introduced at the hospital node. Finally, the behaviour of a non conservative substance entering the system at source was studied. Here the substance considered was residual chlorine, and the decay of the residual chlorine introduced at the source with time and distance was studied. This is helpful in determining the lowest residual chlorine levels in the system, which is a useful parameter in maintaining a safe water supply.

It was revealed that, under average demand conditions, time of travel of a contaminant to any point within the distribution system is the same irrespective of the contaminant concentration, and also it was observed that, if the contaminant is removed promptly the maximum concentration appearing at the nodes in the distribution system can be reduced to a great extent. Thus it was concluded that close monitoring of the source is extremely important in protecting the water supply from contamination.

In the application of the model to the pollution tracing situation, the observation was that, under average flow conditions, the maximum concentration was reached at all nodes located downstream of the node at which the contaminant entered within about 3hrs, irrespective of the concentration of contaminant.

In the two source supply situation, it was observed that the water quality at the critical points in the system could be maintained below the permissible fluoride levels when the pump drawing water from the high fluoride content source was operated during the peak demand period of 13 to 24 hrs only. (with the assumed pump characteristics).

When the behaviour of residual chlorine in the system was studied, it was observed that the model can be used to find out the chlorine booster points to maintain the required minimum residual chlorine content at the distribution ends.

Thus it was concluded that the Model EPANET can satisfactorily be used to study the behaviour of contaminants in a water distribution system, and also we can use this to get an effective operating program in a multi-source system which satisfy the water quality requirements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Water distribution Systems	1
1.2 Objective and scope of Project	1
1.3 Model EPANET	2
Chapter 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Impurities in water	3
(a) Fluoride removal and Fluoridation of water	5
(b) Presence of coliform bacteria and residual chlorine content	11
2.2 Water Borne Diseases	12
2.3 Objective Water quality & Water Quality Standards	12
2.4 Water Quality Monitoring in water distribution systems	13
2.5 Tracing Pollution	14
Chapter 3: METHODOLOGY	16
3.1 Description of the distribution system	16
3.2 Description of the Model	17
3.3 Data collected	24
3.4 Application of the Model	24
(1) Transport of a Conservative Contaminant in the distribution system introduced at the source node	25
(2) Tracing Pollution by a Conservative Contaminant	25
(3) Mixing of water from two sources, of which one has a high concentration of fluoride	26
(4) Transport of a non-conservative substance in the distribution system	26
Chapter 4: RESULTS	27
4.1 Transport of a Conservative Contaminant in the distribution system introduced at the source node	27
4.2 Tracing Pollution by a Conservative Contaminant	27
4.3 Mixing of water from two sources, of which one has a high concentration of fluoride	31
4.4 Transport of a non-conservative substance in the distribution system	32



Chapter 5: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.33

5.1 Transport of a Conservative Contaminant in the distribution system introduced at the source node33

5.2 Tracing Pollution by a Conservative Contaminant33

5.3 Mixing of water from two sources, of which one has a high concentration of fluoride34

5.4 Transport of a non-conservative substance in the distribution system.34

Chapter 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS36

6.1 Conclusions36

6.2 Recommendations for further study37

REFERENCES38

APPENDIX A: FIGURES39

APPENDIX B: TABLES75

Figure 4.1f Graphs of contaminant concentration vs time for case (1) node 1 & node 84

Figure 4.1g Contaminant concentration vs time for node 1 when the simulation period is 72 hrs

Figure 4.2a Blending of dye after 3 hrs for case (2)

Figure 4.2b Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 39 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l

Figure 4.2c Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 39 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l

Figure 4.2d Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 47 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l

Figure 4.2e Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 47 when node 84 concentration = 50mg/l

Figure 4.2f Concentration of dye vs time for node 173 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1 Common impurities of water and their effects.
- Figure 2.2 Distribution of fluoride in the deep wells of Sri Lanka.
- Figure 2.3 Histograms showing fluoride concentration of ground water of sri lanka.
- Figure 2.4 Low cost defluoridator
- Figure 4.1a Map of the network
- Figure 4.1b Map with node ID numbers.
- Figure 4.1c Map with link ID numbers
- Figure 4.1d Penetration of contaminant after 6hrs for case (1)
- Figure 4.1e Graphs of contaminant concentration vs time for case (1)- node 191 & node 14
- Figure 4.1f Graphs of contaminant concentration vs time for case (1)- node 1& node 84
- Figure 4.1g Contaminant concentration vs time for node 1 when the simulation period is 72 hrs
- Figure 4.2a Blending of dye after 3 hrs for case (2)
- Figure 4.2b Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 59 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l
- Figure 4.2c Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 59 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l
- Figure 4.2d Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 47 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l
- Figure 4.2e Concentration of dye vs time for pipe 47 when node 84 concentration = 500mg/l
- Figure 4.2f Concentration of dye vs time for node 175 when node 84 concentration = 1mg/l



- Figure 4.2g Concentration of dye vs time for node 175 when node 84 concentration = 500 mg/l
- Figure 4.3a Demand pattern for node 84 for case (3)
- Figure 4.3b Pump curve for pump 200 for case (3)
- Figure 4.3c Pump curve for pump 250 for case (3)
- Figure 4.3d Penetration of low quality water after 20 hours for case (3)
- Figure 4.3e Percentage of low quality water penetration with time for node 191
- Figure 4.3f Percentage of low quality water penetration with time for node 1
- Figure 4.3g Percentage of low quality water penetration with time for node 84
- Figure 4.3h Percentage of low quality water penetration with time for node 66
- Figure 4.3i Percentage of low quality water penetration with time for node 17
- Figure 4.3j Percentage of low quality water penetration with time for pipe 76
- Figure 4.3k Percentage of low quality water penetration with time for pipe 74
- Figure 4.3l Variation of fluoride concentration for node 1
- Figure 4.3m Variation of fluoride concentration for node 17
- Figure 4.3n Variation of fluoride concentration for node 84
- Figure 4.4a Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 24 hr simulation period for pipe 77
- Figure 4.4b Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 24 hr simulation period for tank 20
- Figure 4.4c Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 24 hr simulation period for node 84
- Figure 4.4d Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 24 hr simulation period for node 141
- Figure 4.4e Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 72 hr simulation period for node 141

Figure 4.4f Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 72 hr simulation period for node 84

Figure 4.4g Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 72 hr simulation period for tank 20

Figure 4.4h Variation of residual chlorine content with time for 72 hr simulation period for pipe 77

Figure 4.4i Output summary for residual chlorine analysis

Figure 4.4j Distribution of residual chlorine within the system after 24 hrs of simulation

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1 WHO guidelines
- Table 2 SLS standards
- Table 3 Input file for case (1)
- Table 4.1b Tabular results for node 1, for case (1) when the simulation period is 72 hrs
- Table 4.3a Input file for case (3)
- Table 4.3b Output results for case (3) at 20 hrs
- Table 4.4 Output results for node 84 when the simulation period is 72 hrs