

**INVESTIGATING THE PERFORMANCE OF BERMS
IN RUBBLE MOUND BREAKWATERS**

MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY

M M P D SAMARASEKARA

**UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA**

AUGUST 2009

The work included in this Thesis in part or whole has not been submitted for any other academic qualification at any Institution

.....

M M P D Samarasekara

.....

Professor S S L Hettiarachchi

Supervisor

**Investigating the Performance of Berms in Rubble Mound
Breakwaters**

M M P D Samarasekara

**This Thesis was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of
the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the Degree of Master of Philosophy**

**Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka**

August 2009

ABSTRACT

The results of a comprehensive study on the hydraulic performance of rock armoured rubble mound breakwaters are presented. Based on an extensive literature review, results from selected previous investigations were re-analyzed following which, the scope of a major hydraulic model study of a large scale was developed and implemented.

The results from the hydraulic model study were compared with similar studies reviewed to arrive at conclusions on the hydraulic performance of rock armoured rubble mound structures with emphasis on the use of a berm for a greater efficiency with respect to energy dissipation.

In this study, a large scale model of a rock armoured rubble mound berm breakwater was tested to obtain a complete profile of energy transformation resulting from wave-structure interaction. Attention was focused on achieving reliable estimates of wave reflection and direct measurements of wave transmission as such information are essential for the evaluation of energy dissipation. In addition, two other structures were investigated to assess their hydraulic performance under extreme wave conditions.

In all the structures considered, the hydraulic performance was presented in relation to the wave conditions, structural geometry and water depth.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. S.S.L. Hettiararchchi for patiently supervising this research study over a long period of time. I would not have succeeded in completing this study without his invaluable assistance. His guidance, advice and encouragement during the study is gratefully acknowledged.

The support extended by the Lanka Hydraulic Institute during model testing and by providing relevant information for this study is greatly appreciated.

I acknowledge with thanks the financial assistance given by the Institute of Technology, University of Moratuwa (ITUM) for my postgraduate studies. The encouragement and support given by Dr. T.A.G. Gunasekara, Director, ITUM and my colleagues during this study is greatly appreciated.

Special thanks are due to Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka and Prof. J.M.S.J. Bandara for their encouragement during the study period. My sincere thanks are also due to Premini and Saman for their encouragement and support.

Finally I am very grateful for my husband Harsha for the helping hand given and for the continuous encouragement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. S.S.L. Hettiararchchi for patiently supervising this research study over a long period of time. I would not have succeeded in completing this study without his invaluable assistance. His guidance, advice and encouragement during the study is gratefully acknowledged.

The support extended by the Lanka Hydraulic Institute during model testing and by providing relevant information for this study is greatly appreciated.

I acknowledge with thanks the financial assistance given by the Institute of Technology, University of Moratuwa (ITUM) for my postgraduate studies. The encouragement and support given by Dr. T.A.G. Gunasekara, Director, ITUM and my colleagues during this study is greatly appreciated.

Special thanks are due to Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka and Prof. J.M.S.J. Bandara for their encouragement during the study period. My sincere thanks are also due to Premini and Saman for their encouragement and support.

Finally I am very grateful for my husband Harsha for the helping hand given and for the continuous encouragement.

CONTENTS	PAGE NO
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	3
1.3 Guide to the Thesis	3
CHAPTER 2 COASTAL AND HARBOUR STRUCTURES: WAVE-STRUCTURE INTERACTION	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Breakwaters	5
2.3 Wave-Structure Interaction	9
2.3.1 Energy Balance	10
2.3.2 Wave Reflection and Transmission	11
2.3.3 Wave Run-up and Overtopping	13
2.3.4 Important Parameters for Wave–Structure Interaction	14
2.3.5 Wave–Structure Interaction: Theoretical Aspects	16
2.3.5.1(a) Analytical Solution	18

2.3.5.1(b)	General Solution of the Simplified Equations	19
2.3.5.1(c)	Energy of Waves in Porous Media	21
2.3.5.1(d)	Transmission and Reflection of Waves at a Junction between Two Porous Media	22
2.3.6	Wave–Structure Interaction: Influence of Porosity and Permeability	27
2.3.7	Wave Reflection: Prediction Methods	28
2.4	Review of Previous Investigations	29
2.4.1	Wave Reflection and Transmission	29
2.4.1.1	Wave Reflection and Transmission: Wave Filters	31
2.4.1.2	Wave Reflection and Transmission: Vertical Structures	34
2.4.1.3	Wave Reflection and Transmission: Trapezoidal Structures	35
2.4.1.4	Wave Reflection and Transmission: Berm Breakwaters	39
2.4.2	Wave Run-up and Overtopping	43
2.4.2.1	Wave Run-up	43
2.4.2.2	Wave Overtopping	49
2.4.2.2 (a)	Theoretical Work on Wave Overtopping	49
2.4.2.2 (b)	Experimental Work on Wave Overtopping	50
CHAPTER 3	APPROACH TO THE STUDY	58
CHAPTER 4	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	60
4.1	Relevance of Large Scale Hydraulic Models	60
4.2	Investigation of Energy Dissipation Characteristics of Rubble Mound Breakwaters	60
4.3	Structures Investigated	62
4.4	Experimental Set Up	63
4.5	Test Conditions and Parameters Measured	63
4.6	Instrumentation and Data Acquisition	64

4.7	Measurement of Wave Reflection for Regular and Random Waves	66
4.7.1	Measuring Techniques, Analysis of Data and Sample Calculations	67
4.7.2	Theoretical Aspects: Method Adopted by DHI	67
4.7.2.(a)	Regular Waves: Two Gauge Method	67
4.7.2.(b)	Random Waves – Multiple Gauge Method	67
4.7.2.(c)	Recommendations for Practical Application of Three Gauges	68
CHAPTER 5	RE-ANALYSIS OF PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS	72
5.1	Reflection and Transmission of Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Trapezoidal Structures	72
5.2	Reflection and Transmission of Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Trapezoidal Structures with a Berm	90
5.3	Critical Issues Arising from the Re-Analysis	109
5.3.1	Influence of the Wave Height	109
5.3.2	Influence of Wave Steepness	111
5.3.3	Influence of the Berm	112
5.3.4	Wave Energy Reflection	112
CHAPTER 6	EXPERIMENTS ON RUBBLE MOUND BERM BREAKWATERS: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	116
6.1	Approach to the Discussion	116
6.2	Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Trapezoidal Breakwater with a 12 m Berm	117
6.2.1	Reflection at and Transmission through the Structure at 7 m Water Depth	119

6.2.2	Reflection at and Transmission through the Structure at 8m Water Depth	125
6.2.3	Reflection at and Transmission through the Structure at 9 m Water Depth	130
6.2.4	Wave Run-up and Run-down on the Structure	138
6.2.5	Summary of Results	140
6.2.5.1	Influence of the Wave Height, Wave Period and Water Depth	140
6.2.5.2	Influence of the Berm	144
6.3	Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Reshaping Berm Breakwater	146
6.3.1	Transmission and Reflection at the Structure	148
6.3.2	Energy Dissipation at the Structure	152
6.3.3	Summary of Results	157
6.4	Concrete Armoured Rubble Mound Trapezoidal Structure with Toe Protection	158
6.4.1	Wave Reflection at Different Breakwater Sections	160
6.4.2	Prediction of Wave Reflection by Empirical Equations	164
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		166
7.1	Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Breakwater with a 12 m Berm	167
7.2	Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Reshaping Breakwater	168
7.3	Concrete Armoured Rubble Mound Trapezoidal Breakwater	169
7.4	Conclusions	169
REFERENCES		170

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Damage reported to Seawalls
Table 2.2	Wave Breaking Criteria
Table 2.3	Theoretical Work on Run-up of Non Breaking Waves on a Steep Slope
Table 2.4	Run-up of Regular Waves on Smooth Slopes
Table 2.5	Run-up of Regular Waves on Rock Armoured Slopes
Table 2.6	Run-up of Regular Waves on Concrete Armoured Slopes
Table 2.7	Run-up of Random Waves on Smooth Slopes
Table 2.8	Analytical Expressions Developed for Wave Overtopping based on the Formula for Discharge over a Weir
Table 4.1	Determination of Gauge Distances for the Experimental Set Up of Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Breakwater with a 12m Berm
Table 5.1	Model Wave Conditions Investigated on a Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater (Sollit and Cross)
Table 5.2	Model Wave Conditions Investigated on a Trapezoidal Structure (Sulisz)
Table 5.3	Equivalent Prototype Conditions Investigated Experimentally on a 1:20 Scale Model of a Trapezoidal Breakwater (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle)
Table 5.4	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated Corresponding to the Wave Probes Located. (7 m Water Depth)
Table 5.5	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated Corresponding to the Wave Probes Located. (8 m Water Depth)
Table 5.6	Model Wave Conditions Investigated on a Trapezoidal Layered Structure (Helgason)
Table 5.7	Model Wave Conditions Investigated on a Trapezoidal Structure (Garcia)
Table 5.8	Model Wave Conditions Investigated on a Trapezoidal Structure with a Berm (Allsop and Chanell)

Table 5.9	Equivalent Prototype Conditions Investigated Experimentally on a 1:20 Scale Model of a Trapezoidal Berm Breakwater (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne)
Table 5.10	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated Corresponding to the Wave Probes Located (7 m Water Depth)
Table 5.11	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated Corresponding to the Wave Probes Located. (8 m Water Depth)
Table 5.12	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated Corresponding to the Wave Probes Located. (9 m Water Depth)
Table 5.13	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Waves with Maximum Heights (Regular Waves Water Depth 7 m) (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle)
Table 5.14	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Waves with Maximum Heights (Regular Waves Water Depth 8 m) (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle)
Table 5.15	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure for Different Water Depths under Waves with Similar Steepness (Random Waves, Wave Period 4 s) (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne)
Table 5.16	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure for Different Water Depths under Waves with Similar Steepness (Random Waves, Wave Period 10 s) (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne)
Table 5.17	Hydraulic Performance of the Trapezoidal Structure With and Without a Berm
Table 5.18	Wave Reflection at a Trapezoidal Structure With and Without a Berm (Water Depth 7 m)
Table 5.19	Wave Reflection at a Trapezoidal Structure With and Without a Berm (Water Depth 8 m)
Table 5.20	Equivalent Prototype Wave Periods Investigated Experimentally on a 1:20 Scale Model of a Trapezoidal Berm Breakwater
Table 5.21	Wave Reflection at a Trapezoidal Structure with Different Berm Lengths (Water Depth 8 m)

Table 6.1	Equivalent Prototype Conditions Investigated Experimentally on a 1:20 Scale Model of a Trapezoidal Berm Breakwater (12 m Berm Length)
Table 6.2	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated corresponding to the Wave Probes Located (7 m Water Depth)
Table 6.3	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated corresponding to the Wave Probes Located. (8 m Water Depth)
Table 6.4	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated corresponding to the Wave Probes Located. (9 m Water Depth)
Table 6.5	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Maximum Wave Heights (Regular waves, 7 m Water Depth)
Table 6.6	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Maximum Wave Heights (Regular waves, 8 m Water Depth)
Table 6.7	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Maximum Wave Heights (Regular Waves, 9 m Water Depth)
Table 6.8	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Similar Wave Heights for Different Wave Periods (Regular Waves, 7 m Water Depth)
Table 6.9	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Similar Wave Heights for Different Wave Periods (Regular Waves, 8 m Water Depth)
Table 6.10	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Similar Wave Heights for Different Wave Periods (Regular Waves, 9 m Water Depth)
Table 6.11	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Similar Waves for Different Water Depths (Random Waves, 10 s Wave Period)
Table 6.12	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Similar Waves for Different Water Depths (Random Waves, 4 s Wave Period)
Table 6.13	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Waves of Different Heights for Different Water Depths (Regular Waves, 12 s Wave Period)
Table 6.14	Hydraulic Performance of Structures with Different Berm Lengths (Regular Waves, Water Depth 7 m)
Table 6.15	Hydraulic Performance of Structures with Different Berm Lengths (Regular Waves, Water Depth 8 m)

Table 6.16	Hydraulic Performance of Structures with Different Berm Lengths (Regular Waves, Water Depth 9 m)
Table 6.17	Equivalent Prototype Conditions investigated Experimentally on a 1:36 Scale Model of a Trapezoidal Reshaping Berm Breakwater
Table 6.18	Characteristics of Waves which result in High Transmission Coefficients
Table 6.19	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated corresponding to the Wave Probes Located Regular Waves, 15m Water Depth)
Table 6.20	Percentage of Wave Energy Reflected, Transmitted and Dissipated corresponding to the Wave Probes Located Random Waves, 15 m Water Depth)
Table 6.21	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure for Different Wave Periods (Regular Waves, 15 m Water Depth)
Table 6.22	Hydraulic Performance of the Structure under Extreme Wave Heights and Periods (Random Waves, 15m Water Depth)
Table 6.23	Equivalent Prototype Wave Conditions investigated on a 1:49 Scale Model of a Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater (LHI)
Table 6.24	Prototype Dimensions of the Different Sections investigated on a 1:49 Scale Model of the Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater (LHI)
Table 6.25	Reflection Characteristics at Different Sections of the Breakwater

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1 Rubble Mound Breakwater
- Figure 2.2 Conventional Multi Layered Rubble Mound Breakwater
- Figure 2.3 Berm Profile
- Figure 2.4 S-Shaped Profile
- Figure 2.5 Dynamically Stable Berm Breakwater (Two Classes of Stone)
- Figure 2.6 Statically Stable Berm Breakwater (Two Classes of Stone)
- Figure 2.7 Statically Stable Berm Breakwater (Several Classes of Stone I, II, III)
- Figure 2.8 Transmission and Reflection of Wave Energy at a Junction between two Porous Media
- Figure 4.1 Prototype Cross Section of the Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Breakwater with 12 m berm
- Figure 4.2 Prototype Cross Section of the Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Reshaping Berm Breakwater
- Figure 4.3 Prototype Cross Section of the Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Breakwater with 12 m Berm: Probe Positions
- Figure 4.4 Prototype Cross Section of the Rock Armoured Rubble Mound Reshaping Berm Breakwater: Probe Positions
- Figure 4.5 Experimental Set-up
- Figure 5.1 Model Cross Section of Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater (Sollit and Cross)
- Figure 5.2 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Sollit and Cross)
- Figure 5.3 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Sollit and Cross)
- Figure 5.4 Model Cross Section of the Trapezoidal Breakwater (Sulisz)
- Figure 5.5 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Sulisz)
- Figure 5.6 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Sulisz)
- Figure 5.7 Model Cross Section of Trapezoidal Breakwater (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle)
- Figure 5.8 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Regular Waves 7 m Water Depth)

- Figure 5.9 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness
(Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Regular Waves 8 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.10 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness
(Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Random Waves 7 m and 8 m Water Depths)
- Figure 5.11 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Regular Waves, 7 m and 8 m Water Depths)
- Figure 5.12 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Random Waves 7 m and 8 m Water Depths)
- Figure 5.13 Energy Transmission Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Regular Waves 7 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.14 Energy Dissipation Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Regular Waves, 7 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.15 Energy Transmission Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Regular Waves 8m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.16 Energy Dissipation Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Mirihagalle) (Regular Waves 8m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.17 Model Cross Section of Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater (Helgason)
- Figure 5.18 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Helgason)
- Figure 5.19 Model Cross Section of the Trapezoidal Breakwater (Garcia)
- Figure 5.20 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Garcia)
- Figure 5.21 Model Cross Section of the Berm Breakwater (Allsop and Chanell)
- Figure 5.22 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Allsop and Chanell) (4 m Berm Length)
- Figure 5.23 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Allsop and Chanell) (8 m Berm Length)
- Figure 5.24 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Allsop and Chanell) (16 m Berm Length)
- Figure 5.25 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness for Different Structure Slopes (Allsop and Chanell)
- Figure 5.26 Reflection Coefficient vs Relative Berm Length (Allsop and Chanell)

- Figure 5.27 Reflection Coefficient vs Relative Berm Length for Different Structure Slopes (Allsop and Chanell)
- Figure 5.28 Model Cross Section of the Berm Breakwater (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne)
- Figure 5.29 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular waves 7 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.30 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular waves 8 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.31 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.32 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Random Waves 7 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.33 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Random Waves 8 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.34 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Random Waves 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.35 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 7 m, 8 m and 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.36 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Random Waves 7 m, 8 m and 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.37 Energy Dissipation Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 7m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.38 Energy Transmission Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 7 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.39 Energy Dissipation Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 8 m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.40 Energy Transmission Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 8m Water Depth)
- Figure 5.41 Energy Dissipation Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 9 m Water Depth)

- Figure 5.42 Energy Transmission Across the Structure (Hettiarachchi and Thilakarathne) (Regular Waves 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.1 Prototype Cross Section of the Trapezoidal Breakwater with a 12 m Berm
- Figure 6.2 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 7 m, Wave Period 6 s)
- Figure 6.3 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 7 m, Wave Period 8 s)
- Figure 6.4 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 7 m, Wave Period 12 s)
- Figure 6.5 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Random Waves, Water Depth 7 m)
- Figure 6.6 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 7 m)
- Figure 6.7 Energy Dissipation Across the Structure (Regular Waves, 7 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.8 Energy Transmission across the Structure (Regular Wave, 7m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.9 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 8 m, Wave Period 6 s)
- Figure 6.10 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 8 m, Wave Period 8 s)
- Figure 6.11 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 8 m, Wave Period 12 s)
- Figure 6.12 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Random Waves, Water Depth 8 m)
- Figure 6.13 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 8 m) (Regular Wave, 8 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.14 Energy Dissipation across the Structure
- Figure 6.15 Energy Transmission across the Structure (Regular Wave, 8 m Water Depth)

- Figure 6.16 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 9 m, Wave Period 6 s)
- Figure 6.17 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 9 m, Wave Period 8 s)
- Figure 6.18 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 9 m, Wave Period 12 s)
- Figure 6.19 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Random waves, Water Depth 9 m)
- Figure 6.20 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 9 m)
- Figure 6.21 Energy Dissipation across the Structure (Regular Wave, 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.22 Energy Transmission across the Structure (Regular Wave, 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.23 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Random Waves, Water Depth 9 m)
- Figure 6.24 Energy Dissipation across the Structure (Random Waves, 7 m, 8 m and 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.25 Energy Transmission across the Structure (Random Waves, 7 m, 8 m and 9 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.25 Relative Run-up and Run-down vs Wave Steepness for 7 m Water Depth
- Figure 6.26 Wave Run-up and Run-down vs Steepness for 8 m Water Depth
- Figure 6.27 Wave Run-up and Run-down vs Wave Steepness for 9 m Water Depth
- Figure 6.28 Prototype Cross Section of the Trapezoidal Reshaping Berm Breakwater
- Figure 6.29 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 15 m, Wave Period 6 s)
- Figure 6.30 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 15 m, Wave Period 8 s)

- Figure 6.31 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 15 m, Wave Period 12 s)
- Figure 6.32 Transmission Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Random Waves, Water Depth 15 m)
- Figure 6.33 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Regular Waves, Water Depth 15 m)
- Figure 6.34 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness (Random Waves, Water Depth 15 m)
- Figure 6.35 Energy Dissipation across the Structure (Regular Waves, 15m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.36 Energy Transmission across the Structure (Regular Waves, 15m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.37 Energy Dissipation across the Structure (Random Waves, 15 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.38 Energy Transmission across the Structure (Random Waves, 15 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.40 Prototype Cross Section of the Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater (LHI)
- Figure 6.41 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness measured for the Main Breakwater Section (LHI) (Random Waves, 19.2m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.42 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness measured for the Breakwater Head (LHI) (Random Waves, 19.2m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.43 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness measured for the Shallow Water Breakwater Section (LHI) (Random Waves)
- Figure 6.44 Reflection Coefficient vs Wave Steepness measured for the Revised Main Breakwater Section with Different Wave Wall Crest Levels (LHI) (Random Waves, 19.2 m Water Depth)
- Figure 6.45 Prediction Equation for Wave Reflection at Trapezoidal Breakwater with Concrete Core-Loc Armour

LIST OF SYMBOLS

The most important symbols used in the text are listed below. Variables which have been used locally are not listed but are defined in the text.

a	Steady laminar flow coefficient in the Forchheimer equation
b	Steady turbulent flow coefficient in the Forchheimer equation
B	Length of the berm
C_d	Coefficient of wave energy dissipation
C_{d1}	Coefficient of wave energy dissipation at probe 1
C_{d2}	Coefficient of wave energy dissipation at probe 2
C_{d3}	Coefficient of wave energy dissipation at probe 3
C_{de}	Coefficient of wave energy dissipation at external probe
C_r	Coefficient of wave energy reflection
C_t	Coefficient of wave energy transmission
C_{t1}	Coefficient of wave energy transmission at probe 1
C_{t2}	Coefficient of wave energy transmission at probe 2
C_{t3}	Coefficient of wave energy transmission at probe 3
C_{te}	Coefficient of wave energy transmission at external probe
E_k	Mean kinetic energy per unit surface area
E_p	Mean potential energy per unit surface area
E_T	Total energy per unit surface area
E_i	Incident wave energy
E_d	Dissipated wave energy
E_{d1}	Dissipated wave energy at probe 1
E_{d2}	Dissipated wave energy at probe 2
E_{d3}	Dissipated wave energy at probe 3
E_{de}	Dissipated wave energy at external probe
E_r	Reflected wave energy
E_t	Transmitted wave energy
E_{t1}	Transmitted wave energy at probe 1

E_{t2}	Transmitted wave energy at probe 2
E_{t3}	Transmitted wave energy at probe 3
E_{te}	Transmitted wave energy at external probe
f	Non-Darcy friction factor
g	Acceleration due to gravity
h	Constant water depth outside the structure
H	Wave height
H_i	Incident wave height
H_t	Transmitted wave height
H_r	Reflected wave height
H_s	Significant wave height
H/L	Wave steepness
H/L_o	Wave steepness in deep water
I	Hydraulic gradient
I_r	Surf similarity parameter/Iribarren Number
L	Wave length
L_o	Wave length in deep water
P	Porosity
Q	Overtopping discharge
R	Wave run-up
R/H	Relative run-up
T	Wave period
T_m	Mean wave period
T_p	Peak wave period
t	Time
u	Horizontal component of the macroscopic (bulk) velocity
u_p	Particle velocity
u_i	Particle velocity of the incident wave
u_r	Particle velocity of the reflected wave
u_t	Particle velocity of the transmitted wave
W_i	Energy flux of the incident wave

W_d	Energy flux of the dissipated wave
W_t	Energy flux of the transmitted wave
W_r	Energy flux of the reflected wave
α	Slope angle
η	Free surface elevation relative to still water level
η_i	Free surface elevation of the incident wave relative to still water level
η_r	Free surface elevation of the reflected wave relative to still water level
η_t	Free surface elevation of the transmitted wave relative to still water level
τ	Square root of tortuosity
γ	Unit weight of fluid