Risks of Non-Performing Mitigation Actions for Contractual Risks by Contractors in Sri Lanka with Reference to International Forms of Contract

Kalinga Pradeep Wijesinghe

(189578X)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree Degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

March 2024

Declaration

Dissertation Supervisor

I declare that is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or Diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Further, I acknowledge the intellectual contribution of my research supervisor, Dr. Kapila Devapriya for the successful completion of this research dissertation. I affirm that I will not make any publication from this research without the name of my research as contributing author unless otherwise, I have obtained written consent from my research supervisor.

and

e and etain

Dedication

"Tireless effort of this dissertation is a replication of my enthusiasm, hard work, and self-belief.

I dedicate it to my beloved family for replenishing it in me during the hard times, and my batch mates for being my pillars of support."

Acknowledgement

"This page is dedicated to those who stood beside me and helped me succeed in accomplishing

this dissertation"

First of all, I wish to thank Dr. Kapila Devapriya, for his assistance as dissertation coordinator and

his guidance and support as my supervisor. It is his valuable thoughts and constructive criticisms

that led me to succeed in this journey of research and produce a gem of a report.

My sincere gratitude to Ch.QS. Prof. (Mrs.) Anuradha Waidyasekara, Head of the Department of

Building Economics, and the academic staff of the department for their teachings, advice, and

guidance throughout my university career, and dissertation period in particular.

This acknowledgment is also shared by interviewees and Construction industry professionals who

assisted in numerous ways in collecting data for this research. My ultimate words of thanks belong

to my family members, lecturers, colleagues, finds, and well-wishers who kept me live and

encouraged me till the last leap of this endeavor.

K. P. Wijesinghe

March, 2024

iii

ABSTRACT

Contractual risks are common in the construction industry and can result in significant losses for the parties involved. To mitigate these risks, international forms of contract such as the FIDIC 1999 have been developed. However, the effectiveness of these contracts depends on the implementation of the mitigation actions by the contractors. Therefore, this research aims to identify the non-performing mitigation actions for contractual risks by contractors in Sri Lanka with reference to the FIDIC 1999. The research conducted an evaluation of contractual risks using the Risk Impact Index (RII), providing an overview of the level of contractual risks involved. The study identified the top 20 risks with the highest impact when considering all subclasses together, which were further analyzed in subsequent subsections. These selected highly impactful risks were examined not only for mitigation procedures but also for the risks associated with non-compliance with these procedures, as outlined in the guidelines.

The RII methodology, which incorporates the likelihood and severity of each risk, was utilized to calculate the impact of these risks. Those with the highest RII scores have the potential to exert the greatest impact on the project. These risks encompass various aspects of construction projects, including legislative changes, time and cost extensions, payment issues, force majeure events, defects notification periods, suspensions, terminations, and dispute resolution. Project managers and stakeholders are urged to identify and assess these risks to formulate effective risk management strategies aimed at minimizing their impact on project success. Addressing these risks proactively can mitigate delays, cost overruns, and disputes, ultimately enhancing project outcomes. It is important to recognize that while this table offers valuable insights, it alone cannot provide a comprehensive risk assessment of the project. Other factors, such as project context, team experience, and overall project management approach, should also be considered. Contractual risk mitigating procedures were derived and listed from the literature findings, and prioritized contractual risks were identified based on sub-clauses. The risk of non-compliance with mitigation procedures was further evaluated based on responses from construction industry professionals. A guideline was developed based on the overall inputs and data received from respondents, with efforts made to summarize responses to minimize complexity.

Keywords: Contractual risks, Contractors, FIDIC 1999, Risk register, Qualitative analysis, Mitigating procedures, Risk impact, Likelihood, Severity, Construction industry

Table of Contents

Declarati	ion	i
Acknow	ledgement	iii
ABSTRA	ACT	iv
LIST OF	TABLES	ix
LIST OF	F FIGURES	ix
LIST OF	F ABBREVIATIONS	X
CHAPTI	ER ONE	1
1 INT	RODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	5
1.3	Aim & Objectives	5
1.4	Methodology	6
1.5	Scope of Limitation	6
CHAPTI	ER TWO	7
2 LIT	REATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Risks in the Sri Lankan Construction Industry	7
2.3	Causes of Risks in the Sri Lankan Construction Industry	9
2.4	Types of Risk in Sri Lankan Construction Projects	.10
2.5	External Risks in Construction	.12

2.6 Internal Risks in Construction	
2.7 Contractual Risks for the Contractors	
2.8 Types of Contractual Risks	
2.8.1 Standard Forms of Contract Regarding Contractual Risks in Sri Lanka15	
2.8.2 Effects of Contractual Risks for the Contractors	
2.9 Process of Risk Management in Construction	
2.9.1 Risk Identification	
2.9.2 Risk Analysis	
2.9.3 Risk Prioritising	
2.9.4 Risk Treating	
2.9.5 Risk Monitoring	
2.10 Tools and Techniques in the Risk Management Process	
2.10.1 Risk Register	
2.10.2 Qualitative Risk Analysis	
2.11 Significance of Risk Mitigation in Avoiding Contractual Risks for Contractors2	26
2.12 Summary	
CHAPTER THREE	
3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Research Design	

3.3	Research Process	.30
3.4	Research Approach	.31
3.4.	.1 Quantitative approach	.31
3.4.	.2 Qualitative approach	.31
3.4.	.3 Mixed approach	.31
3.4.	.4 Selection of research approach	.31
3.5	Research Techniques	32
3.5.	.1 Data collection techniques	.33
3.5.	.2 Data analysis techniques	.35
3.6	Summary	.36
СНАРТ	ER FOUR	.37
4 DA	TA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	37
4.1	Chapter Introduction	.37
4.2	Document review	.37
4.3	Questionnaire Survey	.46
4.3.	.1 Profile of Survey Participants	.46
4.3.	.2 Evaluation of contractual risk	.48
4.4	Semi-Structured Interviews	55
4.4.	.1 Interviewee profile	55
4.4.	.2 Contractual risk mitigating procedure	56

	4.4.3	Risk of not following mitigating procedure	.79
4.:	5	Discussion	82
	4.5.1	Prioritize risk by evaluating risk impact using qualitative analysis, ihood and severity	Ü
	4.5.2 conta	Mitigating procedures for identified prioritized risk and their applicability ractors in Sri Lanka	•
CHA	APTE	R FIVE	85
5	CON	ICLUSION AND RECCOMENDATIONS	.85
5.	1	Introduction	.85
5.	2	Conclusions	85
5	3	Recommendations	.88
5.	4	Limitations	. 89
5	5	Further Research Area	.89
6	REF	ERENCES	.90
APP	END	IX A: QUESTIONNAIRE	101
ΔΡΡ	FND	IX R. SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW	109

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4-1: Risk register	
Table 4-2: Contractual risk evaluation table	
Table 4-3: Contractual risks prioritize table	
Table 4-4: Contractual risk mitigating procedure	
Table 4-5: Risk of not following mitigating procedure	
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 2-1: Hierarchical representation of the types of risks in a construction project 11	
Figure 2-2; Stakeholder arrangements of traditional contracts (left) and design-build contracts	racts
(right)	
Figure 3-1: Research Design	
Figure 4-1: Categories of Professions of Respondents	
Figure 4-2: Years of Experience of Respondents 47	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BIM Building Information Modelling

COVID Corona Virus Disease

FIDIC Federation Internationale Des Ingenious Conseils

ICTAD Institute of Construction Training and Development

JCT Joint Contract Tribunal

LOA Letter Of Acceptance

NEC New Engineering Contract

RII Relative Importance Index

SBD Standard Bidding Document