# ARCHITECTURAL MODEL FOR TEXT TO SIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER(TSLI)

Liyana Pathirannehelage Manupriya Banu Liyanapathirana

(199380R)

The thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Masterof Science in computer science specializing in Software

Architecture



Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

June 2022

To My Parents.

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any

other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another

person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to re-

produce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other

medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future work (such

as articles or books).

Name of Candidate: L.P.M.B.Liyanapathirana

Signature: ...... Date: June 26, 2022

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my

supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Prof. Indika Perera

Signature: ...... Date: June 26, 2022

iii

#### Abstract

The deaf and hearing-impaired make up a considerable percentage of the world community having some essential needs that researchers have recently begun to target. There is no such proper way to communicate with deaf society instead of learning sign language. Sign language is a natural language that can be used as a powerful weapon for communicating with deaf society. The target objective of the research is to propose a Generic Architectural model to bridge the communication gap between deaf and non-deaf people. In the desertion, this problem was tackled by presenting the "Architectural model for Text to Sign Language Interpreter" system to translate text into any given Sign Language. Language parser Handler service is introduced as an API Contract. This Language parser Handler service acts as an abstraction layer for the backend services which are doing the NLP processing. Any researcher interested in translating sign language from a text can use this proposed model. A new language can be introduced to the model with minimum configurations. Instead of developing the entire model they just need to focus on the actual Language processing part. It should be a RESTFul microservice. This model can be used to test their API

In a nutshell, the Language-specific parser service does the following. First, the individual words are extracted by tokenizing the input sentence. The morphological analysis is then performed to identify the basic elements of the respective words. Basic components can be a sign stem, sign marker, or fingerspelling characters. After that, the identified basic word components (stream of tokens) are sent back to the client-side as a JSON string.

The primary tokens are extracted from the JSON output. Then the tokens are mapped with the corresponding SiGML files which are forwarded to the signing avatar embedded in the client UI. The final output is made by the signing avatar model which gives a stream of sign frames.

keywords: Sign Language, Service-Oriented Architecture

### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank and extend my heartfelt gratitude to Prof.Indika Perera for the continuous supervision of my research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me throughout the research, and in writing this dissertation

Besides my supervisor, I would like to thank Mrs.Renuka Padmalatha who has given me knowledge of the Sinhala sign language, for her encouragement, insightful comments, and time consecrate for the research.

Special thanks to all the people who had done their research based on related areas and had committed their lives for the success of inventions. Without their contribution, this thesis would not be a reality.

My sincere thanks also go to my parents, my wife, and my family who had given me help and courage to do this research and to be strong in life as a successor. Also, I thank my friends who are always with me in any situation.

In order to preserve anonymity they cannot be named. Without their help, carrying out this research would not be possible.

Manupriya Banu Liyanapathirana, June 26, 2022

## Table of Contents

Declara	ation		iii								
Abstract											
Acknow	wledgn	nents	vi								
List of	Figur	es	x								
СНАР	TER 1	1: INTRODUCTION	1								
1.1	Motiv	ation	2								
1.2	Aim a	and Objectives	4								
1.3	Scope		5								
1.4	Struct	cure of the thesis	7								
CHAP	TER 2	2: LITERATURE REVIEW	8								
2.1	Deaf o	culture	8								
2.2	Sign I	Language	9								
	2.2.1	Sinhala Sign Language	10								
	2.2.2	Sign language features	11								
		2.2.2.1 Sign Order	11								
		2.2.2.2 Signing Space, Placement and Pronouns	12								
		2.2.2.3 Directional or Agreement Verbs	12								
		2.2.2.4 Classifiers	12								
		2.2.2.5 Time Lines	13								
	2.2.3	Sign Language Phonology	13								
	2.2.4	Sign Alphabet	15								

2.3	Existi	ng systems	19
	2.3.1	Speech to Sign Language Interpreter System (SSLIS)	19
	2.3.2	Speech to Sign Language translation system for Spanish	20
	2.3.3	Existing 3D avatar tools	21
		2.3.3.1 eSign	22
		2.3.3.2 ViSiCAST	23
		2.3.3.3 JASigning	24
		2.3.3.4 CWA Signing Avatars	24
2.4	Techn	ologies	25
	2.4.1	Python	25
	2.4.2	Flask framwork	26
		2.4.2.1 Installing python packages with Flask	26
		2.4.2.2 Routes and View Functions	26
		2.4.2.3 Server Startup	27
	2.4.3	NoSQL Databases	27
		2.4.3.1 Existing NoSQL Solutions	28
2.5	Softwa	are Architecture Evaluation Techniques	29
	2.5.1	ATAM - Architecture Trad-off Analysis method	30
СНАР	TER :	3: METHODOLOGY	34
3.1	Resea	rch methodology	34
3.2	Prerec	corded videos and avatar library	35
3.3	Syster	m Design	35
	3.3.1	UI Client	37
	3.3.2	API Gateway	37
	3.3.3	Language Parser Handling Service	38
	3.3.4	Language parser services	41
	3.3.5	Client Event Handler	43
	3.3.6	SiGML converter	45

CHAP	TER 4: Evaluation of the Architecture	48
4.1	Quality Attributes	48
4.2	ATAM - Architecture Trad-off Analysis method	52
4.3	System comparison with an existing application	59
	4.3.1 Evaluation procedure	59
	4.3.2 Result analysis	60
CHAP	TER 5: Conclusion	64
5.1	Discussion	66
5.2	Future Work	67
Refere	nces	68

## List of Figures

1.1	High level Architecture of the proposed system	5
2.1	Corresponding sign sequence for Who are your friends [24]	11
2.2	Basic categorization of Sign Language	14
2.3	Sinhala sign alphabet	16
2.4	Minor vowel signs for sound $ai$ and $au$	17
2.5	Phoneme modifiers in SSL	17
2.6	Different letter pairs in SSL	18
2.7	Finger-spelled signs for word "SRI LANKA"	18
2.8	Finger-spelling alphabet for ASL	19
2.9	Structural design of speech to sign language interpreter	20
2.10	Structural design of Spanish to sign language translation	22
2.11	eSign signing avatar and $ViSiCAST$ signing avatar	24
2.12	CWASA: Marc and Anna	25
3.1	Architectural design of the proposed text to sign language interpreter	36
3.2	Client UI interface	37
3.3	Invoking Microservices Language Parser Handler via Postman	38
3.4	Response of the LanguageParserHandler via Postman	39
3.5	Calling the Parser Handler microservice from JavaScript code $$	40
3.6	passed_string collection in mongoDb	40
3.7	Connect with MongoDB from Flask	41
3.8	Lemmatizing tokens with python's NLTK	42
3.9	Generating Fingerspelling	44
3.10	Client Event Handler	44

3.11	$\operatorname{SiGML}$	Converte	r.								٠				46
3.12	SiGML	Dictionar	у					 •					•	•	46
4.1	Utility t	ree													56

## Nomenclature

ASL American Sign Language

ASR Architectural Significant Requirments

ATAM Architecture Trad-off Analysis method

BSL British Sign Language

HamNoSys Hamburg Notation System

SigML Signing Gesture Markup Language

SL Sign Language

SOV Subject Object Verb

SSL Sinhala Sign Language

SVO Subject Verb Object

XML Extensible Markup Language