

REFERENCES

- Ackerman, F., & Heinzerling, L. (2004). *Priceless: On knowing the price of everything and the value of nothing*. New York: New Press.
- Aldian, A., & Taylor, M. (2005). A consistent method to determine flexible criteria weights for multicriteria transport project evaluation in developing countries. *Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies*, 6, 3948 - 3963.
- Amador, F. J., Gonzalez, R. M., & Ortuza, J. D. (2005). Preference heterogeneity and willingness to pay for travel time savings. *Transportation*, 32(6), 627–647.
- Annema, J. A., Koopmans, C., & Wee, B. V. (2007). Evaluating transport infrastructure investments: The Dutch experience with a standardized approach. *Transport Reviews: A Transnational Transdisciplinary Journal*, 27:2, 125-150. doi:10.1080/01441640600843237
- Annema, J. A., Mouter, N., & Razaeei, J. (2015). Cost-benefit analysis (CBA), or multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) or both: politicians' perspective in transport policy appraisal. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 788 – 797.
- Asensio, J., & Roca, O. (2001). Evaluation of transport infrastructure projects beyond cost benefit analysis. An application to Barcelona's 4th ring road. *International Journal of Transport Economics*, XXVIII(3), 387-403.
- Asian Development Bank [ADB]. (2017). *Guidelines for the economic analysis of projects*. Manila.

- ATAP Steering Committee. (2016). *Australian transport assessment and planning guidelines: T3 wider economic impacts*. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia.
- Balmat, J., Lafont, F., Maifret, R., & Pessel, N. (2011). A decision-making system to maritime risk assessment. *Ocean Engineering*, 38, 171-176.
- Banister, D. (1997). *SAMI project: Decision makers requirements for assessment*. European Commission.
- Banville, C., Landry, M., Marte, I. J.-M., & Boulaire, C. (1998). A stakeholder approach to MCDA. *Systems Research and Behavioural Science*, 15-32.
- Barford, M. B., & Salling, K. B. (2015). A new composite decision support framework for strategic and sustainable transport appraisal. *Transport Research Part A*, 1-15.
- Barford, M. B., Salling, K. B., & Leleur, S. (2011). Composite decision support by combining cost-benefit and multi-criteria decision analysis. *Decision Support Systems*, 51, 167-175.
- Basbas, S., & Makridakis, C. (2007). A review of the contribution of multi-criteria analysis to the evaluation process of transport projects. *International Journal for Sustainable Development and Planning*, 2(4), 387–407. doi:10.2495/SDP-V2-N4-387-407
- Beesley, M. E., & Evans, T. C. (1970). *The costs and benefits of road safety measures*. Paris: European Conference of Ministers of Transport.

- Behzadian, M., Kazemzadeh, R. B., Albadvi, A., & Aghdasi, M. (2010). PROMETHEE: A comprehensive literature review on methodologies and applications. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 200, 198–215. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2009.01.021
- Belli, P., Anderson, J., Barnum, H., Dixon, J., & Tan, J.-P. (1998). *Handbook on economic analysis of investment operations*. World Bank, Operational Core Services Network Learning and Leadership Center, Washington D.C.
- Belton, V., & Gear, T. (1983). On a short-coming of Saaty's method of analytic hierarchies. *Omega*, 11(3), 228–230. doi:10.1016/0305-0483(83)90047-6
- Beria, P., Maltese, I., & Mariotti, I. (2012). Multicriteria versus cost benefit analysis: A comparative perspective in the assessment of sustainable mobility. *European Transport Research Review*, 4, 137-152. doi:10.1007/s12544-012-0074-9
- Beukers, E. L., Bertolini, L., & Te Brömmelstroet, M. (2012). Why cost benefit analysis is perceived as a problematic tool for assessment of transport plans: A process perspective. *Transportation Research Part A*, 46, 68-78.
- Bianchini, A. (2018). 3PL provider selection by AHP and TOPSIS methodology. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 25(1), 235–252. doi:10.1108/bij-08-2016-0125
- Bickel, P., Arampatzis, G., Burgess, A., Esposito, R., Fagiani, P., Friedrich, R., . . . Traedal, Y. (2006). *HEATCO: Final technical report (Deliverable 7)*. Brussels: European Union.

- Bristow, A., & Nellthorp, J. (2000). Transport project appraisal in the European Union. *Transport Policy*, 7 (1), 51-60.
- Browne, D., & Ryan, L. (2011). Comparative analysis of evaluation techniques for transport policies. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 31, 226-233.
- Bruce, C. (1975). *Social-benefit analysis: A guide for country and project economists to the derivation and application of social accounting prices*. IBRD, Washington D.C.
- Buncle, A., Daigneault, A., Holland, P., Fink, A., Hook, S., & Marita, M. (2013). *Cost benefit analysis for natural resource management in the Pacific: A guide*. Fiji: SPREP/ SPC/ PIFS/ Landcare Research and GIZ.
- Campos, J., Rus, G. d., & Barrón, I. (2007). The cost of building and operating a new high speed rail line. In *Economic analysis of high speed rail in Europe*. Madrid: BBVA Foundation.
- Cerwenda, P., & Ruhle, O. (2009). Are congestion costs external costs? *Traffic Engineering & Control*, 50(6), 275-280.
- Chang, K. L., Liao, S. K., Tseng, T. W., & Liao, C. Y. (2015). An ANP based TOPSIS approach for Taiwanese service apartment location selection. *Asia Pacific Management Review* (2015), 1-7.
- Chung, S. H., Lee, A. H., & Pearn, W. L. (2005). Analytic network process (ANP) approach for product mix planning in semiconductor fabricator. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 96, 15 - 36.

- Coombs, H., Hobbs, D., & Jenkins, E. (2005). *Management accounting: Principles & applications*. London: SAGE Publication.
- Damart, S., & Roy, B. (2002). Cost-benefit analysis, a tool for discerning and legitimizing? *Metropolis*, 7-16.
- Damart, S., & Roy, B. (2009). The uses of cost- benefit analysis in public transportation decision-making in France. *Transport Policy*, 16, 200-212.
- Dasgupta, P., Marglin, S., & Sen, A. (1972). *Guidelines for project evaluation*. UNIDO, New York.
- De Jong, G. (2013). *International comparison of transport appraisal practices: The Netherland country report*. London: Department for Transport, UK.
- De Jong, M., & Geerlings, H. (2003). Exposing weaknesses in interactive planning: the remarkable return of comprehensive policy analysis in The Netherlands. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 21(4), 281-291.
doi:10.3152/147154603781766149
- De Jong, W. M. (2000). Cost-effective use of evaluation models: An empirical cross-national investigation. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 19 (1-5), 368-383.
- Department for Communities and Local Government (UK). (2009). *Multi-criteria analysis: A manual*. London: Crown.
- Department of Transport [UK]. (2005). *Transport, wider economic benefits, and impacts on GDP*. London.

- Department of Transport [UK]. (2014). *Transport analysis guidance: An overview of transport appraisal*. London.
- Douglas, N. J., & Brooker, T. (2013). *International comparison of transport appraisal practice*. London: Department of Transport, UK.
- Douglas, N. J., Wallis, I., Lawrence, A., & Wignall, D. (2013). *International comparison of transport appraisal practice: New Zealand country report*. London: Department of Transport, UK.
- Douglas, N., & O'Keeffe, B. (2016). Wider economic benefits – When and if they should be used in evaluation of transport project. *Australasian Transport Research Forum 2016 Proceedings*. Melbourne.
- Economic Commission for Europe [ECU]. (2003). *Cost-benefit analysis of transport infrastructure projects*. Geneva: United Nations.
- Economic Evaluation Branch of Transport Canada. (1994). *Guide to benefit-cost analysis in Transport Canada*. Ottawa: Transport Canada.
- European Commission [EC]. (2008). *Guide to cost-benefit analysis of investment projects*. Brussels: European Union.
- European Commission [EC]. (2014). *Guide to cost-benefit analysis of investment projects: Economic appraisal tool for cohesion policy 2014-2020*. Brussels: European Union. doi:10.2776/97516
- European Conference of Ministers of Transport. (2004). *Assessment & decision making for sustainable transport*. Paris: European Conference of Ministers of Transport.

- Farahani, R., Steadie Seifi, M., & Asgari, N. (2010). Multiple criteria facility location problems: A survey. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 34(7), 1689-1709.
- Farrow, S., & Toman, M. (1998). *Using environmental benefit-cost analysis to improve government performance*. Washington DC: Resource for the Future.
- Feng, S. I., Yun, Z. H., Ming, Z., & Bao, Y. X. (2011). Multi-criterion system optimization model for urban multimodal traffic network. *China Technological Sciences*, 54, 947–954. doi:10.1007/s11431-010-4244-5
- Figueira, J., Salvatore, G., & Matthias, E. (2005). *Multiple criteria decision analysis: State of the art surveys*. New York: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Frost, M. J. (1977). *Cost benefit analysis: Paper presented at the 36th round table on transport economics*. Paris: European Conference of Ministers of Transport.
- Gamper, C. D., Thoni, M., & Weck-Hannemann, H. (2006). A conceptual approach to the use of cost benefit and multi-criteria analysis in natural hazard management. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 6(2), 293-302.
- García-Cascales, M. S., & Lamatab, M. T. (2012). On rank reversal and TOPSIS method. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 56, 123-132.
- Gencer, C., & Gumpinar, D. (2007). Analytic network process in supplier selection. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 31, 2475–2486.
- Geurs, K. T., Boon, W., & Van Wee, B. (2009). Social impacts of transport: Literature review and the state of the practice of transport appraisal in the Netherlands and United Kingdom. *Transport Reviews: A Transnational Transdisciplinary Journal*, 69-90.

- Gorener, A. (2012). Comparing AHP and ANP: An application of strategic decisions making in a manufacturing company. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(11), 194-208.
- Grant-Muller, S., Mackie, P., Nellthorp, J., & Pearman, A. (2001). Economic appraisal of European transport projects – The state-of-the-art revisited. *Transport Reviews*, 21 (2), 237-261.
- Grimaldi, R., & Beria, P. (2013). Open issues in the practice of cost benefit analysis of transport projects. *General Proceedings of the 13th World Conference on Transport Research*. Munich: Munich Personal RePEc Archive.
- Gühnemann, A. (2013). *International comparison of transport appraisal practices: The Germany country report*. London: Department of Transport, UK.
- Guhnemann, A., Laird, J. J., & Pearman, A. D. (2012). Combining cost-benefit and multi-criteria analysis to prioritise a national road infrastructure programme. *Transport Policy*, 23, 15–24.
- Haezendonck, E. (2007). *Transport project evaluation: Extending the social cost-benefit approach*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Hansen, J. R. (1986). *Guide to practical project appraisal: Social cost-benefit analysis*. Vienna: United Nations Industrial Development Organization.
- Harrison, A. J., & Quarmby, D. A. (1969). *The Value of time in transport planning: A review of sixth round table on transport economics*. Paris: European Conference of Ministers of Transport.

- Hayashi, Y., & Morisugi, H. (2000). International comparison of background concept and methodology of transportation project appraisal. *Transport Policy*, 7, 73-88.
- Heinzerling, L., & Ackerman, F. (2002). *Pricing the priceless: Cost-benefit analysis of environmental protection*. Washington, DC: Georgetown Environmental Law and Policy Institute, Georgetown University Law Center.
- Heit, E., & Rotello, C. M. (2010). Relations between inductive reasoning and deductive reasoning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 805-812.
- Hickman, R., & Dean, M. (2017). *Incomplete cost - incomplete benefit analysis in transport appraisal*. London: Transport Reviews. doi:10.1080/01441647.2017.1407377
- Highway Management Research Group. (2010). *HDM-4 (Version 2)*. Paris: World Road Association.
- Hinloopen, E., Nijkamp, P., & Rietveld, P. (1983). The Regime method: A new multicriteria technique. In P. Hansen (Ed.), *Essays and Surveys on Multiple Criteria Decision Making. Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems* (Vol. 209, pp. 146-155). Berlin: Springer.
- Hüging, H., Glensor, K., & Oliver, L. (2013). *Methodologies for cost-benefit and impact analyses in urban transport innovation*. Döppersberg: Wuppertal Institute.

- Hwang, C. L., Lai, Y. J., & Liu, T. Y. (1993). A new approach for multiple objective decision making. *Computers & Operations Research*, 20(8), 889–899. doi:10.1016/0305-0548(93)90109-v
- Hwangand, C. L., & Yoon, K. (1981). *Multiple attribute decision making: Methods and applications*. Heidelberg: Springer.
- Hyard, A. (2012). Cost-benefit analysis according to Sen: An application in the evaluation of transport infrastructures in France. *Transportation Research Part A*, 46, 707-719.
- International Transport Forum. (2010). *Improving practice of cost benefit analysis in transport*. Queretaro: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Japan International Cooperation Agency. (2010). *New JICA guidelines for project evaluation*. Tokyo.
- Jensen, A. V. (2012). Appraisal of transport projects: Assessing robustness in decision making. Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark.
- Joint Transport Research Centre. (2008). *Wider economic transport benefits: Macro-meso and micro planning and investment tools*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Jones, H., Domingos, T., & Moura, F. (2014, January). Transport infrastructure evaluation using cost benefit analysis. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 111, 400-409.

- Kanemoto, Y. (2013). *Pitfalls in estimating wider economic benefits of transportation projects*. National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies. Tokyo: National Graduate Institute for Policy Studies.
- Karim, R., & Karmaker, C. L. (2016). Machine selection by AHP and TOPSIS methods. *American Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 4(1), 7-13.
- Khaki, A. M., & Shafiyi, S. M. (2011). Comparison between the output of cost benefit analysis and multi-criteria analysis in urban transportation investments. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5(11), 667-677.
- Kong, F., Wei, W., & Gong, J.-H. (2016). Rank reversal and rank preservation in ANP method. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 19(3), 821-836. doi:10.1080/09720529.2016.1197570
- Korytárová, J., & Papežiková, P. (2015). Assessment of large-scale projects based on CBA. *Procedia Computer Science*, 64, 736 – 743.
- Kumarage, A.S., & Weerawardana, J. (2013). System cost-based multi-criteria analysis for urban transport solutions. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, 212-225. doi: 10.1080/12265934.2013.776285
- Lee, D. B. (2000). Methods for evaluation of transportation projects in the USA. *Transport Policy*, 7, 41-50.
- Lee, J. W., & Kim, S. H. (2000). Using analytic network process and goal programming for interdependent information system project selection. *Computers & Operations Research*, 27, 367-382.

- Lee, J., Kang, S., & Kim, C. (2009). Software architecture evaluation methods based on cost-benefit analysis and quantitative decision making. *Empirical Software Engineering, 14*, 453-475. doi:10.1007/s10664-008-9094-4
- Lee, M. C. (2010). The analytic hierarchy and the network process in multicriteria decision making: Performance evaluation and selecting key performance indicators based on ANP Model. In *Convergence and hybrid information technologies*. Norderstedt: BoD. doi:10.5772/9643
- Little, I., & Mirrlees, J. (1974). *Project appraisal and planning for developing countries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ludwig, D., Brock, W., & Carpenter, S. (2005). Uncertainty in discount models and environmental accounting. *Ecology and Society, 10* (2).
- Macharis, C., & Bernardini, A. (2015). Reviewing the use of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis for the evaluation of transport projects: Time for a multi-actor approach. *Transport Policy, 37*, 177-186.
- Macharis, C., Turcksin, L., & Lebeau, K. (2012). Multi-actor multi-criteria analysis (MAMCA) as a tool to support sustainable decisions: State of use. *Decision Support Systems, 54*, 610–620.
- Macharis, C., Witte, A. D., & Ampe, J. (2009). The multi-actor, multi-criteria analysis methodology (MAMCA) for the evaluation of transport projects: Theory and practice. *Journal of Advanced Transportation, 43*(2), 183-202.
- Mackie, P. (2010). *Cost-benefit analysis in transport: UK perspective*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

- Mackie, P., & Preston, J. (1998). Twenty-one sources of error and bias in transport appraisal. *Transport Policy* 5, 1-7.
- Mackie, P., & Worsley, T. (2013). *International comparisons of transport appraisal practice*. London: Department of Transport, UK.
- Mackie, P., Worsley, T., & Eliasson, J. (2014). Transport appraisal revisited. *Research in Transport Economics*, 47, 3-18. doi:10.1016/j.retrec.2014.09.013
- Maibach, M., Schreyer, C., & Sutter, D. (2008). *Handbook on estimation of external costs in the transport sector*. Delft: European Commission.
- Marcial Echenique and Partners [MEP]. (2001). *EUNET/SASI final report: 4th RTD framework programme of the European Commission*. Cambridge.
- Massad, E., Ortega, N., de Barros, L., & S. C. (2008). Basic concepts of fuzzy sets theory. In *Fuzzy logic in action: Applications in Epidemiology and beyond* (Vol. 232, pp. 11-40). Berlin: Springer.
- Meade, L. M., & Presley, A. (2002). R&D project selection using the analytic network process. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 49(1), 59-66.
- Meade, L. M., & Sarkis, J. (1999). Analyzing organizational project alternatives for agile manufacturing processes: An analytical network approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, 37(2), 241–261. doi:10.1080/002075499191751
- Michael, A. L., & Revesz, R. L. (Eds.). (2013). *The diffusion of regulatory*. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Ministry of Finance. (2018). *Budget Estimates: 2019*. Colombo.

- Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The structuring of organisations: A synthesis of the research*. New York: Prentice Hall.
- Montibeller, G., & Belton, V. (2009). Qualitative operators for reasoning maps: Evaluating multi-criteria options with networks of reasons. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 195, 829–840. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2007.11.015
- Morisugi, H. (2000). Evaluation methodologies of transportation projects in Japan. *Transport Policy*, 35-40.
- Naess, P. (2006). Cost-benefit analyses of transportation investments. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 32-60. doi:10.1558/jocr.v5i1.32
- Nakamura, H. (2000). The economic evaluation of transport infrastructure: needs for international comparisons. *Transport Policy*, 7 (1), 3-6.
- Nguyen, T., Cook, S., & Gunawan, I. (2018). A functional design of a cost benefit analysis methodology for transport infrastructure projects. *5th International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Applications*. Singapore.
- Nickel, J., Ross, A., & Rhodes, D. (2009). Comparison of project evaluation using cost-benefit analysis and multi-attribute Tradespace Exploration in the Transportation Domain. *Second International Symposium on Engineering Systems*. Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Niemeyer, S., & Spash, L. (2001). Environmental valuation analysis, public deliberation, and their pragmatic syntheses: a critical appraisal. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 19, 567-585.

- Odgaard, T., Kelly, C., & Laird, J. (2005). *HEATCO : Current practice in project appraisal in Europe (Deliverable 1)*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Odgaard, T., Kelly, C., & Laird, J. (2006). *Current practice in project appraisal in Europe. Deliverable 1 of the HEATCO project*.
- Odoki, J. B., & Kerali, H. R. (2007). *HDM-4: Analytical framework and model description (Vol. 4)*. Paris: World Road Association.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD]. (2006). *Cost-Benefit Analysis and the Environment: Recent Developments*. Paris: Author.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD]. (2019, July 5). *Evolution of transport infrastructure investments since before the financial crisis*. Retrieved from International Transport Forum: <https://www.itf-oecd.org/evolution-transport-infrastructure-investments-financial-crisis>
- Pearce, D. W. (1983). Origin of cost benefit analysis. In *Study in economics: Cost benefit analysis* (pp. 14-24). London: Palgrave.
- Pearce, D., Mourato, S., & Atkinson, G. (2006). *Cost-benefit analysis and the environment: Recent developments*. Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.
- Percin, S. (2009). Evaluation of third-party logistics (3PL) providers by using a two-phase AHP and TOPSIS methodology. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 16(5), 588-604.
- Piantanakulchai, M. (2005). Analytic network process for highway corridor planning. *International Symposium on the Analytic Hierarchy Process*. Honolulu.

- Posner, E. A., & Adler, M. D. (1999). Rethinking cost benefit analysis. *Yale Law Journal*, 169-170.
- Price, A. (1999). Developments in transport policy: The new approach to the appraisal of road projects in England. *Journals of Transport Economics and Policy*, 221-226.
- Quinet, E. (2000). Evaluation methodologies of transportation projects in France. *Transport Policy*, 27-34.
- Road Development Authority. (2017). *Integrated Road Investment Program (Phase II):Traffic Studies & Economic Analysis - Western Province*. Colombo.
- Rothengatter, W. (2000). Evaluation of infrastructure investments in Germany. *Transport Policy*, 17-25.
- Roy, B. (1968). Classement et choix en presence de points de vue multiples: la methode ELECTRE. *REVUE FRANÇAISE D'INFORMATIQUE ET DE RECHERCHE OPÉRATIONNELLE*, 57-75.
- Rudolph, F., Black, C., Glensor, K., Hüging, H., Lah, O., McGeever, J., . . . Streng, M. (2014). *How urban transport projects are appraised: Current practices in EU*. Wuppertal: Wuppertal Institute for Climate, Environment and Energy.
- Saaty, R. W. (1987). The analytic hierarchy process – What it is and how it is used. *Mathematical Modelling*, 9, 161-176. doi:10.1016/0270-0255(87)90473-8
- Saaty, T. L. (1977). A scaling method for priorities in hierarchical structures. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 15, 234–281.

- Saaty, T. L. (1980). *The Analytic Hierarchy Process*. New York: McGraw Hill: Pittsburgh: RWS Publications.
- Saaty, T. L. (1996a). *Decision making with dependence and feedback: The analytic network process*. Pittsburgh: RWS Publications.
- Saaty, T. L. (1996b). *The analytic network process*. Pittsburgh: RWS Publications, Expert Choice.
- Saaty, T. L. (1999). Fundamentals of analytical network process. *International Symposium on Analytical Hierarchy Process*. Kobe.
- Saaty, T. L. (2006). The analytic network process. In T. L. Saaty, & L. Vargas, *Decision making with the analytic network process*. New York: Springer Science. doi:10.1007/0-387-33987-6_1
- Saaty, T. L. (2008a). Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. *International Journal of Services Sciences*, 1(1), 83-98.
- Saaty, T. L. (2008b). The Analytic Hierarchy and Analytic Network Measurement Processes: Applications to Decisions under Risk. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 1(1), 122-196.
- Saaty, T. L., & Vargas, L. G. (2006). *Decision making with the analytic network process: Economic, political, social and technological applications with benefits, opportunities, costs and risks*. New York: Springer Science & Business Media.

- Sadasivuni, R., O'Hara, C. G., & Nobrega, R. D. (2009). A transportation corridor case study for multi-criteria decision analysis. *Proceeding of the ASPRS 2009 annual conference*. Baltimore, Maryland: USA.
- Sandmo, A. (2011). *Economic evolving: A history of economic thoughts*. New Jersey: Prencenton University Press.
- Salling, K. B., & Banister, D. (2009). Assessment of large transport infrastructure projects: The CBA-DK model. *Transportation Research Part A*, 43, 800–813. doi:10.1016/j.tra.2009.08.001
- Salling, K. B., Jensen, A. V., & Leleur, S. (2005). COSIMA-DSS evaluation system: A new decision support system for large-scale transport infrastructure projects. (pp. 601-607). Poznan, Poland: Publishing House of Poznan University of Technology.
- Salling, K., Steer, L., & Jensen, A. V. (2007). Modelling decision support and uncertainty for large transport infrastructure projects: The CLG-DSS model of the Oresund Fixed Link. *Decision Support Systems*, 43, 1539–1547.
- Sarkis, J., & Sundarraj, R. P. (2006). Evaluation of enterprise information technologies: A decision model for high-level consideration of strategic and operational issues. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Review)*, 36(2), 260-273.
- Sassone, S., & Schaffer, W. (1978). *Cost benefit analysis - A handbook*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research methods for business students* (5th ed.). Italy: Rotolito Lombardia.

- Sayers, T. M., Jessop, A. T., & Hills, P. J. (2003). Multi-criteria evaluation of transport options—flexible, transparent and user-friendly? *Transport Policy*, *10*, 95-105.
- Schenkerman, S. (1994). Avoiding rank reversal in AHP decision-support models. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *74*, 407-419.
- Schulz, W. H. (2011). Cost-benefit analysis for transport policy considerations: A European trade-off between consumer benefits, welfare effects and administrative burden. Unpublished Manuscript.
- Schutte, I., & Brits, A. (2012). Prioritising transport infrastructure projects: towards a multi-criterion analysis. *Southern African Business Review*, *16*, 97-117.
- Sen, A. (2000). The discipline of cost-benefit analysis. *Journal of Legal Studies*, *29*, 931–952.
- Ševčíková, H., Raftery, A., & Waddell, P. (2011). Uncertain benefits: application of Bayesian melding to the Alaskan way viaduct in Seattle. *Transportation Research Part A*, *45*, 540–553. doi:10.1016/j.tra.2011.03.009
- Shahroudi, K., & Rouydel, H. (2012). Using a multi-criteria decision making approach (ANP-TOPSIS) to evaluate suppliers in Iran's auto industry. *International Journal of Applied Operational Research*, *2*(2), 37-48.
- Shang, J., Tjader, Y., & Ding, Y. (2004). A Unified Framework for Multicriteria Evaluation of Transport Projects. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 300-3013.

- Sharma, M. J., Moon, I., & Bae, H. (2008). Analytic hierarchy process to assess and optimize distribution network. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 202, 256–265.
- Shelton, J., & Medina, M. (2010). Integrated multiple-criteria decision-making method to prioritize transportation projects. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2174(1), 51 -57. doi:10.3141/2174-08
- Sijtsma, F. (2006). Project evaluation, sustainability and accountability - Combining cost-benefit analysis (CBA) and multi-criteria analysis (MCA). Stichting Ruimtelijke Economie Gronningen, Gronningen, Netherland.
- Stewart, T. (1992). A critical survey on the status of multiple criteria decision making theory and practice. *Omega International Journal of Management Science*, 20, 569-586.
- Stewart, T., & Losa, F. B. (2003). Towards reconciling outranking and value measurement practice. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 645-659.
- Tak, H. v., & Squire, L. (1975). *Economic analysis of projects: Bank staff Working Paper No 194*. IBRD. Washington D.C.: World Bank.
- Talvitie, A. (2000). Evaluation of road projects and programs in developing countries. *Transport Policy*, 61-72.
- Taslicali, A. K., & Ercan, S. (2006). The analytic hierarchy & analytic network processes in multicriteria decision making: Comparative study. *Journal of Aeronautic and Space Technologies*, 2, 55-65.

- Tavasszy, L., Jonkhoff, W., Burgess, A., Rustenburg, M., & Hunt, A. (2005). *HEATCO: Key Issues in the development of harmonised guidelines for project assessment and transport costing (Deliverable 3)*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Thomopoulos, N., Grant-Muller, S., & Tight, M. R. (2009). Incorporating equity considerations in transport infrastructure evaluation: Current practices and a proposed methodology. *Evaluation Programme Planning*, 32, 351-359.
- Tsamboulas, D., & Mikroudis, G. (2000). EFECT—evaluation framework of environmental impacts and costs of transport initiatives. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 5, 283-303.
- Tsamboulas, D., Yiotis, G., & Panou, K. (1999). Use of multicriteria methods for assessment of transport projects. *Transportation Engineering*, 125(5), 404-414.
- Tudela, A., Akiki, N., & Cisternas, R. (2006). Comparing the output of cost benefit and multi-criteria analysis An application to urban Transport Investments. *Transportation Research Part A*, 40, 414–423.
- UK Parliament*. (2011, March 2). Retrieved from Publications & records of parliamentary business:
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmtran/473/47307.htm>
- University of Moratuwa. (2015). *Strategic plan for traffic management in Colombo metropolitan region*. Colombo: Ministry of Transport, Sri Lanka.

- Van Wee, B., & Roesera, S. (2013). *Ethical theories and the cost–benefit analysis-based ex-ante evaluation of transport policies and plans*. London: Transport Reviews: A Transdisciplinary Journal.
- Velasque, M., & Hester, P. T. (2013). An analysis of multi-criteria decision making methods. *International Journal of Operations Research*, 10(2), 56-66.
- Vickerman, R. (2000). Evaluation methodologies for transport projects in the United Kingdom. *Transport Policy*, 7-16.
- Vickerman, R. (2017). Beyond cost-benefit analysis; the search for a comprehensive evaluation of transport investment. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 1-8.
- Victoria Transport Policy Institute [VTI]. (2012). *Transportation cost and benefit analysis II: Travel time cost*. Victoria: Canada.
- Walker, W. E. (2000). Policy analysis: A systematic approach to supporting policymaking in the public sector. *Journal of Multicriteria Decision Analysis*, 9, 11-27.
- Wangsness, P. B., Rodseth, K., & Hansen, W. (2016). A review of guidelines for including wider economic impacts in transport appraisal. *Transport Reviews*. doi:10.1080/01441647.2016.1217283
- Wanle, W., Ming, Z., & John, D. H. (2019). Analysis of the wider economic impact of a transport infrastructure project using an integrated land use transport model. *Sustainability*. doi:10.3390/su11020364

- Wee, B. v. (2007). Large infrastructure projects: A review of the quality of demand forecasts and cost estimations. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 34(4), 611-625.
- Wee, B. v. (2012). How suitable is CBA for the ex-ante evaluation of transport projects and policies? A discussion from the perspective of ethics. *Transport Policy*, 1-7.
- Weisbrod, G. (2013). *International comparison of transport: Appraisal practice*. London: Department of Transport, UK.
- Weiss, D. (1978). Economic evaluation of projects: A critical comparison of new World Bank methodology with the UNIDO and revised OECD approach. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 347-363.
doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0121\(78\)90040-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0121(78)90040-X)
- Wey, W. M., & Wu, K. Y. (2007). Using ANP priorities with goal programming in resource allocation in transportation. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 46, 985–1000.
- World Bank. (2005). *Transport notes: Note on the economic evaluation of transport projects*. Washington, DC.
- World Bank. (2010). *Cost-benefit analysis of World Bank projects*. Washington D.C: Author.
- Wu, C. S., Lin, C. T., & Lee, C. (2010). Optimal marketing strategy: A decision-making with ANP and TOPSIS. *International Journal Production Economics*, 127, 190–196.

- Yoon, K. (1987). A Reconciliation among discrete compromise solutions. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 38(3), 277–286. doi:10.1057/jors.1987.44
- Yuksel, I., & Dagdeviren, M. (2007). Using the analytic network process (ANP) in a SWOT analysis - A case study for a textile firm. *Information Sciences*, 177, 3364–3382.
- Zadach, L. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information & Control*, 8, 338-353.
- Żaka, J., & Kruszyński, M. (2015). Application of AHP and ELECTRE III/IV methods to multiple levels, multiple criteria evaluation of urban transportation projects. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 10, 820 – 830.