TRANSFERABILITY OF MODEL PARAMETERS FOR MONTHLY STREAMFLOW ESTIMATION IN UNGAUGED WATERSHEDS IN KALU RIVER BASIN

Engiliyage Nalika Lakmali 179234G

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2020

TRANSFERABILITY OF MODEL PARAMETERS FOR MONTHLY STREAMFLOW ESTIMATION IN UNGAUGED WATERSHEDS IN KALU RIVER BASIN

Engiliyage Nalika Lakmali

179234G

Supervised by

Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

UNESCO Madanjeet Centre for South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (Such as articles or books).

Engiliyage Nalika Lakmali	Date
The above candidate has carried out supervision.	research for the Masters thesis under my
Professor N.T.S.Wijesekera	Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I offer my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor Prof.N.T.S Wijesekera for his continuous guidance and immense support given until this has become a success. Each words given by supervisor make me stronger and leads me in a correct path until I reach the end of the successful master thesis. Therefore again I offer my sincere thanks to my supervisor and it is an honors and greater opportunity to me become yours postgraduate student who has grown under your unique and exceptional supervision.

It is my duty to offer my sincere thanks to our course coordinator Dr.R.L.H Lalith Rajapakse for his guidance and instructions given at all times till I finish the thesis in a successful manner and his guidance towards the completion of the thesis work with the desired quality.

I am grateful all the supportive staff of UNESCO Madanjeet Centre for South Asia Water Management, University of Moratuwa for their kind support given to me during this research.

I offer my gratitude to Late. Shri Madanjeet Singh and the University of Moratuwa for giving me this opportunity to study towards a Master Degree of Water Resource Engineering and Management, at UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

My sincere thanks also goes to former chief executive officer of Lanka Hydraulic Institute Eng.H.N.R Perera for offering me leave without any hesitation. He always thought well about our academic advancements along with the professional carrier.

Finally I offer my sincere thanks to my parents and my friends who were always behind be by giving continuous encouragement to me and keeping faith in me until I complete my master's degree.

Transferability of Model Parameters for Monthly Streamflow Estimation in Ungauged Watersheds in Kalu River Basin

Abstract

Prediction and forecasting of ungauged streamflow have become a challenge to watershed modelers especially when practical water resources planning and management are a major concern. Over the years, researches have experienced and executed various methodologies and approaches to find a way to estimate streamflows at ungauged locations where they found that transformation of model parameters can be used effectively in this regard, which still requires further research. The present study targeted to find the adequacy of parameter transferability options to estimate streamflow at ungagged outlets. Two parameter monthly water balance model which was developed by Xiong and Guo (1999), is used for the modeling of two gauged watersheds at Ellagawa and Rathnapura which are located in Kalu river basin, Sri Lanka. Three model parameter transfer schemes have been tested under the study. They are namely, temporal, spatial, and spatio-temporal. The transferability of model parameters within Kalu River basin showed that the temporal transfer scheme has the highest capability of predicting overall flows with the average MRAE values of 0.34 while it is 0.42 and 0.35 in spatial and spatiotemporal transfer schemes respectively. Spatial and spatiotemporal transfer schemes perform at the same accuracy level for predicting high flows with an average MRAE value of 0.27 while it is 0.30 in temporal transfer scheme. The temporal transfer scheme has the highest capability to predict intermediate flows with an average MRAE value of 0.32 while it is 0.41 and 0.36 in spatial and spatiotemporal schemes respectively. Spatio-temporal transfer scheme performs best for low flows with an average MRAE value of 0.35 while it is 0.43 and 0.52 in temporal and spatial transfer schemes respectively. Further, compared to high and intermediate flows, low flow estimation has the highest MRAE values in all three considered transfer schemes.Results of seasonal flow analysis indicated that spatiotemporal scheme has the highest capability to predict Yala season streamflows with a 13% of average error for Ellagawa watershed and spatial transfer scheme has the highest capability to predict Maha seasonal flow with an average error of 13.29%. Model parameter C is 2.09 for Rathnapura watershed and it is 2.38 for Ellagawa which is having a 13% difference in each other.SC is 1420 for Rathnapura and 1461 for Ellagawa having a 3% difference from each other, indicating that model parameters do not vary across the catchments in Kalu River Basin and they are stable in a spatial domain. Transferability option 3, which used 19 years total data has the high capability to predict streamflows with a high accuracy level by giving MRAE values 0.35, 0.27, 0.36 and 0.35 for overall, high, intermediate and low flows respectively compared to transferability option 2 which used 12 years of common data period giving MRAE values 0.42, 0.27, 0.41 and 0.52 for overall, high, intermediate and low flows respectively indicates longer the data period, the higher the accuracy of streamflow predictions irrespective of the transfer scheme used. Since high and intermediate flow predictions are in higher accuracy level with lower MRAE values compared to that of for the low flows, streamflows predicted by transferred model parameters are sufficient and adequate to design and planning of water resources infrastructure and their management in ungauged watersheds within Kalu river basin.

Key Words: Ungauged watersheds, Parameter, Transferability, Model, Sri Lanka

Table of Contents

A	Acknowledgement	II
A	Abstract	III
T	Table of Contents	IV
L	ist of Figures	VI
L	ist of Tables	VII
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Problem Statement	3
	1.2 Objectives	4
	1.2.1 Overall objective	4
	1.2.2 Specific objectives	
	1.3 Project Area	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 General	7
	2. 2 Predictions at Ungauged Catchments	7
	2.3 Parameter Transferability	10
	2. 4 Water Resources Models	12
	2. 5 Monthly Water Balance models	13
	2. 6 2P Monthly Water Balance Model	14
	2.7 Model Calibration and Verification Data Durations	14
	2. 8 Model Performance Evaluation Criterion	15
	2. 8.1 Graphical verification criteria	16
	2. 8.2 Numerical verification criteria	16
	2. 9 Objective Functions	17
	2. 9.1 Mean ratio of absolute error (MRAE)	18
3.	. METHODOLOGY	19
	3.1 Model development	21
4	DATA AND DATA CHECKING	22
	4.1 Data Requirement	22
	4.1.1 Landuse	23
		25
	4.1.2 Rainfall	26
	4.1.3 Streamflow	30

	4.1.4 Eva	aporation	33
	4.1.5Anr	nual water balance	33
5	ANALY	SIS OF RESULTS	38
	5.1 Stat	e of the Art Evaluation	38
	5.1.1	Model complexity	38
	5.1.2	Data quality	39
	5.1.3	Time scale	39
	5.2 Model (Calibration and Validation	40
	5.2.1 Da	ta durations for calibration and verification	40
	5.2.2 Mc	odel Optimization	41
	5.2.3 Mc	odel calibration and validation	42
	5.3 Mod	del Parameter Transferability	55
	5.3.1 Tra	nsferability options	55
	5.3.2 Mo	odel parameter transferability – Ellagawa watershed	57
	5.3.3 Mc	odel parameter transferability – Rathnapura watershed	63
6	DISCUS	SION	69
	6.1 Data an	d Data Periods	69
	6.2 Flow R	egime Separation	70
	6.3 Objecti	ve Function and Criteria Selection	71
	6.4 Soil Mo	oisture Variation and Warmup Period	72
	6.5 Model l	Parameter Comparison in Catchments.	73
	6.6 Transfe	rability Options	74
	6.6.1 Ter	mporal transfer scheme	74
	6.6.2 Spa	ntial transfer scheme	74
	6.6.3 Spa	atiotemporal transfer scheme	74
	6.6 Variation	ons in Flow Magnitudes	75
7	CONCL	USIONS	77
8	RECOM	MENDATIONS	78
R	EFERENCE	ES	79
A	PPENDIX	A: Analysis of Literature	88
A	PPENDIX	B: Data and Data Checking.	92
A	PPENDIX	C: Analysis of Results.	100

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Project Area Map	6
Figure 3-1: Methodology Flow Chart	. 20
Figure 4-1: Landuse Map of Ellagawa Watershed	. 24
Figure 4-2: Landuse Map of Rathnapura Watershed	. 25
Figure 4-3: Double Mass Curves for Rainfall	. 28
Figure 4-4: Thiessen Polygons for Rainfall - Ellagawa Basin	. 29
Figure 4-5: Thiessen Polygons for Rainfall- Rathnapura Basin	. 30
Figure 4-6: Double Mass Curve of Annual Streamflow-Ellagawa	. 32
Figure 4-7: Double Mass Curve of Annual Streamflow-Rathnapura	. 32
Figure 4-8: Double Mass Curve of Annual Potential Evaporation-Rathnapura	. 33
Figure 4-9: Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa Watershed	. 35
Figure 4-10: Annual Water Balance of Rathnapura Watershed	. 37
Figure 5-1: Initial Soil Moisture Content Variation in Warm up Period – Ellagawa	
Watershed	. 41
Figure 5-2: Initial Soil Moisture Content Variation in Warm up Period – Rathnapura	
Watershed	. 42
Figure 5-3: Total hydrograph for Ellagawa Validation (1999/00-2005/06)	. 44
Figure 5-4: Total Hydrograph for Ellagawa Calibration (2012/13-2017/18)	. 44
Figure 5-5: Total Hydrograph for Ellagawa Calibration (2006/07-2011/12)	. 44
Figure 5-6: Annual Water Balance Comparison for Ellagawa Calibration	. 45
Figure 5-7: Annual Water Balance Comparison for Ellagawa Verification	. 46
Figure 5-8: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa Calibration (Sorted Simulated Flow)	. 47
Figure 5-9: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa Calibration	. 47
Figure 5-10: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa Verification (Sorted Simulated Flow)	. 48
Figure 5-11: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa Verification	. 48
Figure 5-12: Total Hydrograph for Rathnapura Calibration - (2006/07 - 2011/2012)	. 50
Figure 5-13: Total Hydrograph for Rathnapura Calibration - (2006/07 - 2011/2012)	. 50
Figure 5-14: Total Hydrograph for Rathnapura Validation - (1989/90 - 1995/1996)	. 50
Figure 5-15: Annual Water Balance Comparison of Calibration	. 51
Figure 5-16: Annual Water Balance Comparison of Verification	. 52
Figure 5-17: Flow Duration Curve for Rathnapura Calibration	. 53
Figure 5-18: Flow Duration Curve for Rathnapura Calibration (Sorted Streamflow)	. 53
Figure 5-19: Flow Duration Curve for Rathnapura Verification	. 54

Figure 5-20: Flow Duration Curve for Rathnapura Verification (Sorted Streamflow) 54
$Figure \ 5-21: Total \ Hydrograph \ for \ Ellagawa \ Option \ 2-\ (2006/2007-2011/2012) \ 58$
Figure 5-22: Total Hydrograph for Ellagawa Option 2- (2012/2013 - 2017/2018) 58
Figure~5-23:~Total~Hydrograph~for~Ellagawa~Option~3-~(1999/2000~-~2007/2008)~58
Figure~5-24:~Total~Hydrograph~for~Ellagawa~Option~3-~(2008/2009~-~2017/2018)~58
Figure 5-25: Annual Water Balance Comparison for Ellagawa Option 2
Figure 5-26: Annual Water Balance Comparison for Ellagawa Option 2
Figure 5-27: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa - Option 2
Figure 5-28: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa - Option 2
Figure 5-29: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa (Sorted Streamflow) - Option 2
Figure 5-30: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa (Sorted Streamflow) - Option 3
$Figure\ 531\text{:}\ Total\ Hydrograph\ for\ Rathnapura\ Option\ 2\ (2006/2007\ -\ 2011/2012)\\ 64$
$Figure\ 5-32:\ Total\ Hydrograph\ for\ Rathnapura\ Option\ 2-\ (2012/2013\ -\ 2017/2018)\\ 64$
Figure 5-33: Total Hydrograph for Rathnapura Option 3- (1989/1990 - 1995/1996) 64
Figure~5-34:~Total~Hydrograph~for~Rathnapura~Option~3-~(2006/2007~-~2017/2018)~~64
Figure 5-35: Annual Water Balance Comparison for Rathnapura Option 2
Figure 5-36: Annual Water Balance Comparison for Rathnapura Option 3
Figure 5-37: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa - Option 2
Figure 5-38: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa - Option 2
Figure 5-39: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa (Sorted Streamflow) - Option 2 68
Figure 5-40: Flow Duration Curve for Ellagawa (Sorted Streamflow) - Option 2 68
Figure 6-1: Model Parameter Matrix for the Optimization - Ellagawa

List of Tables

Table 4-1: Summary of Collected Data with Sources	22
Table 4-2: Station Coordinates of Collected Hydrological Data	22
Table 4-3: Major Landuse Types of Ellagawa and Rathnapura Watersheds	23
Table 4-4: WMO standards for Spatial Distribution of Gauging Stations	26
Table 4-5: Summary of Missing Rainfall Data	26
Table 4-6: Thiessen weights for rainfall in Ellagawa and Rathnapura basins	29
Table 4-7: Annual Streamflow Conditions at Ellagawa and Rathnapura	30
Table 4-8: Selected data periods for the study	31
Table 4-9: Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa Watershed	34
Table 4-10: Annual Water Balance of Rathnapura Watershed	36
Table 5-1: Calibration and Validation Data Durations	40
Table 5-2: Model Calibration and Validation Results Summary for Ellagawa Watershed	1 43
Table 5-3: Annual Water Balance of 12 Years Calibration Data Set	45
Table 5-4: Annual Water Balance of 7 Years Validation Data Set	46
Table 5-5: Model Calibration and Validation Results Summary for Rathnapura Watershed	d 49
Table 5-6: Annual Water Balance of 12 Years Calibration Data Set	51
Table 5-7: Annual Water Balance of 7 Years Validation Data Set	52
Table 5-8: Transferability Options and Description	56
Table 5-9: Summary of Model Performance for Transferability Options - Ellagawa	57
Table 5-10: Annual Water Balance for Option 2 - Ellagawa	59
Table 5-11: Annual Water Balance for Option 3 - Ellagawa	60
Table 5-12: Summary of Model Performance for Transferability Options - Rathnapura	63
Table 5-13: Annual Water Balance for Option 2 - Rathnapura	65
Table 5-14: Annual Water Balance for Option 3 - Rathnapura	66
Table 6-1: Summary of Objective Function Variation within Considered Model Simulation	ons
	71