

**Oriental Musical Instruments Identification by Selecting  
Optimized Features and Suitable Classifier**

Peranantham Uruthiran

(168075C)

Degree of Master of Philosophy

Department of Information Technology

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2023

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis does not incorporate, without acknowledgment, any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment made in the text.

Similarly, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic, or other media. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

***UOM Verified Signature***

Date: 05.06.2023

The above candidate has carried out research for the M Phil. thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. Lochandaka Ranathunga

Signature of the supervisor: ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date:05/06/2023

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Lochandaka Ranathunga for his productive support, proper guidance, and supervision, he placed in my research. I express my heartfelt thanks to my progress review panel members Dr. Jayathu Samarawickrama and Dr. C.R.J. Amalraj; they always judge my research work and give feedback to improve my studies.

I convey my sincere thanks to the Vice-Chancellor of the University of Moratuwa, the Dean of the Faculty of Information Technology, the Head of the Department of Information Technology, the non-academic staff members of the Faculty of Information Technology, University of Moratuwa for the opportunity given to commence my research work at the University of Moratuwa and facilitating me to carry out the same successfully.

Further, I extend my sincere gratitude to the Vice-Chancellor of the University of Vocational Technology, and the Head of the Department of Software Technology, and my colleagues in the academic staff for their assistance in many ways, which was a blessing in completing this research study.

I am thankful to the CEO of LK Domain Registry for granting me the Prof. V.K. Samaranyake Research Conference Publication Grant in order to present my research paper at the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Data Science (AiDAS 2019) at Casuarina Hotel, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia.

The support and motivation provided by my postgraduate colleagues, Mr. K.A.S.H. Kulathilake, Mr. V. Senthoran, and Dr. A.M.R. Ravimal Bandara, who are affiliated with the Faculty of Information Technology, University of Moratuwa too deserve mentioning with a debt of gratitude.

I reveal my salutation and admiration for all the valued authors, researchers, and philosophers for their great theories, research, publications, and ideas, which have been an enormous support to enhance this research work.

Finally, yet importantly, the commitment of my loving wife, daughter, and son who were bearing all the burdens without passing them to me throughout the past few years made me complete this research.

## ABSTRACT

The research field of Music Information Retrieval is a particular subcategory, which brings out data from the audio signal by the expedient of digital signal analysis. This thesis deals with temporal and spectral features of music instruments. Particularly, the formant concept of timbre is the main subject all through. This theory expresses that auditory musical instrument sounds may be classified with the aid of their formant structures. Ensuring this concept, our method aims to suggest a computer based implementation for constructing tools for musical instrument recognizable proof and grouping systems.

One of the most crucial aspects of musical instrument classification is selecting the relevant set of features, which are very important steps in musical instrument identification. Feature selection is an important task in musical instrument identification. Feature selection is one routine of reaching dimension reduction, and after an ephemeral debate of various feature selection techniques, the study endorses a derived technique for predominant feature selection in a sequential forward feature selection with a greedy algorithm. This technique is empirically selected to optimize the best set of features by using train data and it is displayed to gain classification accuracy with a diminished predominant set of features much like that gained with a complete set of features. This study extracted the 44 features from 20 musical instruments with three musical families.

The three classifiers used in this task, were Decision Tree, kNN, SVM and CNN. The best-selected features have been used in the classification. The confusion matrix got from each classification for evaluation to the performance of the classifiers. The SVM classifier contains the lowest error rate, and the highest AUC scores most values are 1 and a few are within the range of 0.99 - 0.98. Finally, the approval results are finished. SVM classifier is found to be the best classifier among the four classifiers. The predominant features are selected by the Greedy algorithm with SFFS technique for individual musical instrument and selected features are used for polyphonic music identification.

*Keywords: Predominant features, Feature selection techniques, Musical instrument.  
Polyphonic music*

# Contents

<b>DECLARATION</b> .....	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b> .....	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	iv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	x
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	xii
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
1.1. Music.....	2
1.1.1.Music from the Human’s Point of View .....	2
1.1.2.Music from the Machine’s Point of View .....	2
1.1.3.Musical Instrument.....	2
1.2. Audio Features .....	3
1.3. Scope of the Study.....	3
1.4. Aim and Objectives of the Study .....	4
1.5. Organization of the Thesis .....	5
1.6. Summary .....	5
<b>CHAPTER 2 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY</b> .....	6
2.1 Timbre Features .....	6
2.1.1 Spectral Shape Features .....	6
2.1.2 Temporal Features .....	6
2.1.3 Cepstral features .....	6
2.1.4 Amplitude and Frequency Modulation Features .....	7
2.2 Harmonic and Overtone .....	7
2.2.1 Harmonic Centroid .....	8
2.2.2 Harmonic Deviation.....	8
2.2.3 Harmonic Spread .....	8
2.2.4 Harmonic Variation .....	8
2.2.5 Spectral Centroid .....	8
2.2.6 Log Attack Time.....	9
2.2.7 Temporal Centroid.....	9
2.3 Feature Extraction .....	9
2.4 Classification .....	10
2.5 Findings of Related Studies.....	10

2.6 Summary .....	14
<b>CHAPTER 3 LOW-LEVEL FEATURES AND FEATURE LEARNING</b>	
<b>ALGORITHMS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1 Spectral Features .....	15
3.1.1 Spectral Centroid .....	15
3.1.2 Spectral Crest Factor.....	15
3.1.3 Spectral Decrease.....	16
3.1.4 Spectral Flatness .....	16
3.1.5 Spectral Flux .....	16
3.1.6 Spectral Kurtosis.....	17
3.1.7 Spectral Roll-Off.....	17
3.1.8 Spectral Skewness.....	17
3.1.9 Spectral Slope .....	18
3.1.10 Spectral Spread .....	18
3.1.11 Spectral Tonal Power Ratio.....	18
3.1.12 Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) .....	19
3.1.13 Spectral Pitch Chroma Features.....	19
3.2 Feature Extraction Techniques .....	20
3.2.1 Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT).....	20
3.3 Feature Selection .....	20
3.3.1 Filter Method .....	21
3.3.2 Wrapper Method .....	21
3.3.3 Embedded Method.....	22
3.4 Greedy Algorithm.....	23
3.5 Feature Learning.....	24
3.5.1 Feature Learning Methods .....	25
3.5.2 Machine Learning Algorithm .....	25
3.6 Monophonic Music Analysis.....	29
3.7 Polyphonic Music Analysis.....	29
3.7.1 Audio Source Separation .....	29
3.7.2 Non-Negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) .....	29
3.7.2 Hierarchical Cluster .....	30
3.8 Summary .....	30

<b>CHAPTER 4 DATA AND FEATURE FORMATION INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1 Experimental Framework of Oriental Musical Instruments Identification (OMII) Process .....	31
4.2. Dataset Preparation for Data Analysis: .....	33
4.3 Data Task.....	33
4.3.1 Feature Extraction.....	33
4.4 Summary .....	35
<b>CHAPTER 5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1. Filter Method Used for Feature Selection .....	36
5.2 Wrapper Method Used for Sequential Forward Features Selection (SFFS) ....	42
5.3 Musical Instrument Classification.....	47
5.4 Validation of Model Performance .....	50
5.5 Effect of Analysis Window Size .....	51
5.6 Musical Instrument and Family Identification .....	52
5.6.1 Musical Instrument and Family Identification Hierarchy.....	55
5.7 Deep Learning Approach to Find the Instrument Identification .....	56
5.7.1 Fine-Tuning to Wavenet model .....	56
5.8 Individual Musical Instrument Identification.....	58
5.8.1 Find Predominant Features for Musical Instrument Identification.....	58
5.9 Binary Classification used for Individual Musical Instrument Identification ..	65
5.9.1 Combine Two Musical Instruments.....	66
5.9.2 Combine Three Musical Instruments.....	68
5.9.3 Unknown Music File Analysis .....	71
5.10 Hierarchical Cluster (HC) Method for Monophonic and Polyphonic Music File.....	80
5.10.1 Known Music File Analysis .....	81
5.10.2 Unknown Music File Analysis .....	83
5.11 Summary .....	87
<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7 FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND LIMITATIONS.....</b>	<b>91</b>
7.1 Limitations.....	91
7.2 The future Directions.....	91
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: THE DETAILS OF THE PERFORMANCE OF CLASSIFIERS .....</b>	<b>97</b>



<b>APPENDIX B: THE DETAILS OF THE CONFUSION MATRIX OF CLASSIFIERS.....</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: MEASURE DETAILS OF DIFFERENT WINDOW SIZES FOR CLASSIFIERS .....</b>	<b>105</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 3.1: Visualization of a Stack of Dilated Casual Convolutional Layer.</i> .....	28
<i>Figure 3.2: Overview of Residual Block and Entire Architecture.</i> .....	28
<i>Figure 4.1: Flowchart of the OMII Process.</i> .....	31
<i>Figure 5.1: Flowchart of Correlated Features with the Classification Process</i> .....	36
<i>Figure 5.2: Accuracy of the Number of the Best-Selected Features</i> .....	46
<i>Figure 5.3: The Error Rate of the Musical Instruments</i> .....	50
<i>Figure 5.4: The AUC Score of the Musical Instruments</i> .....	51
<i>Figure 5.5: Hierarchy View of the Musical Instrument Identification</i> .....	55
<i>Figure 5.6: Wavenet Model Used to Find the Error Value for Musical Instrument Identification</i> .....	57
<i>Figure 5.7: Wavenet Model Used to Find the Accuracy Value for Musical Instrument Identification</i> .....	57
<i>Figure 5.8: Flowchart of Predominant Feature Selection with the Classification Process</i> .....	59
<i>Figure 5.9: Dendrogram for New Known Banjo Musical Instrument Audio File.</i> ....	81
<i>Figure 5.10: Dendrogram for Bass Clarinet Musical Instrument Audio File.</i> .....	82
<i>Figure 5.11: Dendrogram for the First Unknown Polyphonic Music Audio File</i> .....	84
<i>Figure 5.12: Dendrogram for the Second Unknown Polyphonic Music Audio File.</i> .....	85
<i>Figure 5.13: Dendrogram for the Third Unknown Polyphonic Music Audio File.</i> ...	86

## LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 3.1: General Wrapper Algorithm.</i> .....	22
<i>Table 3.2: Forward Feature Selection with Greedy Algorithm.</i> .....	24
<i>Table 4.1: The Feature Number Denoted to the Feature Name.</i> .....	34
<i>Table 5.1: Correlated significant value of the Features.</i> .....	36
<i>Table 5.2: pValue of the Features in the Higher-Order.</i> .....	38
<i>Table 5.3: The Classification Result of All Classifiers with Set of the Best Selected Features from Correlation Methods</i> .....	39
<i>Table 5.4: The Confusion Matric for String Musical Instruments.</i> .....	39
<i>Table 5.5: The Filter Method Find the Best Set of Selected Features</i> .....	41
<i>Table 5.6: The SFFS Method Find the Set of Best Features and Identification Accuracy.</i> .....	43
<i>Table 5.7: The Selected Features for Filter Method and Wrapper Method.</i> .....	45
<i>Table 5.8: Accuracy Comparison of the Feature Selection Methods</i> .....	47
<i>Table 5.9: Recognition Measures for Classifier</i> .....	49
<i>Table 5.10: The Accuracy of the Overall Musical Instrument Identification with Window Size</i> .....	52
<i>Table 5.11: The Musical Instrument Family Recognition Measure the SVM Classifier</i> .....	53
<i>Table 5.12: The Brass Musical Instrument Recognition Measure the SVM Classifier</i> .....	53
<i>Table 5.13: The String Musical Instrument Recognition Measure the SVM Classifier</i> .....	53
<i>Table 5.14: The Woodwind Musical Instrument Recognition Measure the SVM Classifier</i> .....	54
<i>Table 5.15: Details of Fine Tuning to Wavenet Model</i> .....	56
<i>Table 5.16: Details of Predominant Features Selection.</i> .....	60
<i>Table 5.17: Details of Accuracy Value of the SVM Classifier with Predominant Feature Selection Methods.</i> .....	63
<i>Table 5.18: Musical Instrument Identification of the Combination of Cello and Double Bass Musical File.</i> .....	66

<i>Table 5.19: Musical Instrument Identification of the Combination of Bass Clarinet and Double Bass Musical File. ....</i>	67
<i>Table 5.20: Musical Instrument Identification of the Combination of Cello, Clarinet, and Contrabassoon Musical File. ....</i>	69
<i>Table 5.21: Musical Instrument Identification of the Combination of Cello, Contrabassoon and English horn Musical File. ....</i>	70
<i>Table 5.22: Percentage of Musical Instrument Identification of the Polyphonic Music Audio File 1. ....</i>	72
<i>Table 5.23: Automated System Identified Musical Instrument in 100 s Segmented Frame in the Polyphonic Music Audio File 1. ....</i>	73
<i>Table 5.24: Percentage of Musical Instrument Identification of the Polyphonic Music Audio File 2. ....</i>	74
<i>Table 5.25: Automated System Identified Musical Instrument in 100 s Segmented Frame in the Polyphonic Music Audio File 2. ....</i>	75
<i>Table 5.26: Percentage of Musical Instrument Identification of the Polyphonic Music Audio File 3. ....</i>	76
<i>Table 5.27: Automated System Identified Musical Instrument in 100 s Segmented Frame in the Polyphonic Music Audio File 3. ....</i>	77
<i>Table 5.28: Percentage of Musical Instrument Identification of the Polyphonic Music Audio File 4. ....</i>	78
<i>Table 5.29: Automated System Identified Musical Instrument in 100 s Segmented Frame in the Polyphonic Music Audio File 4. ....</i>	79
<i>Table 5.30: Sample Number belongs to the Musical Instrument Used for HC. ....</i>	80
<i>Table 5.31: Cluster Details of New Known Banjo Musical Instrument Audio File... </i>	81
<i>Table 5.32: Cluster Details of New Known Bass Clarinet Musical Instrument Audio File ....</i>	83
<i>Table 5.33: Cluster Details of the First Unknown Polyphonic Music Audio File. ....</i>	84
<i>Table 5.34: Cluster Details of the Second Unknown Polyphonic Music Audio File. </i>	85
<i>Table 5.35: Cluster Details of the Third Unknown Polyphonic Music Audio File. ....</i>	87

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Definition</b>
AC	Autocorrelation Coefficients
AUC	Area Under the receiver operating characteristics Curve
BT	Binary Tree Classifier
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network
CPNN	Counter Propagation Neural Network
DNN	Deep Neural Network
DT	Decision Tree
FFT	Fast Fourier Transformation
HC	Hierarchical Cluster
kNN	k-Nearest Neighbors
LDB	Local Discriminant Bases
LiFT	likelihood-frequency-time
LPC	Linear Prediction Coefficient
LPCC	Linear Predictive Coding coefficients
MFCC	Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficient
MIMN	Multiple Instrument Multiple Note
MIR	Musical Information Retrieval
MPEG-7	Moving Picture Exports Group - Multimedia Content Description Framework

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Definition</b>
MUMS	McGill University Master Sample
NMF	Non-negative Matrix Factorization
OMII	Oriental Musical Instruments Identification
PDF	Probability Density Function
RANSAC	Random sample consensus
ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristics
FFFS	Sequential Forward Feature Selection
SIMN	Single Instrument Multiple
SISN	Single Instrument Single Note
SVM	Support Vector Machine
VZCR	Variance of Zero Crossing Rates
ZCR	Zero Crossing Rates