DEVELOPMENT OF A TESTING PROCEDURE TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE OF AVIATION FIRE CONTAINMENT SOLUTIONS FOR LITHIUM-ION BATTERY FIRES

G. H. V. P. D. J. Fonseka

198403K

Degree of Master of Engineering in Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

December 2022

DEVELOPMENT OF A TESTING PROCEDURE TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE OF AVIATION FIRE CONTAINMENT SOLUTIONS FOR LITHIUM-ION BATTERY FIRES

G. H. V. P. D. J. Fonseka

198403K

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master of Engineering in Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

December 2022

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any

other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another

person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce

and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic, or another

medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as

articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 04/12/2022

The above candidate has carried out research for the master's thesis under my

supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. L. U. Subasinghe

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 05/12/2022

i

ABSTRACT

Fire containment is an important element within the air cargo industry when transporting lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). Existing fire suppression systems and fire detection methods used in aircraft are seen as incapable of preventing fires that are originating within the Unit Load Devices (ULD) especially fires originating inside ULD containers. Halon based fire extinguishing systems presently available in aircraft cargo compartments are not enough to put down lithium-ion battery fires. The development of Container Fire Containment Covers (CFCCs) is a latest innovation that has a large impact on the safety of both passenger and freighter aircraft. CFCCs which use a passive fire suppression system are becoming a global norm that can be used to protect each individual ULD container separately and prevent fire from spreading to the adjacent cargo loads. However, there is a lack of evidence on an experimental method to determine the capability of industrial fire containment solutions used in aircraft for containing LIB fires initiated inside ULD containers. Therefore, this study proposes an experimental test method to evaluate the fire containment performance of CFCCs under a LIB fire. The fire containment capability of CFCC designs developed for two different ULD container configurations (AKE and AMJ) are assessed using the developed test method with 2000 and 5000 18650-sized LIB cells respectively with each CFCC design validating the test method. The condition of the ULD containers is also assessed to guide container manufacturers on damages caused by a LIB fire. The results and observations of the fire tests show that ULD containers alone cannot withstand a LIB battery fire and the CFCCs are capable of containing a LIB battery fire for the tested magnitude. Based on the results CFCCs can be considered an acceptable solution to safely transport LIB shipments in commercial aircraft.

Keywords: Fire containment, Lithium-ion battery fire, fire tests, Fire containment cover

DEDICATION

I am dedicating this thesis to two beloved people who have meant and continue to mean so much to me. Although they are no longer of this world, their memories continue to regulate my life. First, to my maternal grandfather, Austin Dias whose love for me knew no bounds and, who taught me how to patiently achieve goals in life. And to Rev. Fr. Bonnie Fernandopulle who taught me the value of hard work and the need for a good education to live a good and happy life. May you both find peace and happiness in heaven.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere thanks to all the following individuals who contributed to the successful completion of this research. First, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Lihil Subasinghe, who guided me throughout, with his immense knowledge of the subject matter.

Secondly, the lecture panel at University of Moratuwa, who guided me throughout my MEng. All the lecturers who have supported me in their areas of expertise.

Thirdly, I highly appreciate my coworkers for supporting me with the experiments including setting up the tests, logistics, and transportation.

Next, I would like to thank the Fire department at the Board of Investments export processing zone Wathupitiwala for providing the required fire extinguishing support to put down the fire at the end of each experiment.

Finally, I should remind the MEng batch 13 members who supported me to come to the end of the program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i	
Abstract	ii	
Dedication	iii	
Acknowledgement	iv	
List of figures		
List of tables	viii	
List of abbreviations	ix	
Chapter 1 Introduction	1	
1.1 Background	1	
1.2 Motivation	3	
1.3 Aim and objectives	4	
1.3.1 Aim	4	
1.3.2 Objectives	4	
1.4 Structure of the thesis	5	
Chapter 2 Literature review	7	
2.1 Lithium-ion battery fires	7	
2.2 Aircraft regulations on transporting LIBs	11	
2.3 Fire suppression systems and methods used in air	rcraft 12	
2.4 Full-scale fire tests	Full-scale fire tests	
2.5 Summary	15	
Chapter 3 Research methodology	17	
3.1 Pathways to fulfil objectives	17	
3.2 Data collection methods	19	
3.3 Review methodology	19	
3.4 Methodology findings	20	
Chapter 4 Test Details and procedures	24	
4.1 Items under test	24	
4.1.1 CFCC components	25	
4.2 Test equipment	26	
4.3 Fire load compositions	29	
4.4 LIB full scale test procedure flow chart	32	

4.5	LIE	3 full-scale test detailed procedure	33
4.6	4.6 Pass/Fail criteria for LIB full-scale fire tests 3		
4.7	LIE	3 thermal runaway pilot test	35
4.7	7.1	Test details	36
4.7.2 Test setup		36	
4.8	AM	AJ ULD container fire test	36
4.8	3.1	Test details	36
4.8	3.2	Test setup	37
4.9	AK	XE ULD container fire test	39
4.9	9.1	Test details	39
4.9	9.2	Test setup	39
4.10	Ι	Disposal of batteries and test articles	40
Chapter	r 5	Results and discussion	41
5.1	LIE	3 thermal runaway pilot test	41
5.1	1.1	Thermocouple readings	41
5.1	1.2	Post-test observations	41
5.2	AN	AJ ULD container fire test	42
5.2	2.1	Observations during test	42
5.2	2.2	Thermocouple readings	45
5.2	2.3	Post-test observations	47
5.2	2.4	Post-test observations on the AMJ ULD container	48
5.2	2.5	Post-test observations on the AMJ CFCC	48
5.3	AK	XE ULD container fire test	49
5.3	3.1	Observations during test	49
5.3	3.2	Thermocouple readings	50
5.3	3.3	Post-test observations	51
5.3	3.4	Post-test observations on the AKE ULD container	52
5.3	3.5	Post-test observations on the AKE CFCC	53
Chapter	6	Conclusion	54
6.1	Res	search summary	54
6.2	Co	ntribution to knowledge and practice	55
6.3	Res	search limitations	56
6.4	Further research directions 5		57

References 58

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1-1: Revenues of the global lithium-based battery market [4]	1
Figure 2-1: Surface temperature variation with cell type [14]	8
Figure 2-2: Shipping box with interlocking cardboard separators [13]	10
Figure 2-3: FAA fire test burnt battery load [10]	15
Figure 4-1: AMJ CFCC	25
Figure 4-2: AKE CFCC	25
Figure 4-3: CFCC components	25
Figure 4-4: LIB bundle, worm-screw clamps and ignitor	26
Figure 4-5: Data measurement processor	28
Figure 4-6: Irreversible temperature indicators [35]	29
Figure 4-7: Class A load - boxes and shredded paper	30
Figure 4-8: Ethanol filled HDPE bottle	31
Figure 4-9: LIB thermal runaway pilot test setup & thermocouple position d	iagram36
Figure 4-10: Interior thermocouple and battery box positions of AMJ fire ter	st 38
Figure 4-11: Exterior thermocouple positions and quadrant marking	38
Figure 4-12: AKE fire test setup and thermocouple positions	39
Figure 5-1: Battery thermal runaway post-test	41
Figure 5-2: AMJ CFCC at completion of phase 1	43
Figure 5-3: Fabric failure in phase 2	45
Figure 5-4: AMJ fire test temperature profile recorded by thermocouples	46
Figure 5-5: AMJ container exterior fire damages	48
Figure 5-6: AMJ container interior fire damages	48
Figure 5-7: Post-test interior condition of AMJ CFCC	49
Figure 5-8: AKE fire test temperature profile recorded by thermocouples	51
Figure 5-9: Post-test observations of AKE container	52
Figure 5-10: AKE container roof damages	52
Figure 5-11: AKE container side damages	52

Figure 5-12: AKE container slanted edge damages	53
Figure 5-13: Post-test interior condition of the AKE container	53
Figure 5-14: AKE CFCC post-test	53
Figure 5-15: AKE CFCC fabric inner side discolouration	53
LIST OF TABLES	
	Page
Table 1-1: Most significant aircraft accidents due to LIBs	3
Table 2-1: 18650-sized LIB ignition and explosion time for different SOC	9
Table 3-1: Mapping of objectives with data collection methods	19
Table 3-2: ULD container specifications	21
Table 4-1: LIB thermal runaway pilot test details	36
Table 4-2: AMJ fire test details	36
Table 4-3: AKE fire test details	39
Table 5-1: LIB thermal runaway pilot test thermocouple readings	41
Table 5-2: AMJ fire test phase 1 observations	42
Table 5-3: AMJ fire test phase 2 observations	43
Table 5-4: AMJ fire test thermocouple readings	45
Table 5-5: AMJ fire test peak temperature recorded by irreversible stickers	47
Table 5-6: AKE fire test observations	49
Table 5-7: AKE fire test thermocouple readings	50

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AS	Aerospace Standard
BOI	Board of Investments
CFCC	Container Fire Containment Cover
EASA	European Union Aviation Safety Agency
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FCB	Fire Containment Bag
FCC	Fire Containment Cover
FRC	Fire Resistant Container
HDPE	High-Density Polyethylene
IATA	International Air Transport Association
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
PFA	Perfluoroalkoxy
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis
SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers
SOC	State of Charge
T&C	Touch and Close
ULD	Unit Load Device