

**DEFLUORIDATION OF POTABLE WATER IN CKDu
PREVALENT AREAS ENRICHED WITH HARDNESS
USING MODIFIED-FLY ASH FUNCTIONALIZED WITH
IRON OXIDE**

Edirisooriya Arachchige Chandrika Priyadarshani

(168884F)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Environmental Management

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

September 2020

DECLARATION

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).”

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Prof. M. W. Jayaweera

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision.

Name of the co-supervisor: Dr. (Ms.) W. B. Gunawardana

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

Abstract

Chronic Kidney Disease of Unknown Etiology is a major health issue reported in countries around the equator including Sri Lanka, Tunisia, Andhra Pradesh India, and El Salvador. In Sri Lanka, CKDu is prevalent in the North-Central Province and now it is being progressed in the dry zone. The exact causal factor for the disease is not known yet where scientists now mainly suspect that the multiple ion interaction in potable groundwater may be the root cause for the disease. Further, fluoride ion concentration is higher in CKDu prevalent areas and the interaction of fluoride ion with other constituents (Cd, As, Al, hardness) is mainly suspected as the cause for the disease. The synergistic effect of hardness and fluoride on the CKDu had been discussed in many studies worldwide where the prevalence of other ions is very less, and it is below the WHO maximum allowed concentrations. The hardness and fluoride distribution are relatively higher in CKDu prevalent areas in Sri Lanka and the nephrotoxicity of hardness and fluoride in their mutual presence is proven by experiments with mice. Our preliminary studies found that there is no CKDu when the hardness and fluoride concentrations of water are below 200.00 mg/L and 0.47 mg/L, respectively. Therefore, this study was carried out to remove the hardness and fluoride concentrations of water to the level of 200.00mg/L and 0.47mg/L, respectively. Initially, a divalent cation exchange column was designed using a commercially available cation exchange resin, ECO A, to remove excessive hardness level up to 200.00mg/L. The eluent from the cation exchange column was further treated for defluoridation. Coal derived fly ash was further modified using the hydrothermal method. The Modified Fly Ash (MFA) was further treated with Fe (III) Chloride to generate positive charges on the surface. FTIR, SEM, EDX, confirmed the incorporation of Fe into MFA and, the defluoridation ability of Fe functionalized MFA. FTIR spectra ($400\text{cm}^{-1} - 600\text{cm}^{-1}$ region) showed the incorporation of Fe into MFA. The average crystalline size obtained from XRD analysis was 23.3nm and the synthesized material was in nanoscale. The batch experiments showed that 1.3g of the Fe functionalized MFA resulted in the maximum defluoridation for a 100.00 ml of water sample containing 200.00 mg/L hardness and 2.00 mg/L fluoride within 40 minutes of contact time at pH 6. The material gave optimum defluoridation at pH 6 and therefore there is no need of altering the pH of water for the defluoridation. The adsorption data fitted with the Langmuir adsorption isotherm where the maximum adsorption capacity was 10.00mg/g. The separation factor for the Langmuir adsorption was 1.23 and therefore the Langmuir adsorption is favorable. The reaction followed pseudo second order kinetics. Regeneration studies of the Fe functionalized MFA showed that

NaOH was the best regeneration agent and the material was exhausted after two regeneration cycles. The material synthesized cost to purify water for a five-member family for three months was LKR 6923.07 (37.52USD) and the cost for the regeneration was LKR 174.46 (0.95USD). Therefore, the synthesized material is ideal and cost effective to remove fluoride in potable ground water in CKDu prevalent areas.

Key Words: CKDu, Functionalized Modified Fly Ash (FMFA), Defluoridation, Fluoride, Hardness, Adsorption, Iron Oxide

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Mahesh. Jayaweera, for providing me the opportunity to complete the research. Your guidance and encouragement given at every step of the way in the research helped me to achieve the goals. Your support was immense, and I am very fortunate to have you as my supervisor. I extremely appreciate the advice given in experiments, writings, and moral assistance given to complete this research.

I am very grateful to my co-supervisor Dr. Buddhika Gunawardana, for providing her guidance and support for the research project. Your feedback on my experiments, writing, helped me to complete a very productive study. Furthermore, I would like to extend my gratitude to Prof. Jagath Manatunge for guiding me to conduct a fruitful and successful research. Your advice and guidance helped me to think out of the box.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to the laboratory staff of Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Department of Civil of Engineering, University of Moratuwa; Ms. Nilanthi Gunathilake Mr. Kasun Zoysa, Mr. Justin and Mr.Dhananjaya and Ms. Nipuni for the assistance received to conduct my research experiments successfully in the Environmental engineering laboratory.

I would like to thank the head and the staff of Analytical Laboratory, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa for allowing me to use laboratory equipment.

I would like to thank Madhurangi, Madhusha and Gimhani and Thilini for their friendship, guidance, strength, and assistance given in the period of the research study.

I am grateful to my family for being there for me, giving their unconditional love and support to fulfill my aims. Finally, I would like to thank my spouse and baby for supporting, encouraging, and understanding me in my quest.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
Abstract	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
TABLE OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Approach	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 What is CKDu?	6
2.2 Global Distribution of CKDu	6
2.3 CKDu in Sri Lanka	7
2.4 The prevailing climatic conditions in North Central Province	8
2.5 Plausible Causal Factors for CKDu	9
2.5.1 The effect of Fluoride on CKDu	12
2.5.2 Combined effect of Fluoride and Hardness	13
2.6 Defluoridation of Potable Water	15
2.6.1 Ion-exchange	15
2.6.2 Adsorption	15

2.6.3	Membrane separation (RO & Electrodialysis).....	16
2.6.4	Precipitation and coagulation technique	16
2.7	Use of Coal Fly Ash (CFA) derived Zeolites as a low-cost adsorbent for Defluoridation	22
2.7.1	Modification of MFA with Iron oxide	23
2.7.2	Importance of Modifying MFA with Fe	24
2.8	Mechanism of impregnation of Fe into MFA	25
3	MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	26
3.1	Establishment of the distribution of fluoride and hardness levels present in potable water in CKDu affected and non-affected areas.....	26
3.2	Development of an ion-exchange column to remove hardness to a desirable level for an enhanced defluoridation	27
3.3	Defluoridation using functionalized modified Fly Ash.....	28
3.3.1	Synthesis of Coal Derived Modified Fly Ash (MFA)	29
3.3.2	Synthesis of Ion Functionalized MFA(FMFA).....	31
3.4	Characterization of synthesized MFA and Coal Fly Ash (CFA) using SEM, EDX, XRD, and FTIR.....	33
3.5	Characterization of (FMFA) _{opt} and MFA using SEM, EDX, XRD, and FTIR.	33
3.6	Evaluation of the defluoridation efficiency for different combinations of FeCl ₃ and FMFA	33
3.7	Characterization of FA (FMFA) _{opt} using SEM, EDX, XRD, and FTIR	35
3.8	Optimization of experiment condition for the (FMFA) opt for defluoridation.	36
3.8.1	Selection of the optimum FMFA dosage	36
3.8.2	Selection of the optimum contact time	36
3.8.3	Optimum pH	37

3.9	Adsorption Isotherm and Kinetic studies for fluoride removal.....	37
3.9.1	Langmuir Isotherm Model	38
3.9.2	Freundlich isotherm model	38
3.10	Kinetic studies	39
3.11	Regeneration studies for (FMFA) _{opt}	40
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	41
4.1	Establishment of the Relationship between Hardness and Fluoride present in groundwater with the CKDu Prevalence.....	41
4.2	Use of a cation exchange column for the removal of excessive hardness levels in the presence of fluoride	42
4.2.1	Development of the breakthrough curve for the ion exchange column to remove excessive hardness	43
4.3	Characterization of defluoridation materials.....	46
4.3.1	XRD analysis of MFA, (FMFA) _{opt} and FA(FMFA) _{opt}	46
4.3.2	ESEM and EDX analysis of FA, MFA, (FMFA) _{opt} and FA(FMFA) _{opt}	48
4.3.3	FTIR analysis for MFA, (FMFA) _{opt} and FA(FMFA) _{opt}	51
4.4	Selection of best combination of MFA:Fe ratio to remove fluoride in the presence of hardness.....	55
4.4.1	Mechanisms for impregnation of Fe on MFA surface and the defluoridation with(FMFA) _{opt}	55
4.5	Batch adsorption studies of (FMFA) _{opt} for the removal of fluoride.....	58
4.5.1	Effects of adsorbent dosage	58
4.5.2	Effects of contact time	59
4.5.3	Effects of pH in the solution	60
4.6	Adsorption isotherms studies for (FMFA) _{opt}	62

4.7	Adsorption kinetics studies for (FMFA) _{opt}	63
4.8	Regeneration of the (FAFMFA) _{opt}	66
4.8.1	Characterization of regenerated materials.....	68
4.9	Cost calculation for the synthesis of the defluoridation material	71
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	74
5.1	Conclusion.....	74
5.2	Recommendations	75
	REFERENCES.....	76

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 The Global distribution of CKDu (Wijewansa et al., 2018).....	6
Figure 2.2 Most CKDu prevalent divisional secretariats (cafle et al., 2019).....	8
Figure 2.3 Schematic illustration of negatively charged MFA surface (Mohau et al., 2017)	24
Figure 3.1 The regions selected to study the hardness and fluoride levels distribution in potable groundwater in Sri Lanka.....	26
Figure 3.2 Ion exchange column set up	28
Figure 3.3 The procedure for synthesise of MFA from coal derived fly ash	30
Figure 3.4 Procedure for the synthesis of (FMFA) _{opt}	32
Figure 3.5 The experimental set up for batch experiments.....	35
Figure 4.1 The hardness and Fluoride concentration in different geographical locations in Sri Lanka.....	41
Figure 4.2 Breakthrough curve for hardness removal with the cation exchange column	44
Figure 4.3 XRD analysis of MFA, FMFA, and FAFMFA	47
Figure 4.4 ESEM/EDX analysis analysis of (a _i & a _{ii}) FA, (b _i & b _{ii}) MFA, (c _i & c _{ii}) (FMFA) _{opt} and (d _i & d _{ii}) FA(FMFA) _{opt}	50
Figure 4.5 FTIR analysis of MFA, (FMFA) _{opt} and FA(FMFA) _{opt}	52
Figure 4.6 Schematic illustration of fluoride adsorption by FMFA via complex formations (Abaei et al., 2017)	56
Figure 4.7 Bar chart for optimum dosage selection for defluoridation using (FMFA) _{opt}	58
Figure 4.8 Scatter plot for the selection of optimum contact time for defluoridation	59
Figure 4.9 Defluoridation with (FMFA) _{opt} at different pH levels.....	60
Figure 4.10 Adsorption isotherms (a) Langmuir isotherm and (b) Freundlich isotherm.	62
Figure 4.11 The pseudo-second-order kinetics model for the fluoride adsorption on to (FMFA) _{opt}	64
Figure 4.12 Pseudo Second-order kinetics for adsorption of Fluoride on (FMFA) _{opt}	65

Figure 4.13 The final fluoride concentration obtained after using the regenerated material for each three regeneration cycles.....67

Figure 4.14 The fluoride adsorption efficiency of (FMFA)_{opt} after each regeneration cycle67

Figure 4.15 XRD analysis of (FMFA) after regeneration **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Figure 4.16 The Comparison of the SEM image of (FMFA)_{opt} with SEM images of regenerated material after three regeneration cycles.....68

Figure 4.17 FTIR graphs for regenerated (FMFA)_{opt}.....69

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Available defluoridation methods in the absence of other ions with advantages and limitation	18
Table 2.2: Different adsorbents used for defluoridation	21
Table 2.3: Defluoridation when MFA is modified with different components	24
Table 3.1 Mixing weight ratios of $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and Fly Ash.....	31
Table 4.1 Different function groups present on MFA, $(\text{FMFA})_{\text{opt}}$ and $\text{FA}(\text{FMFA})_{\text{opt}}$	53
Table 4.2 The defluoridation capacity of different combinations of MFA: Fe.....	55

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CKD	Chronic Kidney Disease
CKDu	Chronic Kidney Disease of unknown etiology
CFA	Coal Fly Ash
MFA	Modified Fly Ash
FMFA	Iron oxide Functionalized modified Fly Ash
(FMFA) opt	Optimized Iron oxide Functionalized modified Fly Ash
IC	Ion Chromatography
GFR	Glomerular filtration rate
SL	Sri Lanka
NCP	North Central Province
FTIR	Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
EDX	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
XRD	X-ray Diffraction Analysis