

# EFFECTS OF SUPERPAVE SPECIFIES AGGREGATE GRADATION ON MARSHALL MIX PARAMETERS

# By PANDIGE RAMESH DIMUTHU FER NANDO

Supervised by DR. M. A. W. KUMARA

The Dissertation was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Engineering In Highway & Traffic Engineering.

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa

2008

92431

#### **Abstract**

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effect of the Superpave aggregate gradation on Marshall Parameters. The Superpave Specifies aggregate gradation was used to select aggregate gradation as such blending of available aggregate was carried out and that the gradations were above, through and below the Superpave restricted zone. The Marshall method was used to design the asphalt mixtures. Asphalt concrete mixes were designed for 28 numbers of Superpave aggregate gradations and were tested in the laboratory to evaluate their stability and flow value. In addition, density - void analysis was carried out to find Marshall Parameters.

Statistically, all type of mixes (over, through and above the restricted zone) did not show any significant difference on Marshall Stability and Void in Mineral aggregate (VMA) in this study. The gradation pass below the restricted zone, those mixes showed higher Va and lesser Flow value than the gradation pass above / through the restricted zone.

Based on the testing perfonned In this study, following recommendations can be made;

- 1. Superpave specifies aggregate gradation could be used as a guide to develop 100 percent crushed granite aggregate blends for wearing course.
- 2. Superpave coarser aggregate gradation (gradation that pass through or below the Superpave restricted zone) could be used as wearing courses with local conditions (still not use such a gradation for wearing coarse in Sri Lankan specifications).

#### DECLARATION

"I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any University to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organizations"

(defence de

Signature of the Candidate

Date

To the best of my knowledge, the above particulars are correct.

UOM Verified Signature onic Theses & Dissertations lib.mrt.ac.lk

Supervisor

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. M. A. W. Kumara, for his excellent guidance and assistance extended throughout the research programme. Dr. Kumara has been supportive as a supervisor and has given me encouragement and inspiration during the research programme. His devotion in the subject of Asphalt Concrete is also acknowledged.

My gratitude is extended to Dr. (Mrs.) H. L. D. M. A. Judith, for their valuable insights and suggestions received during progress reviews and in some specific areas.

My special thanks to the University of Moratuwa for the services provided during the research programme. And also many thanks to the Road Development Authority for granting me leave to following this course.

The assistance received from staff of Bitumen laboratory, Research and Development Division, Road Development Authority, during the laboratory testing programme is acknowledged.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

25<sup>th</sup> July 2008

## **CONTENTS**

DECLARATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	
1.2 Problem Statement	
1.3 Objectives of Study	4
1.4 Research Approach, Scope and Limitations	5
1.5 Organization of Report	
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Aggregate Characteristics	7
2.2 Aggregate Gradation	
2.3 Fine Aggregates	
2.4 Coarse Aggregate	
2.5 Filler	
2.6 Restricted zone	
2.7 Mix Design Methods	14
2.7.2 Hyeem Method Electronic Theses & Dissertations	
2.7.3 Superpave Method	
2.8 Superpave Specifies Aggregate Gradation	
2.9 Aggregate Gradation Specifications for Wearing Course	
2.9.1 ASTM D 3515 Specification	
2.9.2 SSCM (1989) Specification	
2.9.3 CSRA (1987) Specification	
2.9.4 Kentucky Department of Highways	
2.10 Voids in the Mineral Aggregate (VMA)	18
2.11 Marshall Flow	
2.12 Marshall Stability	
2.13 Mixture Air Voids	
2.14 Binder Film Thickness	
2.15 Aggregate Blending	
2.16 Specific Gravity of Aggregate	
2.17 Expected Design Binder Content	
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY OF STUDY	22
3.1 Plan of Study	
3.2 Material Acceptance and Evaluation	22
3.3 Preparation of specimen	
3.4 Specimen Compaction	
3.5 Density – Void Analysis	
3.5.1 Bulk specific gravity of aggregate	25

3.5.2 Apparent specific gravity of aggregate	25
3.5.3 Effective specific gravity of aggregate	
3.5.4 Bulk specific gravity of compacted specimen	
3.5.5 Maximum specific gravity	
3.5.6 Bitumen absorption of the aggregate	
3.5.7 Effective bitumen content of mixture	
3.5.8 Percent of void in the mineral aggregate (VMA)	
3.5.9 Percent of air voids in the compacted mixture (Va)	
3.6 Marshall Stability and Flow	
3.7 Optimum Binder Content Determination	
CHAPTER 4 OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS	32
4.1 Marshall Mix Properties and Binder Tolerance	32
4.2 Film Thickness of mixtures	
1.2 1.1 1.1.e	
CHAPTER 5 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	35
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 General Observations and Preliminary Data Analysis	
5.3 Data Analysis	
5.4 Comparison of Marshall Parameters	
5.4.1 Marshall Stability	
5.4.2 Marshall Flow	
5.4.3 Void in Mineral Aggregate	
5.4.4.Air Voids (V <sub>a</sub> )	38
5.5 Comparison of some other parameters 5.5.1 Optimum Binder Content	38
5.5.1 Optimum Binder Content	38
5.5.2 Binder Tolerance	39
5.5.3 Average Film Thickness	40
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMENDATIONS	
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Conclusions	
6.3 Recommendations	42
REFERENCES	43
APPENDIX A MIX DESIGN GRADATION	46
APPENDIX B MARSHALL MIX PROPERTIES	48
PPENDIX C SURFACE AREA & FILM THICKNESSES	
APPENDIX D SAMPLE CALCULATION	<b>7</b> 9
APPENDIX E AGGREGATE BLENDING	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Superpave Specifies Gradation for 19.0 mm nominal size	3
Figure 1.2 Superpave & SSCM aggregate specifications (Log scale)	
Figure 1.3 Superpave & SSCM aggregate specifications (0.45 power chart)	
Figure 3.1 Gradations above the restricted zone	
Figure 3.2 Gradations through the restricted zone	
Figure 3.3 Gradations below the restricted zone	
Figure 5.1 Optimum Binder Content	39
Figure 5.2 Binder Tolerances with Types of Gradation	39
Figure 5.3 Average Film Thicknesses with Types of Gradation	40
Figure 5.4 Surface Area vs Average Film Thickness	41
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 2.1 Superpave Asphalt Mixture Gradation Requirements	16
Table 2.2 ASTM D 3515 gradation for wearing course (19 mm nominal size)	
Table 2.3 SSCM gradation for wearing course (19 mm nominal size)	
Table 2.4 CSRA gradation for surfacing mixture	
Table 3.1 Acceptance test for Asphalt Binder	23
Table 3.2 Acceptance test for Mineral Aggregate	
Table 3.3 SSCM Marshall Design Criteria & Limits	28
Table 4.1 Test Value of Mixes above the restricted zonc	32
Table 4.2 Test Value of Mixes through the restricted zone	32
Table 4.3 Test Value of Mixes below the restricted zone	33
Table 4.4 Film Thickness Value of Mixes above the restricted zone	33
Table 4.5 Film Thickness Value of Mixes through the restricted zone	34
Table 4.6 Film Thickness Value of Mixes below the restricted zone	
Table 5.1 Comparison of Marshall Stability	
Table 5.2 Comparison of the Marshall Flow.	37
Table 5.3 Comparison of the VMA of different aggregate gradations	37
Table 5.4 Comparison of the Va.	