# SUITABILITY OF VERTICAL LOW-INCOME HOUSING FOR URBAN POOR IN COLOMBO: A CASE STUDY OF MIHINDUSENPURA LOW-INCOME HOUSING SCHEME AT DEMATAGODA

K.H.A.P.N.Seneviratne

(169192N)

Master of Science Spatial Planning, Management and Design

Department of Town & Country Planning

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2021

# SUITABILITY OF VERTICAL LOW-INCOME HOUSING FOR URBAN POOR IN COLOMBO: A CASE STUDY OF MIHINDUSENPURA LOW-INCOME HOUSING SCHEME AT DEMATAGODA

Kodituwakku Hetti Arachchige Pramodi Nimansa Seneviratne (169192N)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science Spatial Planning, Management & Design

Department of Town & Country Planning

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2021

#### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, completely or in print, electronic or another medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part of future works.

Signature	Date

## **CERTIFICATION**

•	attne (Index No. 169192N) of the 2016/2018 anagement and Design has prepared this
Signature of Supervisor	Date

#### **ABSTRACT**

Housing is a key human requirement. However, many in the modern world people are living in unsuitable houses. Recently, it has become a global problem and as a result many countries are paying attention to it and various housing strategies have emerged as solutions to low-income unsuitable settlements. According to Sri Lankan census, there are 68,812 families were living in temporary house with poor facilities within the Colombo city area (Sri Lanka's Urban Regeneration Project continues unabated, 2020). To address this, issue the Sri Lankan Government has begun several housing programs and introduced housing policies during last decade. Out of those strategies vertical housing development became the main feature for unsuitable housing in Colombo city. Currently, more than 15,000 high rise housing units have been built for lowincome people. Through the introduction of high rise buildings, another question arose on its suitability for human settlement. This has become one of the most popular topics among policy makers and professionals all around the world. In this study, an attempt was made investigate factors affection to the failure and success of vertical low-income housing in Sri Lanka by selecting low-income housing apartments in Colombo.

With the independence in 1948, housing policies have been applied to the housing sector in Sri Lanka. Condominium Property Act No. 12 of 1970 is the first legislation related to vertical housing developments in Sri Lanka. With the introduction of the Apartment Ownership Law No. 11 of 1973, the creation of huge scale tall buildings in Sri Lanka commenced.

This rule made an opportunity to convert a multi-storied apartment into a number of individual residential units which would then continue to be under a single ownership. The apartment ownership law was applied through the Condominium Act No. 45 of 1982 (Samaratunga & O'Hare, 2013).

However, settlements provided to the public in accordance with these policies do not possess better living environments for them as expected while creating numerous social problems. Hence, the management needs efficient and experienced professionals for relevant institution and authorities. This research recommends professionals and policy makers on what to concentrate on when proving settlements to low-income receiving people.

## **DEDICATION**

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

This Book is dedicated

To my Loving Daughter

and

To my Dearest Lecturers

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to acknowledge a number of individuals who supported me in completing this study by giving their support by different means.

First I would like to thank our head of department Professor. Rangajeewa Ratnayake and my personal supervisor Dr. P. Wattage at Department of Town and Country Planning of University of Moratuwa. Further, I would like to offer my gratitude to Madam, Malani Herath, Dr. Jagath Munasinghe, Dr. Chanake De Silva, Dr. Chamera De Silva, Dr. Rizvi Noordeen, and planner Laksika Meetiyagoda for the assistant and time given to me.

The support given by all academic and non-academic staff of Department of Town and Country Planning in the completion of this study is acknowledged here.

A great help was given to me by the staff of the Urban Development Authority and other relevant department by suppling various information on the subject.

Further, I would like to thank the house owners and management staff of Mihindusenpura housing scheme for their valuable help to carry out the study where they provided various information to continue the dissertation.

Finally, I want to offer my thanks to my family and relations for their encouragement and continuous support given throughout this journey.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DECLARATION	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
DEDICATION	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLEOF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Background of the Research Area	5
1.3. Research Question	6
1.4. Research Aim	6
1.5. Research Objectives	6
1.6. Scope of the Study	6
1.7. Organization of the Dissertation	7
1.8. Significance of the Study	7
1.9. Theoretical Background of the Research	7
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1. Introduction	10
2.2. Literature Review of Key Themes of Research	10
2.2.1. Vertical Housing (High-Rise Housing)	10
2.2.2 Low-Income Housing	10
2.2.3. Underserved Settlement	11
2.2.4. Low-Income Receiving People	11
2.3. Vertical (High-Rise) Development	11
2.4. Vertical (High-Rise) Low-Income Housing in Western Countries and S	
	14

2.4.1. Vertical Low-Income Housing in Western Countries	14
2.4.2. Vertical Low-Income Housing in Asian Countries	15
2.4.3. Vertical Low-Income Housing in Sri Lanka Perspective	16
2.4.4. Review of the Sri Lankan Government Housing Policies for Ve Housing	
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	18
3.1. Introduction	18
3.1.1. Research Population, Sampling and Procedure	18
3.1.2. Data Collection Methods	18
3.1.3. Data Analysis	19
3.1.4. Research Design and Methodology	19
CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	21
4.1. Analysis of Socio-Economic Characteristics	21
4.1.1. Satisfaction with Dwelling Units Features of the Housing Units	30
4.1.2. Satisfaction with Dwelling Unit Support Services	38
4.1.3. Satisfaction with Public Facilities Provided Within and Close to Apartment Area	
4.1.4. Satisfaction with Social Environment Within the Housing Area	47
4.1.5. Satisfaction with Neighborhood Facilities	52
4.2. Discussion	59
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	62
REFERENCES	66
ANNEXURE	i
Annexure 1: Case summary of satisfaction level of physical features of housing an	rea .i
Annexure 2: Case summary of satisfaction with dwelling units support services	ii
Annexure 3: Case summary of satisfaction of public facilities	iii
Annexure 4: Case summary of satisfaction of neighbourhood facilities	iv
Annexure 5: Case summary of correlation of physical features of housing units	v
Annexure 6: Case summary of correlation of public facilities within the aprtment	
Annexure 7: Case summary of correlation analysis of dwelling units support sur	
Anneyure 8: The Questionnaire	viii

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Location of low-income settlements in city of Colombo	3
Figure 2: Distribution pattern of underserved settlements in Colombo	4
Figure 3: Location of Mihindusenpura housing scheme	5
Figure 4: Conceptual model of residential satisfaction	8
Figure 5: Component and variables related to residential satisfaction	9
Figure 6: Estimation model of outcome in vertical low-income housing	9
Figure 7: Vertical buildings in New York	12
Figure 8: Recent high-rise building in Shibam	13
Figure 9: Recent high-rise building in Shibam	13
Figure 10: Research Design	19
Figure 11: Research Methodology	20
Figure 12: Percentage of males and females of households in the apartment	21
Figure 13: Frequency summary of the age group of households	23
Figure 14: Percentage of family members	24
Figure 15: Percentage of ethnicities in the apartment	25
Figure 16: Education level of residents in the apartment	27
Figure 17: Employment states in households	28
Figure 18: Percentage of monthly income level of residents	29
Figure 19: Number of respondents in each category of monthly income	29
Figure 20: Satisfaction with dwelling units features of the housing units	31
Figure 21: Satisfaction level of bedroom size in apartment	32
Figure 22: Satisfaction of size of bathroom in apartment	33
Figure 23: Satisfaction level of toilet area in apartment	33
Figure 24: Satisfaction of living area in housing units	34

Figure 25: Satisfaction of size of dining area in apartment	. 35
Figure 26: Satisfaction of size of praying area in apartment	. 36
Figure 27: Mean value of satisfaction of dwelling unit support services	. 39
Figure 28: Percentages of satisfaction of postal services	. 40
Figure 29: Satisfaction of lighting inside the apartment	. 41
Figure 30: Satisfaction of maintenance & repair services	. 42
Figure 31: Satisfaction of garbage disposal	. 42
Figure 32:`Satisfaction level of lift services in housing units	. 43
Figure 33: Percentage of satisfaction of public facilities	. 46
Figure 34: Residential satisfaction with security services in apartment area	. 48
Figure 35: Percentage of satisfaction with crime situation	. 50
Figure 36: Percentage of satisfaction with community relationships	. 51
Figure 37: Percentage of satisfaction with noise level	. 51
Figure 38: Percentage of satisfaction with social environment	. 52
Figure 39: Mean value of satisfaction of neighbourhood facilities	. 53
Figure 40: Satisfaction of distance to work place	. 53
Figure 41: Percentage of satisfaction with distance to school	. 54

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Distribution of poor housing categories	1
Table 2: Cumulative percentage of gender	21
Table 3: Cross tabulation of age and gender showing percentage with each gender	22
Table 4: Age*gender cross tabulation	22
Table 5: Percentage of respondents according to the age group	23
Table 6: Percentage of family members	24
Table 7: Ethnic composition of households	25
Table 8: Educational level of residents in the apartment	26
Table 9: Frequency table of employment sectors	26
Table 10: Education level*Employment sector of households	27
Table 11: Monthly income level of households	28
Table 12: Percentage of residential satisfaction with bedroom size in apartment	30
Table 13: Satisfaction with dwelling units features in apartment	31
Table 14: Satisfaction of bathroom size in apartment	32
Table 15: Satisfaction level of living area in the apartment	34
Table 16: Satisfaction of dining area in apartment	35
Table 17: Satisfaction of pray area in apartment	36
Table 18: Satisfaction of kitchen area	37
Table 19: Regression Model Variance Analysis of dwelling units features for residential satisfaction in apartment	37
Table 20: Regression Model variance Analysis of dwelling units features for residential satisfaction in apartment	38
Table 21: Descriptive analysis of satisfaction of dwelling unit support services	39
Table 22: Satisfaction of postal services.	40
Table 23: Satisfaction level of lighting inside apartment	40

Table 24: Satisfaction level of repair services in apartment
Table 25: Regression Model Variance Analysis of dwelling unit support services 44
Table 26: Regression analysis of dwelling units support services
Table 27: Satisfaction of public facilities
Table 28: Regression Model Variance Analysis public facilities provided within apartment area
Table 29: Coefficient chart of public facilities
Table 30: Residential Satisfaction of security services within apartment area 48
Table 31: Residential satisfaction with social environment
Table 32: Mean square of social environment (F value)
Table 33: Coefficient chart of social environment
Table 34: Satisfaction level of community relationships
Table 35: Satisfaction with neighborhood facilities
Table 36: Satisfaction with neighborhood facilities
Table 37: Correlation analysis of satisfaction of physical features of housing units55
Table 38: Correlation analysis of satisfaction of social environment of housing units
56
Table 39: Correlations analysis of satisfaction of dwelling units support services of housing units
Table 40: Correlation analysis of satisfaction of public facilities of housing units
Table 41: Motivations factors of relocation
Table 42: Challenges happened to face after relocation

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LSE - London School of Economics

UDA - Urban Development Authority

URDA - Urban Redevelopment Authority

US - United States