

**COMPARATIVE STUDY ON CHILLER
CONFIGURATIONS IN AN EFFICIENT CHILLED
WATER SYSTEM IN A TROPICAL HIGH-RISE
BUILDING**

P. K. Iddagoda

188755F

Thesis/Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Master of Science in Building Services Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

October 2023

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND THE SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

UOM Verified Signature

Signature: P. K. Iddagoda

Date: 13/10/2023

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters/MPhil/PhD thesis/dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 13/10/2023

ABSTRACT

Energy-efficiency concerns have changed several design trends, and chilled water systems are now designed for different delta T values. The delta T affects the coil sizes of Air Handling Unit (AHU) and Fan Coil Unit (FCU), pumping power and pipe sizes of the chilled water distribution system and capacity of the chiller. Designing a chilled-water system for a higher delta T (ΔT), reduce the initial costs due to smaller valves, piping, pumps and also reduce the operating cost due to low chilled water flow rates. However, this topic is accompanied by a multitude of arguments and contradictions.

This research was based on the comparative study of parallel flow and series counter flow (SCF) chiller arrangement for different ΔT values and finding the best chiller configuration and ΔT value with higher Coefficient of Performance (COP).

For this comparative study, a high-rise commercial building was selected, and the chilled water system was designed by conducting cooling load calculations and appropriately selecting chillers and pumps.

For two chillers with the same capacity configured in parallel and SCF arrangement, chilled water flow rate, chiller lift, and COP values were calculated for various ΔT values. Additionally, a comparative study was also done for the chilled water pump power and distribution system piping sizes for different flow rates. The CoolPack software was used for the calculation of COP values.

Based on the study, it was observed that there was a decrease in chilled water flow rate as the ΔT increases. The analysis also revealed that compared to parallel flow chillers, SCF chillers have chiller lift reduction and higher COP for both conventional and high ΔT system. It also found that chiller lift does not depend on ΔT value and it only depends on chilled water supply temperature.

The maximum COP was attained at a chilled water supply temperature of 9.0°C which was the maximum supply temperature selected with 10°C ΔT (beyond the 8.3°C ΔT recommended by ASHRAE), resulting in a COP value of 6.60. There was an improvement in the COP value of 1.36 for 10°C ΔT with a temperature range of $9\text{-}19^{\circ}\text{C}$ using the SCF arrangement compared to the conventional 5°C ΔT with temperature range of $7\text{-}12^{\circ}\text{C}$ with parallel configuration.

A reduction of about 50% in flow rate and pump power were observed for a 10⁰C ΔT compared to conventional 5⁰C ΔT. In this building, the percentage reduction in pipe sizes within the chilled water distribution system remains constant at 29.29 of % for a 10⁰C ΔT compared to a 5⁰C ΔT.

The research concludes that utilizing design strategies such as higher temperature differences, elevated supply chilled water temperatures, and SCF chiller configurations can enhance the chiller efficiency, reduce pumping energy use, and piping installation costs, leading to overall cost savings for chiller system.

Keywords: Conventional ΔT, High ΔT, Series Counter Flow Chillers, Parallel Chillers, COP, Chiller lift

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to express my special gratitude to Dr. M.A. Wijewardena, my research supervisor for her professional guidance and useful critiques of this thesis. Her willingness to give her valuable time and support so generously and her patience has been very much appreciated. This work would not have been possible without her exceptional support.

Secondly, I would like to thank Dr. M.M.I.D. Manthilake as a course coordinator and senior lecturer for giving her advice, assistance and enthusiastic encouragement in various stages in this study.

I would also like to extend my thanks to all the staff in Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa and specially my colleagues for consistent support given throughout this research study.

Finally, I wish to thank my family members and friends for their support and encouragement throughout my research work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND THE SUPERVISOR	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF NOMENCLATURE.....	xiv
CHAPTER 1	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Efficient Chilled Water System Design	2
1.2 Summary of Chapters	4
1.3 Aim	5
1.4 Objectives	5
1.5 Methodology	6
CHAPTER 2	7
2.0 ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS OF CHILLED WATER SYSTEMS... 7	
2.1 What is Air Conditioning.....	7
2.1.1 Global energy consumption	8
2.1.2 Efficiency of air conditioners.....	8
2.1.3 Vapour compression refrigeration cycle	10
2.1.3.1 The ideal vapor-compression refrigeration cycle.....	10
2.2 Types of Air Conditioning Systems.....	11
2.2.1 Energy efficient chilled water system.....	12
2.2.2 Water cooled chilled water system vs air cooled chilled water system	13
2.2.3 Performance standards of chilled water system [15]	14
2.2.4 Design procedure of an efficient chilled water plant	15

2.2.5	Different chilled water distribution system.....	16
2.2.5.1	Primary-secondary systems.....	16
2.2.5.2	Primary-only variable flow (Variable Primary Flow – VPF)	17
2.2.6	Improving chiller efficiency.....	18
2.2.6.1	Reduction of chiller lift.....	18
2.3	Delta T in Chilled Water System	20
2.3.1	Industry standard for chiller conditions	20
2.3.2	Standard ΔT vs high ΔT chilled water system.....	21
2.3.3	Flow rate reduction with high ΔT	21
2.3.4	Cooling coils for high ΔT	23
2.3.4.1	Selecting cooling coils for $\geq 8.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ ΔT	24
2.3.4.2	Chilled water ΔT at part-load conditions	25
2.3.4.3	Colder versus warmer water temperatures.....	26
2.3.4.4	Water ΔT higher than 8.3°C	27
2.3.5	Energy reduction in low flow system.....	27
2.4	Series and Parallel Chillers	29
2.4.1	Different configurations of primary variable systems	29
2.4.1.1	Parallel configuration.....	29
2.4.1.2	Series configuration	32
2.5	Series Counter-flow Chillers.....	33
2.5.1	Chiller lift.....	34
2.5.2	Efficiency of series counter-flow chillers	34
2.6	Importance of Refrigerant.....	36
2.6.1	Refrigerants and its impact on environment	36
2.6.2	New generations of refrigerants.....	37
2.6.3	Safety group classification of refrigerants	37
2.6.4	Properties of ideal refrigerant.....	38

2.6.4.1	Refrigerant properties to identify vapor compression system performance variations.....	39
2.6.5	Importance of refrigerant in Coefficient of performance.....	40
2.7	Conclusion	41
2.8	Research Gap	42
CHAPTER 3	44
3.0	METHODOLOGY	44
3.1	Building Configuration	44
3.1.1	Building selection and cooling load calculation	45
3.2	Chilled Water System and Chiller Selection.....	45
3.2.1	Chilled water distribution system	46
3.2.2	Details of the selected chiller	47
3.2.3	Assumptions.....	48
3.3	Chiller Configuration	48
3.3.1	Parallel flow configuration.....	48
3.3.2	Series counter flow configuration	49
3.4	Flow Rate Calculation for Chillers	50
3.5	COP Variation with Chilled Water Temperature.....	50
3.6	Chiller Lift Variation with Chilled Water Temperature	51
3.7	Selecting Pumps.....	51
3.7.1	Selecting pump capacity	51
3.7.2	How pump head and power vary with reduced flowrate	53
3.8	Pipe Size Calculation	55
3.9	Comparison between Conventional and High ΔT Values	55
CHAPTER 4	56
4.0	RESULTS	56
4.1	Calculating COP Value from CoolPack Software	56
4.2	Chilled Water Flow Rate Calculation for Different ΔT Values.....	58

4.3	Variation of Chiller Lift with Supply Chilled Water Temperature.....	59
4.3.1	Parallel chillers.....	59
4.3.2	Series counter flow chillers.....	60
4.4	COP Variation with Supply Chilled Water Temperature	62
4.4.1	Parallel chillers.....	63
4.4.2	Series counter flow chillers	64
4.5	Comparison of Chiller Lift and COP Values for Parallel and Series Counter-flow Chillers	67
4.5.1	For 5 ⁰ C ΔT:.....	67
4.5.1.1	Parallel chillers.....	67
4.5.1.2	Series counter flow chillers.....	68
4.5.2	For 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	70
4.5.2.1	Parallel chillers.....	70
4.5.2.2	Series counter flow chillers.....	71
4.6	Average Temperature.....	75
4.6.1	5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	76
4.6.2	10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	77
4.7	Pump Power Variation with Chilled Water Flow Rate.....	77
4.7.1.	Pump power	78
4.7.2	Pump flow rate.....	79
4.7.2.1	Conventional ΔT (5 ⁰ C ΔT)	79
4.7.2.2	High ΔT (10 ⁰ C ΔT).....	80
4.8	Pipe Size Reduction with Flow Rate.....	81
CHAPTER 5		84
5.0	RESULTS & DISCUSSION.....	84
5.1	Optimal ΔT Value for this Application Based on Flow Rate Reduction	84
5.2	Enhancing COP through High Supply Chilled Water Temperature and SCF Configuration with High ΔT.....	85

5.2.1	Conventional ΔT	85
5.2.1.1	Parallel flow chillers	85
5.2.1.2	Series counter-flow chillers	86
5.2.2	High ΔT	86
5.2.2.1	Parallel flow chillers	86
5.2.2.2	Series counter-flow chillers	87
5.3	Comparison of Chiller Lift.....	87
5.4	Comparison of Chiller COP.....	89
5.5	Best COP for this Application	91
5.6	Pump Power Reduction.....	91
5.7	Pressure Drop through Chillers.....	91
5.8	Pipe Size Reduction	93
5.9	Drawbacks of High ΔT Systems	94
CHAPTER 6		95
6.0	CONCLUSION.....	95
6.1	Chiller lift Reduction and COP Improvement with High ΔT	95
6.2	Pumping Power Requirement and Piping Consideration.....	96
6.4	Conclusion	97
REFERENCES		98
ANNEX 1		101
ANNEX 2		105
ANNEX 3		106
ANNEX 4		107

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Global air conditioning stock,1990-2050 [7].	7
Figure 2: Average efficiency of new air conditioners 2000-2020 [10].	9
Figure 3: Schematic for ideal vapour-compression refrigeration cycle (a) schematic of the component layout (b) T-s diagram and (c) P-h diagram [11].	10
Figure 4: Classification of Air conditioners [12].	11
Figure 5: Schematic of water-cooled chilled water system [14].	13
Figure 6: Dry bulb & wet bulb temperature variation with time [13].	14
Figure 7: Primary-secondary configuration [16].	16
Figure 8: Variable-primary flow configuration [13].	17
Figure 9: ASHRAE COP classification [17].	18
Figure 10: Chiller lift reduction [18].	19
Figure 11: Cooling coil with 8.3°C ΔT [19].	24
Figure 12: Chilled water ΔT at part load conditions [19].	25
Figure 13: Comparison of annual energy consumption [13].	28
Figure 14: Parallel configuration with a single pump [13].	29
Figure 15: Parallel configuration with dedicated pumps [13].	30
Figure 16: Pump curve for dedicated pumps for parallel chillers [13].	31
Figure 17: Parallel chiller configuration with manifolded pumps [13].	31
Figure 18: Series configuration of chillers [13].	32
Figure 19: Series counter flow chillers [20].	33
Figure 20: Parallel flow configuration [21].	34
Figure 21: Series counter flow configuration [21].	35
Figure 22: P-h diagram for cooling system [25].	40
Figure 23: Building configuration.	44
Figure 24: Chilled water plant in a building	46
Figure 25: Variable primary flow system [26].	46
Figure 26: Parallel flow configuration	49
Figure 27: Series counter flow configuration	49
Figure 28:Chiller piping arrangement for PF Chillers	51
Figure 29: Pump head and power curve with flow rate (pump catalogue)	54
Figure 30: P-h diagram for R134a refrigerant (CoolPack software).	56
Figure 31: Calculation of COP value by CoolPack software.	57
Figure 32: Chilled water flow rate variation with ΔT	59

Figure 33: Chiller lift variation with supply chilled water temp.....	60
Figure 34: Chiller lift variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH1	61
Figure 35: chiller lift variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH2.....	62
Figure 36: COP calculation using CoolPack software.....	63
Figure 37: COP variation with supply chilled water temp.....	64
Figure 38: COP variation with supply chilled water temp.- CH1	65
Figure 39: COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH2.....	66
Figure 40: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp.	67
Figure 41: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH1	68
Figure 42: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH2.....	69
Figure 43: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp.	70
Figure 44: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH1	71
Figure 45: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH2	72
Figure 46: Comparison of total chiller lift for 5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	73
Figure 47: Comparison of chiller COP for 5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	74
Figure 48: Comparison of total chiller lift for 10 ⁰ C Δ T.....	75
Figure 49: Comparison of COP for 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	75
Figure 50: Average temp. of PF & SCF chillers for 5 ⁰ C ΔT	76
Figure 51: Average temp. of PF & SCF chillers for 10 ⁰ C ΔT	77
Figure 52: Pump power variation with chilled water flow rate.....	79
Figure 53: Pump flow rate for 5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	79
Figure 54: Pump flow rate for 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	80
Figure 55: comparison of chilled water pipe size	83
Figure 56: Comparison of total chiller lift for 5 ⁰ C & 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	87
Figure 57: Comparison of Total chiller lift for PF & SCF chillers	88
Figure 58: Comparison of chiller COP for 5 ⁰ C & 10 ⁰ C T	89
Figure 59: Comparison of chiller COP for PF & SCF chillers	90

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Capacity vs Type of A/C	12
Table 2: COP & IPLV requirements as per ASHRAE standard 90.1-2019 [15].	15
Table 3: AHRI standard rating conditions for chilled water flow rate.....	21
Table 4: AHRI 550/590 standard rating conditions for chilled water system.....	21
Table 5: Chilled water coil selections for 8.3 ⁰ C Δ T [18].	24
Table 6: Comparison of cooling coils with different entering chilled water temperatures to the coil [19].	26
Table 7: 8.3 ⁰ C versus 11.1 ⁰ C versus 13.8 ⁰ C Δ T [19].	27
Table 8: Comparison of AHRI rated and high Δ T flow rate for chilled water system [13]..	28
Table 9: Safety group classification by ASHRAE.....	38
Table 10: Thermophysical properties of new refrigerants [24].	39
Table 11: Data of the selected chiller.....	47
Table 12: Flow rate variation with Δ T	58
Table 13: Chiller lift variation with chilled water supply temp. - Parallel chillers	59
Table 14: Chiller lift variation with supply chilled water temp. – SCF chillers (CH1)	61
Table 15: Chiller lift variation with supply chilled water temp. SCF chillers (CH2)	62
Table 16: COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - Parallel chillers.....	64
Table 17: COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - SCF chillers (CH1).....	65
Table 18: COP variation with supply chilled water temp. - CH2	66
Table 19: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. – Parallel chillers (5 ⁰ C Δ T)	67
Table 20: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. -SCF chillers (CH1)	68
Table 21: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. – SCF chillers (CH2)	69
Table 22: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. – Parallel chillers ..	70
Table 23: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. – SCF chillers (CH1)	71
Table 24: Chiller lift & COP variation with supply chilled water temp. – SCF chillers (CH2)	72
Table 25: Comparison of chiller lift and COP for 5 ⁰ C Δ T.....	73
Table 26: Comparison of chiller lift and COP for 10 ⁰ C Δ T.....	74
Table 27: Average temp. of PF & SCF chillers for 5 ⁰ C Δ T.....	76

Table 28: Average temp. of PF & SCF chillers for 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	77
Table 29: Pump power variation with chilled water flow rate.....	78
Table 30: Comparison of pump power variation with flow rate for different ΔT.....	81
Table 31: Chilled water pipe size for 5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	81
Table 32: Chilled water pipe size for 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	82
Table 33: Flow rate reduction % with increase ΔT.....	84
Table 34: Comparison of PF & SCF chillers for 5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	86
Table 35: Comparison of PF & SCF for 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	86
Table 36: Comparison of COP improvement.....	91
Table 37: Comparison of pump power reduction.....	91
Table 38: Total flow rate & pressure drop for 5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	92
Table 39: Total flow rate & pressure drop for 10 ⁰ C ΔT.....	92
Table 40: % Reduction of pipe size in 10 ⁰ C ΔT compared to 5 ⁰ C ΔT.....	93
Table 41: Comparison of 5 ⁰ C & 10 ⁰ C T for PF & SCF.....	96

LIST OF NOMENCLATURE

Abbreviation	Description
AC	Air Conditioning
AHRI	Air Conditioning, Heating, & Refrigeration Institute
AHU	Air Handling Unit
ARI	Air-Conditioning & Refrigeration Institute
ASHRAE	American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers.
CEWT	Condenser Entering Water Temperature
CH	Chiller
CHW	Chilled water
CLWT	Condenser Leaving Water Temperature
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
COP	Coefficient of Performance
CWRT	Chilled Water Return Temperature
CWST	Chilled Water Supply Temperature
CWT	Chilled Water Temperature
DBT	Dry Bulb Temperature
EEWT	Evaporator Entering Water Temperature
ELWT	Evaporator Leaving Water Temperature
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FCU	Fan Coil Unit
GHG	Greenhouse gases
GWP	Global Warming Potentials
HFC	Hydrofluorocarbons
HFO	Hydro-Fluoro-Olefins
HVAC	Heating Ventilation & Air Conditioning
IEA	International Energy Agency
IESNA	Illuminating Engineering Society of North America
ODS	Ozone Depleting Substances
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacture

PF	Parallel Flow
SCF	Series Counter Flow
VPF	Variable Primary Flow
WBT	Wet Bulb Temperature

Notations

Description

C_p	Specific heat capacity of water
C_{ref}	Heat Capacity of refrigerant
L_{ref}	Latent heat capacity of refrigerant
m	Mass flow rate of water
m_{ref}	Mass flow rate of refrigerant
Q	Heat capacity
V	Volume flow rate
ΔT	Delta T
ρ	Density