

**ANALYSIS OF PRECIPITATION TREND AND
STREAMFLOW SENSITIVITY TO PRECIPITATION IN
MADURU OYA RIVER BASIN WITH HEC-HMS
MODEL SIMULATIONS**

Sujana Kirupacaran

(158560 B)

Degree of Master of Science in
Water Resources Engineering and Management

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2020

**ANALYSIS OF PRECIPITATION TREND AND
STREAMFLOW SENSITIVITY TO PRECIPITATION IN
MADURU OYA RIVER BASIN WITH HEC-HMS
MODEL SIMULATIONS**

Sujana Kirupacaran

(158560 B)

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

Supervised by

Dr. R. L. H. L. Rajapakse

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Center for South Asia Water Management

(UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2020

DECLARATION

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).”

.....

Date:

K. Sujana

“The above candidate has carried out research for the Master’s thesis under my supervision.

.....

Date:

Dr. R.L.H.L. Rajapakse

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere and deepest gratitude to my research supervisor Dr. R.L.H.L. Rajapakse for his continuous support and guidance throughout. I would not have been able to complete this thesis if it is not for his prompt replies to my emails and immense knowledge shared to clear my doubts and direct me in the right path even amidst his tight schedule. The timely completion of this work would have been just a dream without his dedicated supervision and words of encouragement for which I will be eternally grateful. It is indeed such an honour and privilege for me to work under the supervision of a great teacher like him.

My heartfelt appreciation is extended to Professor N.T.S. Wijesekara for filling me with his enormous knowledge and wisdom throughout this course. His unique way of teaching, the passion he has in enlightening the younger generation and even his exemplary attitude in guiding a student have undoubtedly induced a profound passion towards learning.

I am also grateful to all the senior professors, professors and lecturers who dedicated their valuable time in moulding us through their precious experience and knowledge during this course. My sincere thanks should be extended to Late Shree Madanjeet Singh and the University of Moratuwa for giving me this priceless opportunity to follow this Master's Degree in Water Resources Engineering and Management in UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

I am always beholden to many of my batch mates who were there whenever I needed support in many ways throughout this course despite their own workload.

Last but not least, I am forever indebted to my dear family for being my all-time support system throughout by believing in me and being there to pick me up whenever I felt drained out. It is their unfailing words of encouragement and love that kept me going.

Analysis of Precipitation Trend and Streamflow Sensitivity to Precipitation in Maduru Oya River Basin with HEC-HMS Model Simulations

ABSTRACT

Water resources management in a basin needs an intensive analysis of historical data in terms of different climate elements and streamflow. Several researchers have examined the influences of climate change over several main basins during the past years. However, no studies have been performed in the Maduru Oya basin and associated sub-catchments. Hence, the main objective of this study was to identify rainfall trends and then to analyze the streamflow elasticity to the climate in the Maduru Oya basin. Widely used non-parametric trend tests such as Mann-Kendall (MK) test, Modified Mann-Kendall (MMK) test and Sen's slope estimator were adopted to perform the trend analysis in annual, seasonal and monthly scales. The results displayed by all three tests were in very good agreement except for very few cases. On an average, a positive trend of annual rainfall was experienced in Maduru Oya basin with 1.05 and 1.103 trends, respectively from MK and MMK tests with the yearly increment of 12.52 mm/year. During cropping seasons, Maha season predominantly exhibited positive trends where Yala season was witnessed mostly with negative trends. Likewise, during rainfall seasons, except for SWM season, remaining FIM, NEM and SIM seasons displayed positive trends. The monthly analysis found out that November and December experienced strong positive trends whereas the highest negative trends were revealed in September.

Further, for Padiyathalawa sub-basin located in the upstream of Maduru Oya river basin, analysis of streamflow elasticity to precipitation, defined as the proportional change in mean annual streamflow divided by the proportional change in mean annual rainfall, was performed on historical data. This part of the study was carried out using a non-parametric estimator and a method proposed by finding the slope of the graph plotted between the proportional variation of annual streamflow and proportional variation of annual precipitation. Both results indicated that the variations in rainfall are magnified in streamflow. The non-parametric method and the graphical method revealed that a 1% change in mean annual rainfall would respectively result in 1.12% and 1.92% change in mean annual streamflow. Moreover, in an attempt to incorporate the impacts of climate change in streamflow variability due to variation in climate elements, a HEC-HMS hydrological model was developed, calibrated and verified for this sub-basin. The model performance was good in both calibration and verification periods with MRAE and Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency values of 0.433 and 0.665 and 0.559 and 0.642 respectively. Hypothetical climate change scenarios were predicted as future climate change scenarios by modifying the input rainfall and evapotranspiration data. The results indicated that the relationship between rainfall and streamflow is stronger than that between evapotranspiration and streamflow as an increase of 10% in rainfall without any change in evapotranspiration results in 20.42% increase in streamflow while the same amount of increase in evapotranspiration with no variation in rainfall results 6.30% decrease in streamflow.

In conclusion, the analyses revealed positive trends of rainfall in annual scale for the entire Maduru Oya river basin as well as for Padiyathalawa sub-basin while the streamflow elasticity for the sub-basin using the non-parametric estimator was found out to be 1.12 for the data periods considered.

Keywords: Rainfall trend analysis, Streamflow elasticity to precipitation, Non-parametric estimator, Hydrological modelling

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Rainfall Trend Analysis in Watersheds in Sri Lanka	3
1.3 Streamflow Variability Analysis in Watersheds in Sri Lanka.....	4
1.4 Problem Statement	5
1.5 Objective of the Study	6
1.5.1 Overall objective.....	6
1.5.2 Specific objectives	6
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 General	7
2.2 Precipitation Trend Analysis	7
2.2.1 Mann-Kendall test (MK)	7
2.2.2 Modified Mann-Kendall test (MMK)	10
2.2.3 Sen’s slope estimator	10
2.3 Analysis of Streamflow Sensitivity to Precipitation	11

2.3.1 Empirical elasticity assessment (Non-parametric estimator).....	13
2.3.2 Theoretical elasticity assessment (Modelling approach).....	14
2.3.2.1 Hydrological models	15
2.3.2.1.1 Deterministic models	15
2.3.2.1.2 Stochastic models.....	16
2.3.2.2 Runoff simulation models used.....	16
2.3.2.2.1 HEC-HMS background.....	17
2.3.2.2.2 Precipitation loss model	18
2.3.2.2.3 Transform model.....	19
2.3.2.2.4 Baseflow method.....	19
2.4 Objective Function	20
2.4.1 Nash-Sutcliffe coefficient (NSE).....	20
2.4.2 Pearson correlation coefficient (R)	21
2.4.3 Root mean square error (RMSE)	21
2.4.4 Mean absolute error (MAE).....	22
2.4.5 Mean relative error (MRE)	22
2.4.6 Ratio of absolute error to mean (RAEM)	22
2.4.7 Mean ratio of absolute error (MRAE)	23
2.5 Model Calibration and Verification.....	23
MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY	25
3.0.....	25
3.1 Study Methodology	25

3.2 Study Site	28
3.2.1 Maduru Oya watershed.....	28
3.2.2 Maduru Oya watershed at Padiyatalawa.....	28
3.2.3 Distribution and density of rain gauging stations in the study area.....	29
3.3 Data Collection.....	34
3.3.1 Data and data source	34
3.3.2 Dealing with data-scarce regions	36
3.3.3 Spatial averaging with Thiessen Polygons	37
3.3.4 Data checking	39
3.3.5 Estimating missing daily rainfall data	40
3.3.6 Double mass curve.....	43
3.3.7 Estimation of missing evaporation data.....	44
3.3.8 Visual data checking based on streamflow response to rainfall	45
3.3.9 Data checking in calibration and verification periods	49
3.3.10 Annual water balance and annual variation of runoff coefficient of Padiyathalawa sub-basin.....	54
3.3.11 Relationship between annual streamflow and rainfall at Padiyathalawa.	56
4.0 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	57
4.1 Trends in Rainfall Element.....	57
4.1.1 Mann-Kendall test and Modified Mann-Kendall test	58
4.1.1.1 Annual and monthly trends	58
4.1.1.2 Seasonal trends.....	60
4.1.2 Sen’s slope estimator	63

4.2 Streamflow Elasticity Analysis	65
4.2.1 Non-parametric estimator	67
4.2.2 Modeling approach	71
4.2.2.1 Developing the basin model.....	71
4.2.2.2 Developing the loss model.....	71
4.2.2.3 Developing the transform model.....	71
4.2.2.4 Developing the baseflow model.....	72
4.2.2.5 Development of time series data manager	73
4.2.2.6 Control specification	73
4.2.2.7 Model calibration	73
4.2.2.8 Selection of objective functions	74
4.2.2.9 Model verification.....	74
4.2.2.10 Model output	74
4.2.2.10.1 Model performance using initial parameters.....	74
4.2.2.10.2 Model performance in calibration period.....	79
4.2.2.10.3 Model performance in the verification period	84
4.2.2.10.4 Streamflow elasticity estimation using the model	89
5.0 DISCUSSION	92
5.1 Data Collection and Data Errors	92
5.2 Rainfall Trend Analysis.....	92
5.3 Effects on Water Resources within the Maduru Oya River basin.....	97
5.4 Streamflow Elasticity Analysis using Non-Parametric Estimator	98

5.5 Hydrological Modelling	100
5.6 Adaptation of the Model for Streamflow Elasticity Analysis	103
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	104
6.1 Conclusions	104
6.2 Recommendations	105
LIST OF REFERENCES	107
APPENDIX A: Double Mass Curves	115
APPENDIX B: Variation Of Annual Evaporation Data	118
APPENDIX C: Single Mass Curves of Evaporation Data	121
APPENDIX D: Visual Checking of Streamflow Responses to Rainfall.....	124
APPENDIX E: The output hydrographs of observed and simulated flows for both calibration and verification periods.....	148

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1: Methodology flow chart	27
Figure 3-2: Location of Maduru Oya river basin	30
Figure 3-3: Location of selected rainfall stations.....	32
Figure 3-4: Padiyathalawa sub-basin and relevant rainfall stations.....	33
Figure 3-5: Thiessen Polygon of Padiyathalawa Sub-basin.....	38
Figure 3-6: Single Mass Curves of stations excluding missing data period	41
Figure 3-7: Double Mass Curve of Kandaketiya station.....	44
Figure 3-8: Single Mass curve of year 1992/1993 and 1993/1994	45
Figure 3-9: Streamflow response to rainfall at different rainfall stations for the period 1993/1994 (normal scale).....	47
Figure 3-10: Streamflow responses to rainfall at different stations for the period 1993/1994 (semi-log scale).....	48
Figure 3-11: Streamflow responses to rainfall during the calibration period in normal scale.....	50
Figure 3-12: Streamflow responses to rainfall during the calibration period in semi-log scale.....	51
Figure 3-13: Streamflow responses to rainfall during the verification period in normal scale	52
Figure 3-14: Streamflow responses to rainfall during the verification period in semi-log scale.....	53
Figure 3-15: Yearly water balance for Padiyathalawa sub-basin.....	55
Figure 3-16: Variation of streamflow and rainfall in annual scale at Padiyathalawa	56
Figure 4-1: Annual variation of streamflow with rainfall from 1992 to 2015	66

Figure 4-2: Variation of average monthly streamflow and average monthly rainfall	67
Figure 4-3: Relationship between proportional changes of annual streamflow and Proportional change of annual precipitation	70
Figure 4-4: Outflow hydrograph with initial parameters for 1996/1997 at the calibration period (normal-scale)	76
Figure 4-5: Outflow hydrograph with initial parameters for 1996/1997 at the calibration period (log-scale)	77
Figure 4-6: Flow Duration Curve (normal scale) with initial parameters in the calibration period (1992/1993 to 1995/1996)	78
Figure 4-7: Flow Duration Curve (log-scale) with initial parameters in the calibration period (1992/1993 to 1995/1996).....	78
Figure 4-8: Outflow hydrograph with optimum parameters for 1996/1997 at the calibration period (normal-scale)	81
Figure 4-9: Outflow hydrograph with optimum parameters for 1996/1997 at the calibration period (log-scale)	81
Figure 4-10: Flow Duration Curve (normal-scale) for optimum parameters in the calibration period (1992/1993 to 1995/1996) when observed flow is sorted.....	82
Figure 4-11: Flow Duration Curve (log-scale) for optimum parameters in the calibration period when observed flow is sorted.....	82
Figure 4-12: Flow Duration Curve (normal-scale) for optimum parameters in the calibration period (1992/1993 to 1995/1996) when observed and simulated flows are sorted.....	83
Figure 4-13: Flow Duration Curve (log-scale) for optimum parameters in the calibration period (1992/1993 to 1995/1996) when observed and simulated flows are sorted.....	83
Figure 4-14: Outflow hydrograph for 1998/1999 at the verification period (normal-scale)	85

Figure 4-15:Outflow hydrograph for 1998/1999 at the verification period (log-scale)	85
.....	
Figure 4-16:Flow Duration curve (normal scale) during the verification period (1997/1998 to 2000/2001) when observed flow is sorted.....	86
Figure 4-17:Flow Duration curve (log scale) during the verification period (1997/1998 to 2000/2001) when observed flow is sorted.....	86
Figure 4-18:Flow Duration Curve (normal-scale) for optimum parameters in the verification period (1997/1998 to 2000/2001) when observed and simulated flows are sorted.....	87
Figure 4-19:Flow Duration Curve (log-scale) for optimum parameters in the verification period (1997/1998 to 2000/2001) when observed and simulated flows are sorted.....	87
Figure 5-1: Magnitude of the annual trend using Sen's slope estimator	93
Figure 5-2: Variation of magnitudes in seasonal trend at each station	94
Figure 5-3: Magnitude of trends in January	95
Figure 5-4: Average trend over the years.....	96
Figure 5-5: Annual variation of streamflow with rainfall.....	100
Figure 5-6: Relationship between observed and simulated flow in calibration period	102
Figure 5-7: Relationship between observed and simulated flow in verification period	102

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Basin details and Administrative areas covered by Maduru Oya basin ...	28
Table 3-2: Distribution of gauging stations	31
Table 3-3: Location of gauging stations.....	34
Table 3-4: Data sources and resolution.....	35
Table 3-5: Data length available in each station	36
Table 3-6: Thiessen area and weight.....	39
Table 3-7: Percentage of missing daily data in each station	39
Table 3-8: Stations with the relevant matching station.....	42
Table 3-9 :Yearly water balance of Padiyathalawa sub-basin	54
Table 3-10: Yearly water balance of Padiyathalawa sub-basin	55
Table 4-1: Values of <i>Z</i> -statistic and <i>P</i> -value for annual rainfall using both MK and MMK Tests for Maduru Oya basin.....	58
Table 4-2: Values of <i>Z</i> -statistic and <i>P</i> -value for monthly rainfall using both MK and MMK Tests for Maduru Oya basin.....	59
Table 4-3: Values of <i>Z</i> -statistic and <i>P</i> -value for seasonal rainfall using both MK and MMK Tests for Maduru Oya basin (Cropping season)	61
Table 4-4 : Values of <i>Z</i> -statistic and <i>P</i> -value for seasonal rainfall using both MK and MMK Tests for Maduru Oya basin (Rainfall season).....	62
Table 4-5: Magnitudes of slope obtained for annual and seasonal time scale using Sen's Slope Estimator	63
Table 4-6: Magnitudes of slope obtained for monthly data using Sen's Slope Estimator	64
Table 4-7: Streamflow and Rainfall statistics of the basin	68

Table 4-8: Non-parametric estimator calculation for 23 years in Padiyathalawa sub-basin	69
Table 4-9: Calculation of time of concentration using different empirical equations	72
Table 4-10: Thiessen area and weight in Padiyathalawa sub-basin.....	73
Table 4-11- Initial parameters used in the initial trial.....	75
Table 4-12: Results obtained for objective functions in the initial trial.....	79
Table 4-13: Annual Mass balance in Initial trial.....	79
Table 4-14:Optimized parameters obtained in the calibration.....	80
Table 4-15: Results obtained for objective functions in the calibration period	84
Table 4-16: Annual mass balance error in calibration	84
Table 4-17: Results obtained for objective functions in the verification period.....	88
Table 4-18: Annual mass balance error in the verification period.....	88
Table 4-19: Variation in streamflow due to variation in climate elements.....	90
Table 5-1: Average monthly trend variation.....	96