A NEURAL NETWORK BASED MODEL FOR FORECASTING THE POWER OUTPUT OF A COMMERCIAL SCALE PHOTOVOLTAIC POWER PLANT

M.A.A.P. Manchanayaka

(178253F)

Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2022

A NEURAL NETWORK BASED MODEL FOR FORECASTING THE POWER OUTPUT OF A COMMERCIAL SCALE PHOTOVOLTAIC POWER PLANT

M.A.A.P. Manchanayaka

(178253F)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2022

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work, and this dissertation does not incorporate without

acknowledgement, any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other

University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not

contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the

acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute

my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to

use this content in whole or part in future works such as articles or books.

Signature:

UOM Verified Signature

Date: 21/04/2022

M.A.A.P. Manchanayaka

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature: UOM Verified Signature

Date: 21/04/2022

Dr. Indrajīth D. Nissanka

iii

Acknowledgement

The research was carried out under the supervision of Dr. Indrajith D. Nissanka, Senior lecturer Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa. I am very much grateful to Dr. Nissanka for giving me his immense support and invaluable guidance throughout this study. I am very much grateful to Dr. Himan Punchihewa, Head of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, and the former course coordinator of MEng /PG Diploma of Energy Technology, for his extended guidance and encouragement from the first academic day of the programme to the very end. I would also like to thank Mr. Pubudu Pathirana, Senior Engineer, Panasian Power PLC for supporting me on collecting the data and other required information of Beliatta solar PV plant. Finally, I would like to acknowledge all the lecturers and supporting staff for their utmost support during the study of entire MEng programme.

Abstract

Solar photovoltaic (PV) is penetrating electrical grids with a substantial growth of new additions, as a result of renewable energy policies and plans implemented locally and globally. However, the intermittent nature of the availability of solar energy brings an uncertainty into electrical power systems making it complex for power management and integrating into existing electricity infrastructure. This has been a key issue in promoting renewable energy in developing countries. Accurate solar power forecasts in different time horizons can play a vital role to bring down the uncertainty by a significant margin. In this work, a neural network (NN) model was coupled with a decomposition and transposition (D&T) model to forecast day(s) ahead hourly PV output of a grid connected 1 MW solar PV plant located in Hambantota, Sri Lanka. Historical weather and solar radiation data for last 14 years were collected from two APIs (Application Programming interfaces) for the location of PV plant and variation of global horizontal irradiation (GHI) with percentage cloud cover, rain, temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed were analysed. The selected parameters from the analysis together with day and hour numbers were fed in to the NN model through a scaling layer and trained it using Levenberg-Marquardt backpropagation algorithm. Optimum NN model was selected by changing the hidden layer sizes and calculating the mean squared error. The forecasted GHI values of the optimized NN model were decomposed to diffuse horizontal irradiance (DHI) and direct normal irradiance (DNI) using Erbs correlation, as the first step of D & T model. Then, DHI and DNI components were converted to global tilted irradiance (GTI) using HDKR correlation, in order to calculate solar PV output, including possible plant specific losses. The correlation coefficient (R) between GHI output and target values of the trained NN model for an unseen testing data set was observed to be 0.86. For final model, mean percentage forecasting accuracy was observed to be 86% with 12% standard deviation. The model could be adopted to any commercial or utility scale solar PV plant which is in a tropical climate region.

Key words: Machine Learning Model, Neural Network, Solar Power Forecast, Solar PV, Utility scale power plant

Table of Contents

Declarat	tion	i
Acknow	rledgment	ii
Abstract	<u></u>	iii
Table of	Contents	iv
List of F	Figures	.vii
List of T	Tables	X
List of A	Abbreviations	xi
CHAPT		
1.1	Brief History of World's Energy	
1.2	Dominance of Fossil Fuels as the Primary Energy Source	
1.3	Global Issues of Using Fossil Fuels	
1.3.	Pollution and Climate Change	4
1.3.	2 Sustainability of Using of Fossil Fuels	7
1.4	Energy Transition from Fossil Fuels to Renewables	8
1.5	Solar PV Energy - The Fastest Growing Renewable Energy Technology	. 10
1.6	The Future of Utility Scale Solar PV Plants	. 12
1.7	Local Energy Scenario and Context of Solar Energy	. 14
1.8	Challenges in Grid Operation and Power Management with High Solar PV Share	. 16
1.9	Role of Solar PV Power Forecasting in Future Electricity Sector	. 18
1.10	Importance of one day ahead hourly forecasts	. 19
1.11	Problem Statement	. 20
1.12	Aim and Objectives of the Study	. 21
1.12	2.1 Scope of the Study	. 21
1.12	2.2 Outline of the Thesis	. 21
CHAPT		
2.1	Basics of Solar Radiation	
2.1.		
2.1.	2 Estimating Hourly Solar Radiation on a Tilted Surface	. 24

,	2.1.2.	1 Isotropic Transposition Model	25
,	2.1.2.	2 Anisotropic Transposition Model	27
2.2	Est	imating Energy Generation of PV Systems	28
2.3	Cla	ssification of Forecasting Methods	32
2.3	3.1	Time Series Methods	33
,	2.3.1.	1 Moving Average Forecasting	33
,	2.3.1.	2 Exponential Smoothening Forecasting	34
	2.3.1.	3 Decomposition Forecasting	35
,	2.3.1.	4 Box - Jenkins Forecasting	37
2.3	3.2	Causal Methods	39
	2.3.2.	1 Regression Analysis Method for Forecasting	39
	2.3.2.	2 Forecasting Using Artificial Neural Networks	40
2.4	Tra	ining of an Artificial Neural Network	45
2.4	4.1	Backpropagation and Gradient Descent Method	46
2.4	4.2	Conjugate Gradient Descent Method	50
2.4	4.3	Quasi - Newton Method	51
2.4	4.4	Levenberg-Marquardt Method	51
2.5	Ap	plication of Forecasting Techniques in Solar Power Related Forecasting	53
2.6	Eva	lluating a Forecasting Model Performance	56
2.7	Sur	nmary of Literature Review	59
CHAP	TER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	60
3.1	Intr	oduction	60
3.2	Col	lection of Data	61
3.2	2.1	Weather and Solar Radiation Data.	61
3.2	2.2	Plant Specific Data	63
3.2	2.3	Generation Data	65
3.3	Ide	ntifying Input Parameters for the ANN Model	65
3.4	Pre	paration of Data for the ANN Model	65
3.5	Tra	ining the NN Model	67
3.6	Dec	composition & Transposition Model	68
3.7	Val	idation of the Entire Forecasting Model	70

CHAPTER 4	4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	71
4.1 An	alyzing the Variation of GHI with Different Weather Parameters	71
4.1.1	Variation of GHI with the Day of a Year	71
4.1.2	Variation of GHI During a Day	72
4.1.3	Variation of GHI with Cloud Cover	73
4.1.4	Variation of GHI with Relative Humidity	76
4.1.5	Variation of GHI with Temperature	79
4.1.6	Variation of GHI with Wind Speed	81
4.1.7	Variation of GHI with Rain Fall	84
4.2 Tra	ining of NN Models with Different Configurations	87
4.2.1	Single Hidden Layer NN Model	87
4.2.2	Two Hidden Layer Models	90
4.2.3	Performance of the 52 Hidden Layer Size NN Model	91
4.3 Sha	nding Loss Simulation	96
4.4 Val	lidation Results of the Forecasting Model	98
4.5 Fur	ther Discussion of the Model Performance	101
CHAPTER :	5 CONCLUSIONS	107

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Percentage growth of global energy supplied by source	2
Figure 1-2: World Energy Consumption - Total, based on fossil fuels, renewables and nuc	lear
from 1800 to 2019	3
Figure 1-3: Comparison of global CO ₂ emission with world energy contribution by burnin	g fossil
fuel	5
Figure 1-4: Annually mean temperature anomalies relative to the base period $1901 - 2000$	6
Figure 1-5: History of world's proved oil & gas reserves for 1980 - 2019 period	7
Figure 1-6: World share of renewable energy consumption 1960 - 2019	9
Figure 1-7: Evolving of Solar and Wind share in Global Electricity Production by renewal	
last two decades	10
Figure 1-8: Annual capacity additions of solar, wind and hydro, 2014 - 2020	11
Figure 1-9: Global Solar PV capacities from past to future	12
Figure 1-10: Global annual solar PV capacity additions by application segment, 2015-202	2 13
Figure 1-11: Sri Lanka's primary energy supply by source in 2020	14
Figure 1-12: Expecting NCRE capacity increase in power generation by 2039	
Figure 1-13: Intermittent nature of VRE energy sources	
Figure 2-1: Zenith angle, slope, surface azimuth angle, and solar azimuth angle for a tilted	
surface (a). Plan view showing solar azimuth angle (b)	22
Figure 2-2: Components of solar radiation accounted for anisotropic sky model	
Figure 2-3: Variation of the soiling loss with the time in a solar system installed in IIT Box	
Mumbai	31
Figure 2-4: Classification of forecasting methods	32
Figure 2-5: Different components of a time series after decomposition	36
Figure 2-6: Schematic representation of the Box-Jenkins methodology for time series models	deling
	38
Figure 2-7: Neuron model with weighted inputs and embedded transfer function f	41
Figure 2-8: Architecture of a multilayer feed-forward neural network	42
Figure 2-9: Notations for the j th neuron in l th layer of an ANN	43
Figure 2-10: Examples of Optimization algorithms used for training of an ANN model	46
Figure 2-11: Heavy ball method - A heavy ball rolling downhill will roll up and over a sm	
to reach to global minimum	
Figure 2-12: Performance comparison of optimization algorithms	52
Figure 2-13: Solar forecasting models	
Figure 3-1: Schematic diagram of the forecasting model	
Figure 3-2: Collection of data for different stages of the study	
Figure 3-3: Different weather APIs	
Figure 3-4: Different solar radiation APIs	
Figure 3-5: Layout plan - Beliatta ground mounted solar PV plant	

Figure 3-6: Neural Network (NN) model architecture	. 67
Figure 3-7: Schematic diagram of the Decomposition & Transposition model	. 69
Figure 4-1: Variation of monthly averaged daily insolation on a horizontal surface over the ye	
Figure 4-2: Variation of GHI during the daytime	
Figure 4-3: Similar variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of clocover (b) - plotted for 10 th March 2011 and 10 th March 2020	
Figure 4-4: Different variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of	. 73
cloud cover (b) - plotted for 10 th March 2011 and 10 th March 2018	74
Figure 4-5: Variation of GHI with the cloud cover in the year 2015 from 12.00 noon to 1.00 p	
·	
Figure 4-6: Similar variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of	. 13
Relative humidity (b) - plotted for 10 th March 2011 and 10 th March 2020	76
Figure 4-7: Different variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of	. 70
Relative humidity (b) - plotted for 10 th March 2011 and 10 th March 2018	77
Figure 4-8: Variation of GHI with RH in the year 2015 from 12.00 noon to 1.00 pm	
Figure 4-9: Similar variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of	. 70
Temperature (b) - plotted for 10 th March 2011 and 10 th March 2020	79
Figure 4-10: Different variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of	
Temperature (b) - plotted for 23 rd February 2016 and 02 nd December 2016	
Figure 4-11: Variation of GHI with Temperature in the year 2015 from 12.00 noon to 1.00 pm	
Figure 4-12: Similar variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of	101
Wind speed (b) - plotted for 10 th March 2011 and 10 th March 2020	82
Figure 4-13: Different variations of GHI in two different days (a) and respective variations of	
Wind speed (b) - plotted for 10 th March 2011 and 10 th March 2018	
Figure 4-14: Variation of GHI with Wind speed in the year 2015 from 12.00 noon to 1.00 pm	
Figure 4-15: Variation of GHI - 28 th October 2011,2016 and 2019 (a), Hourly Rainfall - 28 th	
October 2011 (b), Hourly Rainfall - 28 th October 2019 (c)	. 85
Figure 4-16: Variation of solar insolation received from 2015 January to 2021 February for ra	
days during 10.30 am to 1.30 pm.	-
Figure 4-17: Variation of MSE of the trained model with hidden layer sizes from 5 to 700	
Figure 4-18: Variation of MSE of the trained model with hidden layer sizes from 4 to 100	
Figure 4-19: Process of convergence of mean squared error with the number of epochs	
Figure 4-20: Variation of gradient, controlling parameter μ and process of validation with the	
number of epochs in the training process of 52 hidden layer size NN model	
Figure 4-21: Target -output plots with R values obtained for the NN model after training	
Figure 4-22: Target -output plot with R value for 2020 -21 data set	
Figure 4-23: Error histograms for (a) Training data set; (b) Validation data set; (c) Testing dat	
set; (d) Testing data set 2020 - 21	. 95

Figure 4-24: Variation of actual and forecasted GHI values for a period of one week (From	
04/05/2020 to 10/05/2020)	95
Figure 4-25: 3D representation of the plant (Modeled in PVsyst)	96
Figure 4-26: Cylindrical sun path chart for the plant location with iso shading curves	97
Figure 4-27: A one day hourly power forecast of the model (Plotted for the date 15th January	,
2021)	98
Figure 4-28: Comparison of actual energy generation of the PV plant for the period of Januar	ry
2020 to February 2021 with model predictions	99
Figure 4-29: Target - output plot with R value for the entire model (2020 - 21 data set)	99
Figure 4-30: Error histogram for the entire model (2020 - 21data set)	100
Figure 4-31: Daily residual distribution throughout the 14 months period	100
Figure 4-32: Dependence of the forecasting accuracy with cloud cover and rain fall	102
Figure 4-33: Scatter plot - Cloud cover Vs Forecasting accuracy	102
Figure 4-34: Daily Energy Generations Vs Forecasting Accuracy	103
Figure 4-35: Efficiency curves - PVS-100-TL inverters	104
Figure 4-36: Comparison of plant energy conversion efficiency with forecasting accuracy	105

List of Tables

Table 1-1: Comparison of commonly used power plants for each generation technology with	
regards to flexibility	19
Table 2-1: STC and NOCT test conditions for a solar PV cell	29
Table 2-2: Common types of losses represented in ηsys	30
Table 2-3: Main highlights of different forecasting techniques	44
Table 2-4: Different time horizons in solar forecasting	53
Table 2-5: Some of the available research work on solar forecasting	55
Table 3-1: Sample of hourly weather parameters on 14th of January 2021 at 11.30 am	62
Table 3-2: Sample of hourly solar radiation parameters on 14th of January 2021 at 11.30 am	63
Table 3-3: Manufacturer specifications of solar PV modules and inverters	64
Table 3-4: Data span, Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) of the input - output parameters for	
NN model	66
Table 3-5: Details of data allocation for training, validation and testing of the model	68
Table 3-6: Percentage of different PV losses	70
Table 4-1: Correlation coefficient between cloud cover and GHI for different years	76
Table 4-2: Correlation coefficients between Relative humidity and GHI for different years	78
Table 4-3: Correlation coefficients between Temperature and GHI for different years	81
Table 4-4: Correlation coefficients between Wind speed and GHI for different years	84
Table 4-5: Summary of the analyzed input weather parameters	87
Table 4-6: Mean squared errors for two hidden layer models with different configurations	90
Table 4-7: Hourly shading losses for the specified dates in the cylindrical sun path diagram	97
Table 4-8: Monthly mean forecasting accuracies of the model	101
Table 4-9: Monthly mean standard deviation of the energy conversion efficiency	106

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation Description

ANN Artificial Neural Network

API Application Programming Interface

ARIMA Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average

BFGS Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno formula

CCGT Combined Cycle Gas Turbine

CEB Ceylon Electricity Board

DFP Davidon–Fletcher–Powell algorithm

DHI Diffuse Horizontal Irradiance

EBH Direct Horizontal Irradiance

FFNN Feed Forward Neural Network

GA-ANN Genetic Algorithm-based Artificial Neural Network

GHI Global Horizontal Irradiance

GTI Global Tilted Irradiance

HDKR Hay-Davies-Klucher-Reindl model

ICE Internal Combustion Engines
IEA International Energy Agency

IEC International Electrotechnical Commission

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IRENA International Renewable Energy Agency

LM Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm

MAE Mean Absolute Error

MAPE Mean Absolute Percentage Error

ME Mean Error

MIT Massachusetts Institute of Technology

MLP Multilayer Perceptron

MLR Multiple linear regression

MSE Mean Squared Error

NCRE Non-Conventional Renewable Energy

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NOCT Nominal Operating Cell Temperature conditions

OCGT Open Cycle Gas Turbine

PV Photovoltaic

RH Relative Humidity

RMSE Root Mean Squared Error
RNN Recurrent neural network

SD Standard Deviation

SE Squared Error

STC Standard Test Condition

STL Seasonal-Trend decomposition procedure based on Loess

UTC Universal coordinated Time

VRE Variable Renewable Energies

WRC World Radiation Center