DESIGN/STRENGTHENING OF REINFORCED CONCRETE PIER HEADS

By

W R Wickramasinghe

The thesis submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Design.



Research Supervised

By

Dr. K Baskaran Senior Lecturer

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA.
September 2009

Abstract

In a developing country like Sri Lanka, it is very important to develop infrastructure to facilitate investments. In this context special emphasis should be given to the road development sector. Therefore highway projects play a major role in infrastructure development.

Southern Transport Development Project is a major ongoing highway project in Sri Lanka. Package 2 of that project having 22 highway bridges and five of them are multi span structures. The intermediate supports of those structures are hammerhead piers which are having pier heads in the range of 4-5m cantilever lengths. After erection of post tensioned girders the deck slab and the diaphragms were cast in stages to make the deck slab continuous over the piers. During construction cracks of significant widths were appeared at both ends of the pier heads. In investigating the causes for cracking it was found that, 75% of main reinforcement and 65% of shear links were curtailed in the pier head over the stem area by the designer according to the instructions of the Engineer of the project. Therefore this research focuses on the curtailment of main reinforcement and shear links of a hammerhead pier. Further, the other aspect of this study is to discuss different strengthening solutions which can be applicable to this type of under-reinforced hammerhead pier.

In this regard, different cantilever lengths of three dimensional hammerhead pier models were analysed with shell elements in two different orientations using the structural analysis package SAP2000. The reinforcement and shear link requirement of the pier cross head over the stem area was calculated and the percentage of curtailment possible is presented in this report. Finally, different solutions for strengthening a hammerhead pier are also discussed.

Acknowledgements

First, I wish to express my gratitude and thanks to the Vice Chancellor of the University. Also I wish to thank the Dean, Faculty of Engineering and Head of the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa.

Next, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the staff of the University of Moratuwa who taught me Engineering since the day I entered as an undergraduate to this excellent institution. Then I wish to express my thanks to all the staff of the structural Engineering Division of Department of Civil Engineering at the University of Moratuwa for teaching me throughout the Post Graduate course fruitfully.

I must thank Central Engineering Consultancy Bureau, my working place for providing the opportunity to follow the Post Graduate course in Structural Engineering design.

It is my duty to give my special thanks to Dr K Baskaran, Senior Lecturer at the Dept. of Civil Engineering who guided and encouraged me throughout this research work.

I would like to thank Dr. (Mrs.) M.T.P.Hettiarachchi, the research coordinator who monitored the progress of the research work timely and coordinated effectively.

Also I must thankful to the Kumagai Gumi Co. LTD for providing all necessary information required to research work. Finally I am deserving special thanks to my parents for their commitment, encouragement throughout my education.

DECLARATION

I, W R Wickramasinghe, hereby declare that the content of this thesis is the original work carried out by me. Whenever others' work is included in this thesis,

it is appropriately acknow	vledged as a reference.
Signature	÷
Name of the Student	: W R Wickramasinghe
Date	:
Signature	:
Name of the Supervisor	: Dr. K Baskaran

Date

CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Declaration.	iii
Contents	iv
List of Figures.	vii
List of Tables.	ix
Chapter 1 Introduction	
1.1 General.	1
1.2 Objectives of the study	8
1.3 Outline of the thesis.	8
Chapter 2 Literature Review	
2.1 Introduction.	9
2.2 Uses & failures in hammerhead piers.	9
2.3 Different design approaches.	12
Chapter 3 Design Review of Bridge Pier Heads	
3.1 Project definition / introduction.	16
3.2 Inspection of bridge pier heads	17
3.3 Typical bridge hammerhead pier analysis / design	18
3.3.1 Structure description.	18
3.3.2 Original analysis / design	19
3.3.3 New analysis / design.	19

	3.3.3.1 Structural model	19
	3.3.3.2 Load evaluation.	21
	3.3.3.3 Results of finite element model	23
	3.3.3.4 Design of pier head.	25
3.4 Analysis / design of hammerhead piers for different cantilever lengths		27
3.4.1	Pier arrangement 1	27
3.4.2	Pier arrangement 2	31
Chapter 4 A	nalysis of Results	
4.1 Introduc	etion	35
4.2 Curtailing	g reinforcement	35
4.2.1	B03-P5 actual arrangement of pier.	36
4.2.2	Pier arrangement 1	37
4.2.3	Pier arrangement 2.	38
4.3 Curtailing	g reinforcement in each model	38
	on	40
5.2 Different	pier strengthening methods	41
5.2.1	External post – tensioning of pier heads	41
5.2.3	Strengthening with fibre reinforced polymer (FRP)	44
5.2.3	Widening / propping of pier stem to support pier head	50
Chapter 6 C	onclusions and Recommendations	
6.1 Conclusio	ons	52
6.2 Recomme	endations for future study	53
References		54

Appendices

Appendix A: Crack survey data

Appendix B: Concrete outline drawings

Appendix C: Reinforcement drawings

Appendix D: Load evaluation for finite element model

Appendix E: Results of the finite element model

Appendix F: Design of pier head

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure1.1	Cross-section shapes of piers for over crossings or viaducts [6]	2
Figure1.2	Cross section shapes of piers for river and waterway crossings [6]	2
Figure1.3	Typical pier types for steel bridges [6]	3
Figure1.4	Typical pier types and configurations for river and waterway	
	Crossings [6]	3
Figure 1.5	Typical pier types for concrete bridges [6]	4
Figure 1.6	Bridge B03Under construction.	6
Figure1.7	Bridge B18 Girder launching	6
Figure 1.8	Pre Cast Pile foundation construction in STDP	7
Figure2.1	Fuller Warren bridge under construction	10
Figure2.2	John H Cocke memorial bridge	11
Figure 2.3	Shear cracks on the southbound hammerhead concrete pier	12
Figure2.4	Different regions in the hammerhead pier	12
Figure2.5	Two dimensional finite element model with shell elements	13
Figure 2.6	Three dimensional finite element model with solid elements	14
Figure2.7	Three dimensional finite element model with shell elements	14
Figure 2.8	Strut and tie model for hammerhead pier	15
Figure3.1	Bridge B03 under construction.	17
Figure3.2	Typical pattern of cracks appear in pier head	18
Figure3.3	B03 –P5 cracks.	18
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of the pier head B03-P5	20
Figure3.5	Structural model of B03-P5.	20
Figure3.6	Sign convention used for load evaluation of pier head	22
Figure 3.7	Variation of bending moment along the pier head	24
Figure 3.8	Variation of shear force along the pier head	24
Figure3.9	Variation of bending stress along the pier head	25
Figure 3 10	Painforcement requirement in R03 P5	26

Figure 3.11	Schematic diagram of pier arrangement 1	27
Figure 3.12	Structural model of pier arrangement 1	28
Figure 3.13	Bending moment along the pier head of pier arrangement 1	28
Figure 3.14	Shear force along the pier head of pier arrangement 1	29
Figure 3.15	Variation bending stresses along the pier head in	
	pier arrangement 1	29
Figure 3.16	Reinforcement requirement in pier arrangement 1	30
Figure3.17	Schematic diagram of pier arrangement 2	31
Figure 3.18	Structural model of pier arrangement 2.	32
Figure 3.19	Bending moment along the pier head of pier arrangement 2	32
Figure3.20	Shear force along the pier head of pier arrangement 2	33
Figure3.21	Variation bending stresses along the pier head in pier	
	arrangement 2	33
Figure3.22	Reinforcement requirement in pier arrangement 2	34
Figure4.1	Reinforcement curtailing locations B03-P5.	37
Figure4.2	Reinforcement curtailing locations pier arrangement 1	37
Figure4.3	Reinforcement curtailing locations pier arrangement 2	38
Figure4.4	Reinforcement requirement along the pier head after curtailing	39
Figure 5.1	Post – tensioned crosshead-Hellyer river bridge in Australia [4]	42
Figure 5.2	Difference between plate bonding and NSMR	47
Figure 5.3	Strengthening with pre-stressed CFRP [4]	48
Figure 5.4	Grout potted anchor-cone filled with increasing stiffness [4]	49
Figure 5.5	Wedge anchor used in the CFRP tendons [4]	50
Figure 5 6	Blade pier widening-Stitt river bridge [4]	51

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Final reinforcement requirement of the pier head in B03 P5	26
Table 3.2	Final reinforcement requirement of the pier head in pier	
	arrangement 1	30
Table 3.3	Final reinforcement requirement of the pier head in pier	
	arrangement 2	34