LB/DON/22/04 Ne 6

# PREDICTION OF ATMOSPHERIC CORROSION OF HOT DIP GALVANIZED STEEL IN SRI LANKA AND THE RELATED ENERGY CONSERVATION

by Indika Gallage

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Mechanical Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Engineering in Energy Technology



521"OH"

Department of Mechanical Engineering
The Faculty of Engineering
University Of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka
January 2004

79622

University of Moratuwa

### DECLARATION.

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and that, to the best of my knowledge and behalf, it contains no material previously published or written by another person nor material, which to substantial extent, has been accepted for the award of any other academic qualifications of a university or other institute of higher learning except where acknowledgement is made in the text.

Indika Gallage



# ТО

My wife Rasika and little son Sakkya



#### **Abstract**

Steel is the primary material in a ufacturing and building industries. At the usable state it is at a high energy level and tends to revert back to its primary energy level, which consists mainly of oxides (iron ore) and this phenomenon is called corrosion. The problem of steel corrosion is a major draw back of using the metal. Corrosion is quantified as corrosion rate that applies for any metal and it can be given as the number of µm from the metal surface lost as corrosion or the mass of steel lost as corrosion in mg/m² or the mass of steel reverted back to its primary energy level.

Galvanizing, which is applying a Zinc coating on Steel, is one of the most reliable and cost effective methods of protecting steel. This method of protecting steel sacrifices one material to protect another, i.e. steel is protected by sacrificing Zinc. The primary reason to use Zinc as a coating to protect steel is the low corrosion rates of Zinc compared with Steel.

The study introduces a brief interpretation of the ratio of zinc corrosion rates to the ratios of steel corrosion rates. This kind of discussion is necessary to get a clear picture of the corrosion rates of the two materials involved.

The concept of embodied energy, which is the quantum of energy required to bring a material to its present state from its "cradle", gives us the liberty to convert a unit mass of a given material to an equivalent quantum of energy. By using the concept of embodied energy, corrosion rate can be interpreted as the rate of loss of energy or simply power loss.

The primary objective of this study is to compare the corrosion rate of Steel and Zinc and interpret it as the loss of energy and compare the energy losses. This



comparison depends on the embedded energy quantity of each material and corrosion rate each material possesses in a given environment. The comparison is done only for the atmospheric environment. This kind of analysis makes it possible to determine the energy efficiency in overcoming steel corrosion by Hot Dip Galvanizing.

The findings of a case study which is a fully Galvanized steel transmission line is presented and the EROI (Energy Return On Investment) is assessed to display the method of assessment of a corrosion protection method in the context of energy. The case study showed an EROI of 7.7.

Though embodied energy values of steel, zinc and even galvanized steel has been established, the corrosion rates of metals in Sri Lanka has not been dealt with in detail up to date. Corrosion rates of steel and zinc in Sri Lanka was essential to complete the study. The establishment of corrosion rates was completed to fulfill the main objective of the study. The corrosion rates of steel, copper and aluminum derived from the corrosion rates of zinc or the "corrosion map" of Sri Lanka is one final and very useful outcome which will be dealt in-depth.

A brief introduction, justification and methodology of the study are presented in the initial chapter. An over view of corrosion of steel, galvanizing, corrosion of zinc, the protection mechanism provided by a zinc coating and predicting corrosion are the main topics relating to the study. Corrosion rates throughout the country are calculated. After establishing corrosion rates the energy conservation done by protecting steel by a hot dip galvanized coating is demonstrated. In this demonstration the use of the findings of the study is highlighted. Finally limitations and findings of the study are discussed. The corrosion rates established for the whole country is given as an appendix.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1   | INTRODUCTION1  |
|-----|--|
| 1.1 | Use of Metals and the Problem of Corrosion1                            |
| 1.2 | Preventing Corrosion1  |
| 1.3 | Corrosion of Metals in Sri Lanka2                                      |
| 1.4 | Main Objectives of the Study2  |
| 1   | .4.1 Constructing a corrosion map of Sri Lanka2                        |
| 1   | .4.2 Include ISO 9223 Atmospheric categorization to the Corrosion map3 |
| 1   | .4.3 Estimating the Energy Conservation done by Hot Dip Galvanizing3   |
| 1.5 | Methodology3   |
| 1.6 | Outcomes4  |
| 2   | CORROSION AND GALVANIZING  |
| 2.1 | Corrosion5   |
| 2.2 | Cost of Corrosion6   |
| 2.3 | Mechanism of Steel Corrosion7  |
| 2.4 | Importance of Hot Dip Galvanizing in Protecting Steel                  |
| 2.5 | History of Galvanizing11   |
| 2.6 | Zinc coatings12  |
| 2   | 2.6.1 Zinc   |
| 4   | 2.6.2 Zinc Coatings12  |
| 2.7 | Superiority of a Hot Dip Galvanizing coating on other galvanized       |
|     | coatings10   |
| 2.8 | Corrosion of Zinc18  |

| 2.8.1  | Electrochemical Nature of Corrosion18                                |
|--------|--|
| 2.9 M  | fechanism of Corrosion Protection by a Zinc Coating in atmospheric   |
| e      | nvironments  |
| 2.9.1  | Barrier corrosion resistance21                                       |
| 2.9.2  | Galvanic protection  |
| 2.10 Z | Zinc Corrosion Resistance in the Atmospheric Environment28           |
| 2.10.1 | Various Atmospheric environments28                                   |
| 2.10.2 | Comparison of corrosion of Steel and Zinc in Different               |
|        | Atmospheres29  |
| 2.10.3 | Influence, of the Level of wetness on the corrosion of zinc coatings |
|        | in atmospheric environments31  |
| 2.10.4 | Influence, of the Sulfur dioxide content in the air on the corrosion |
|        | of zinc coatings in atmospheric environments33                       |
| 2.10.5 |  |
|        | coatings in atmospheric environments33                               |
| 2.10.6 | Influence of Air Salinity or the chloride levels in the air on the   |
|        | corrosion of zinc coatings in atmospheric environments33             |
| 2.10.7 | Influence of Other Factors on the corrosion of zinc coatings in      |
|        | atmospheric environments34   |
| 2.11   | Corrosion Forms35  |
| 2.11.1 | Galvanic Corrosion35   |
| 2.11.2 | Pitting Corrosion36  |
| 2.11.3 | Intergranular corrosion  |
| 2.11.4 | Wet Storage Stain38  |
| 2.12   | Comparison of the Corrosion rate between zinc and other commercial   |
| 1      | metals in different atmospheres39                                    |

| 2. | 13    | Predicting Corrosion.   | 39   |
|----|-------|---|------|
| 2. | 14    | Principles of predicting corrosion                                  | 40   |
| 2. | 15    | Generalized Value method  | 40   |
| 2. | 16    | Geographic mapping method   | 41   |
| 2. | 17    | ISO CORRAG Method   | 42   |
| 2. | 18    | Regression Models   | 42   |
| 2. | 19    | Predicting corrosion by using a corrosion predictor model           | 42   |
|    | 2.19. | 1 Introduction  | .42  |
|    | 2.19  | 2 Neural network technology   | .43  |
|    | 2.19  | 3 Parameters and data   | .44  |
|    | 2.19  | 4 Results   | .46  |
| 3  | E     | STABLISHMENT OF CORROSION VALUES IN SRI LANKA                       | .47  |
| 3  | .1    | Introduction  | .47  |
|    | 3.1.1 | University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Input Data Required             | .49  |
|    | 3.1.2 | 2 Output  | .49  |
| 3  | .2    | Study Methodology   | . 50 |
|    | 3.2.1 | Gathering relevant information                                      | .50  |
|    | 3.2.2 | 2 Salinity Distribution Of Sri Lanka.                               | .57  |
|    | 3.2.3 | Sulfur Dioxide Distribution Concentrations in Sri Lanka             | 59   |
|    | 3.2.4 | The Relative humidity Distribution map pf Sri Lanka                 | 61   |
|    | 3.2.  | The Temperature Distribution map of Sri Lanka                       | 62   |
|    | 3.2.0 | The map of local government authorities                             | 63   |
|    | 3.2.  | 7 The Corrosion map of Sri Lanka for predicting corrosion of zinc   | 64   |
|    | 3.2.  | 8 Estimation of corrosion rates of Carbon Steel , Copper and Alumin | um   |
|    |       | using the zinc corrosion rates                                      | 65   |



| 4   | PRE | DICTINON OF ENERGY CONSERVED BY                              |    |
|-----|-----|--|----|
| GAL | VAN | VIZING   | 68 |
| 4.1 | St  | eel structure as an Energy System                            | 68 |
| 4.2 | E   | valuating Energy Systems using net energy analysis           | 68 |
| 4.3 | E   | nergy and Natural Resource Availability                      | 69 |
| 4.3 | 3.1 | Environmental Energy Cost.                                   | 69 |
| 4.3 | 3.2 | Economic Energy Costs.                                       | 71 |
| 4.3 | 3.3 | Natural Resource Quality.                                    | 73 |
| 4.4 | E   | ROI as a measure of Energy Availability                      | 73 |
| 4.5 | M   | ethods of Net Energy Analysis                                | 75 |
| 4.5 | 5.1 | The Energy Cost Of The Factors Of Production.                | 76 |
| 4.  | 5.2 | Joint Products   | 79 |
| 4.6 | C   | alculation of Embodied Energy in a Galvanized Steel Item     | 80 |
| 4.  | 6.1 | Introduction of a Hot Dip Galvanizing Plant                  | 80 |
| 4.  | 6.2 | The Basic Components of the Galvanizing Plant                | 81 |
| 4.  | 6.3 | Process Layout   | 81 |
| 4.  | 6.4 | Production Process.  | 82 |
| 4.  | 6.5 | Output rate  | 82 |
| 4.7 | E   | nergy Scenario at a Galvanizing Plant                        | 82 |
| 4.  | 7.1 | Main Energy Sources  | 82 |
| 4.8 | Α   | nalysis of Energy Consumed Per Kg of Steel Galvanized        | 83 |
| 4.  | 8.1 | Embodied energy Values.                                      | 84 |
| 4.  | 8.2 | Case Study of the Energy Conservation done by Galvanizing an |    |
|     |     | Electrical Transmission line Structure                       | 85 |

| 4.  | .8.3 | Calculations.              | 85 |
|-----|------|----------------------------|----|
| 4.  | .8.4 | Analysis                   | 87 |
|     |      | Conclusion                 |    |
| 5   | DI   | SCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS   | 89 |
| 5.1 | (    | Outcomes and conclusions   | 89 |
| 5.2 | I    | imitations and Assumptions | 90 |



## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1:Bimetallic Couple  | 7  |
|---|----|
| Figure 2: Galvanic Series of Metals   |    |
| Figure 3: Usage of zinc in the world  |    |
| Figure 4:Zn-Fe Alloy microstructure of a Hot Dip Galvanized Coating             | 13 |
| Figure 5:Microstructure of different zinc coatings                              | 16 |
| Figure 6:Corrosion potentials in solutions of various pH values                 | 18 |
| Figure 7:The edge protection provided by a hot dip galvanized steel coating     | 20 |
| Figure 8: Formation sequence of the major zinc compounds found in the           |    |
| corrosion products formed in four different types of atmospheres                |    |
| under a shelter condition   | 22 |
| Figure 9: Corrosion mechanism in atmospheric environments                       | 23 |
| Figure 10: Corrosion products can have a great diversity of morphology          |    |
| depending on the environment in which they are formed                           | 24 |
| Figure 11:.PD of the samples under various environmental conditions             | 26 |
| Figure 12 Schematic illustration of the different regions on a partially zinc-  |    |
| coated surface  | 27 |
| Figure 13: Corrosion ratio of steel to zinc as a function of the corrosion rate |    |
| of steel in atmospheric environments.   | 31 |
| Figure 14:Corrosion loss Vs time curve for zinc specimens exposed at            |    |
| different times of the year   | 32 |
| Figure 15:Corrosion and air salinity as a function of distance from the         |    |
| seashore  | 34 |
| Figure 16: The expected service life to first maintenance (5% red rust) of iron |    |
| and steel based on the zinc coating thickness and the environment               | 41 |
| Figure 17: A simplified three layer neural network structure                    | 44 |
| Figure 18: Correlation between predicted corrosion rates and those from         |    |
| exposure tests in different outdoor environments                                | 45 |

| Figure 19: Flow Chart of Corrosion Predictor Model inputs and outputs       | 47 |
|---|----|
| Figure 20: A report representation of Sub Divisions of a DS Division in the |    |
| software program  | 48 |
| Figure 21: Output Screen (form) Of the Software program                     | 50 |
| Figure 22:The Rain fall Distribution map of Sri Lanka                       | 56 |
| Figure 23:Costal Belt, 1 km from the beach                                  | 57 |
| Figure 24:Chloride Deposition Rate in µg/m2 per day with distance from the  |    |
| sea   | 58 |
| Figure 25: Relative Humidity Distribution Map                               | 61 |
| Figure 26:Temperature Distribution Map Of Sri Lanka.                        | 62 |
| Figure 27: Map of Local Government authorities.                             | 63 |
| Figure 28: The quantity of environmental energy used to produce various ore |    |
| grades of iron, copper and aluminum   | 71 |
| Figure 29: The quantity of environmental and economic energy used to        |    |
| Produce pure copper metal from two different ore grades                     | 73 |
| plant   | 75 |

>

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1:Typical Applications of zinc-coated Steel Products   | 15 |
|--|----|
| Table 2:Classical definition of atmospheres and corrosion rates  | 28 |
| Table 3:Ranking of Corrosivity in 45 Locations for Steel and Zinc from Two                                   |    |
| Years of Exposure  | 30 |
| Table 4: Galvanic Corrosion Rate of zinc coupled to other common   |    |
| commercial metals in different atmospheres. (µm/year)  | 36 |
| Table 5: Intergranular Penetration Rates of some Zinc Alloys in Different                                    |    |
| Environments   | 37 |
| Table 6: A comparison on the typical corrosion rate between zinc and other                                   |    |
| common metals µm/a   | 39 |
| Table 7: ISO Classification of Pollution by Airborne Salinity represented by                                 |    |
| Sodium Chloride deposition rate in Annual Average:   | 51 |
| Table 8: ISO Classification of SO <sub>2</sub> Pollution in Annual Average                                   |    |
| Table 9: Classification of Corrosivity of the Atmosphere   |    |
| Table 10:Maximum Concentration of SO2 (Inppm) recorded in past years   | 59 |
| Table 11:Corrosion rates (r <sub>corr</sub> ) for first year of exposure for different                       |    |
| Corrosivity categories   | 65 |
| Table 12:Guiding corrosion values for corrosion rates (r <sub>av</sub> , r <sub>lin</sub> ) of carbon steel, |    |
| weathering steel, zinc, copper and aluminum in atmospheres of  |    |
| various Corrosivity categories   | 66 |
| Table 13: The natural concentration and the concentration at an ore of metal                                 | 72 |
| Table 14:The Energy Requirement for Galvanizing Steel  | 83 |
| Table 15:Embodied Energy (Process Energy Requirement or PER) Values for                                      |    |
| some materials   | 84 |
| Table 16: Analysis Of the 79 Galvanized Towers   | }- |



#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study was undertaken at the University of Moratuwa, under the guidance of the Course Co-ordinator of the Energy Technologies course and the head of the Department of Mechanical Engineering.

My sincere thanks to Dr. R.A. Atalage, Dr. A.G.T sugathapala and Dr. K.K.C.K Perera for their continuous inspiration, encouragement and advice.

My gratitude also to Dr. Mrs M. Perera for the valuable criticisms and advice on corrosion and galvanizing.

Special thanks to Dr. X.G. Zhang of Teck Cominco, Canada for the valuable advice on zinc corrosion, predicting corrosion and valuable criticisms.

Finally my thanks to Mr. R.B. Samarakkody, Environmental Division National Building Research Organization and the staff at the National Physical Planning Unit for their valuable time and information.