

# **Feasibility of Implementing Carbon Capture and Storage Technology in Sri Lankan Power Sector**

**K.M Guruvita  
178609E**

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
**Master**  
**of Science in Electrical Installation**

Department of Electrical Engineering  
University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka  
June 2020

# Contents

List of Figures .....	iii
List of Table .....	iv
Declaration.....	v
Abstract.....	vi
Acknowledgement .....	vii
Chapter 1 .....	1
1.0Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Sri Lankan Context .....	2
1.3 Problem Statement .....	2
1.4 Motivation.....	3
1.5 Objective .....	3
1.6 Scope of work .....	4
1.7 Structure of the thesis.....	5
Chapter 2.....	7
2.0 Introduction to CCS technology .....	7
2.1. The basis for CO <sub>2</sub> capture .....	7
2.1.1 CO <sub>2</sub> capturing systems .....	7
2.1.2. Types of CO <sub>2</sub> separation technologies .....	9
2.2 Transportation.....	11
2.3 Storage .....	12
2.3.1 Storage capacity calculation.....	13
Chapter 3.....	14
3.0 Data Collection and simulation.....	14
3.1 Data Collection .....	14
3.2 Base plant simulation.....	16
3.3 Simulation of the Capture Unit .....	16
3.4 Impact of key factors.....	17
3.5Simulation software .....	17
Chapter 4.....	19
4.0 Simulation Results and Analysis.....	19
4.1 Simulation Results .....	19

4.1.1 Validation of coal emission figures of base plants.....	20
4.1.2 Other simulation results .....	20
4.1.2.1 Water usage.....	21
4.1.2.2 Fuel consumption.....	22
4.1.2.3 CO <sub>2</sub> emission .....	23
4.1.2.4 Other emissions and particulate production.....	24
4.1.3 Most suitable CCS technology.....	24
Chapter 5.....	26
5. 0 Cost Analysis .....	26
5.1Technology implementation, transportation & storage cost .....	26
5.2The economic benefit by reducing CO <sub>2</sub> emission to the environment.....	27
5.3Increase of generation cost with implemented CCS technology.....	29
5.4 Cost analysis using project management theory .....	30
Discussion.....	32
Conclusion .....	34
References.....	36

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1: CCS process .....	2
Figure 2 : Separation technologies [9] .....	10
Figure 3 : Oil Blocks in Sri Lanka [16] .....	11
Figure 4 : Strategy of Sri Lankan thermal power stations .....	14
Figure 5 :Average Emission Factor of the energy sectors in Sri Lanka [14] .....	15
Figure 6 : IECM software .....	17
Figure 7 : IECM Software package.....	18
Figure 8 : Parameter setting of the software .....	19
Figure 9 : Total water discharge of different thermal power plants for different CCS technologies.....	21
Figure 10 :Fuel consumption of different thermal power plants for different CCS technologies.....	22
Figure 11 : CO <sub>2</sub> emission of different thermal power plants for different technologies.....	23
Figure 12 : CO <sub>2</sub> emission from fuel combustion [9].....	28

## List of Table

Table 1:Storage capacity of M1 & C1 blocks.....	13
Table 2: CO <sub>2</sub> emission levels in different energy sectors in Sri Lanka [14] .....	15
Table 3: Base plant data of one unit of Lakvijaya coal power plant.....	16
Table 4 : Assumptions regarding other emission controls .....	17
Table 5: Comparison of simulation results of CO <sub>2</sub> emission of base plants with CEB emission figures ...	20
Table 6 : Results of Lakvijaya coal power plant (900 MW) with and without CCS technology.....	20
Table 7: Summary of all different power plants .....	24
Table 8 : Suitable technology for different thermal power plants in Sri Lanka.....	25
Table 9 : Technology implementation cost and transportation & storage cost.....	26
Table 10 : Generation price of electricity without CCS technology [11] .....	29
Table 11 : Generation cost of electricity considering CCS technology implementation, transportation and storage cost.....	29
Table 12 : Generation cost of electricity considering only CO <sub>2</sub> transportation and storage cost.....	29
Table 13: Properties of different loan options to cover the implementation cost of CCS technology .....	31

## **Declaration**

I declare that this is my very own work and this thesis doesn't incorporate without affirmation any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or foundation of higher learning and as far as I could possibly know and conviction, it doesn't contain any material recently distributed or composed by another individual aside from where the affirmation is made in the content.

Likewise, I therefore award to University of Moratuwa the non-selective right to imitate and disperse my thesis, in entire or to limited extent in print, electronic or other medium. I hold the privilege to utilize this substance in entire or part in future works, (for example, articles or books)

Signature of the candidate

Date

.....

.....

K.M Guruvita

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor

Date

.....

.....

Dr.Thushara . D. Rathnayaka

## **Abstract**

Earth is consistently getting hotter with the most noteworthy recorded temperature was at 2016. Global warming is the principle explanation behind the temperature increase on the planet. As one of major greenhouse gas, CO<sub>2</sub> has a strong influence on global warming. In addition to the global warming, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on the earth atmosphere will directly affect the physiological processes and growth of plants and indirectly for the changes in precipitation patterns and frequency of weather extremes. Non-renewable energy sources, and fossil fuel based electrical power plants are primary CO<sub>2</sub> producers to the environment. Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is one of the most forthcoming technologies that captures CO<sub>2</sub> emissions produced from fossil fuel power plants. However, this technology is highly resource intensive and therefore it is required to estimate the impacts beforehand.

This thesis estimates the feasibility of implementing Carbon Capture and Storage technology in Sri Lankan fossil fuel power plants. In addition to the CO<sub>2</sub> emission, other harmful gases, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and HCl emissions are also considered in this study. Author proposes the most suitable CCS technology for each and every thermal power plant by considering the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emission and effective resources usage. In addition to that, cost feasibility of implementation of technology is also discussed.

## **Acknowledgement**

Above all else, I might want to offer my true thanks to my supervisor Dr.ThusharaD.Rathnayake, Senior Lecturer from Electrical Engineering Department at University of Moratuwa for the ceaseless help of my M.Sc. study and research, for her understanding, inspiration, eagerness, and colossal knowledge. Besides my consultant, I might want to thank the remainder of the talks from Electrical Engineering Department at University of Moratuwa for their support, savvy remarks, what's more, hard inquiries in progress reviews. Last but not least; I might want to pass on my genuine appreciation to my folks, relatives and companions for helping me from multiple points of view to accomplish my objectives constantly.

The simulation section in this work has been done utilizing the Coordinated Environmental Control Model (IECM), developed by the Carnegie Mellon University, USA. I might want appreciative to the IECM group for making the product unreservedly accessible.