

9. REFERENCES

- Anctil, F., Perrin, C., & Andreassian, V. (2004). Impact of the length of observed records on the performance of ANN and of conceptual parsimonious rainfall-runoff forecasting models. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 357–368.
- Arnaud, P., Bouvier, C., Cisneros, L., & Dominguez, R. (2002). Influence of rainfall spatial variability on flood prediction. *Journal of Hydrology*, 216-230.
- Bari, M., & Smettem, K. (2006). A conceptual model of daily water balance following partial clearing from forest to pasture. *Hydrology Earth System Sciences*, 321–337.
- Bai, P., Liu, X., Liang, K., & Liu, C. (2015). Comparison of performance of twelve monthly water balance models in different climatic catchments of China. *Journal of Hydrology*, 1030-1040.
- Ball, J. E., & Luk, K. C. (1998). Modelling spatial variability of rainfall over a catchment. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 122-130.
- Bardossy, A., & Singh, S. (2008). Robust estimation of hydrological model parameters. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 1273-1283.
- Berndtsson, R., & Niemczynowicz, J. (1988). Spatial and temporal scales in rainfall analysis - some aspects and future perspectives. *Journal of Hydrology*, 293-313.
- Berne, A., G. Delrieu, J.D. Creutin, and C. Obled, (2004) Temporal and spatial resolution of rainfall measurements required for urban hydrology, *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 299, no. 3-4, pp. 166-179,.
- Bari, M., & Smettem, K. (2006). A conceptual model of daily water balance following partial clearing from forest to pasture. *Hydrology Earth System Sciences*, 321–337.
- Barrows, H. (1943). *Water power engineering*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- Bell, V., & Moore, R. (2000). The sensitivity of catchment runoff models to rainfall data at different spatial scales. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 653-667.
- Boughton, W.C. (2007). Effect of data length on rainfall runoff modelling. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 406e413.
- Boughton, W.C., 2004. The Australian water balance model. *Environ. Modell. Softw.* 19 (10), 943–956.

- Boyle, D. P., Gupta, H. V., Sorooshian, S., Koren, V., Zhang, Z., and Smith, M.: Towards improved streamflow forecasts: The value of semi-distributed modeling, *Water Resour. Res.*, AGU, 37(11)
- Caldera, H., Piyathisse, V., & Nandalal, K. (2016). A Comparison of Methods of Estimating Missing Daily Rainfall Data. *ENGINEER, Vol. XLIX*, 1-8.
- Chang, T., Talei, A., Alaghmand, S., & Ooi, M. P.-L. (2017). Choice of Rainfall inputs for event-based rainfall-runoff modeling in a catchment with multiple rainfall stations using data-driven techniques. *Journal of Hydrology*, 100-108.
- Chen, T., Ren, L., Yuan, F., Yang, X., Jiang, S., Tang, T., . . . Zhang, L. (2017). Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Schemes for Rainfall Data and Application in Hydrological Modeling. *Water*, 1-18.
- Cheng, Q. (2014). *Evaluating the Effect of Objective Functions on Model Calibration*. Berlin: Institut Für Geographische Wissenschaften.
- Cheng, K.-S., Lien, Y.-T., Wu, Y.-C., & Su, Y.-F. (2017). On the criteria of model performance evaluation for real-time flood forecasting. *Stoch Environment Research Risk Assess*, 31, 123–1146.
- Chiew, F.H., Peel, M.C., Western, A.W., 2002a. Application and testing of the simple rainfall-runoff model SIMHYD. In: Singh, V.P., Frevert, S.K. (Eds.), *Mathematical Models of Small Watershed Hydrology and Applications*. Water Resources Publications, Littleton, Colo, pp. 335–367
- Chow, V. (1978). *Advances in Hydroscience*. London: Academic Press Inc.
- Chow, V. T., Maidment, D. R., & Mays, L. W. (1988). *Applied Hydrology*. Singapore: McGraw Hill series in water resources and environmental engineering .
- Cohen, W., Ollington, R., & Ling, F. (2013, December 1-6). Hydrologic Model Parameter Optimisation. *20th International Congress on Modelling and Simulation*, pp. 677-683.
- Confesor, J., Remegio, B., & Whittaker, G. W. (2007). Automatic Calibration of Hydrologic Models With Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm and Pareto Optimization. *Journal Of The American Water Resources Association*, 43(4), 981-989.
- Cristiano, E., Veldhuis, M.-c. t., & Giesen, N. v. (2017). Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall and their effects on hydrological response in urban areas – a review. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 3859–3878.

- Das, T., Bardossy, A., & Zehe, E. (2006). Influence of spatial variability of precipitation in a distributed rainfall–runoff model. *Predictions in Ungauged Basins: Promise and Progress Proceedings of symposium S7 IAHS Assembly* (pp. 195-203). Foz do Iguaçu: International Association of Hydrological Sciences.
- Dataset Pricing at National Center for Environmental Information US. (2006, 06 20). Retrieved from National Climate Data Center: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdohtml/cdoprice.html>
- De Silva, R., Dayawansa, N., & Ratnasiri, M. (2007). A Comparison of Methods used in Estimating Missing Rainfall Data. *The Journal of Agricultural Sciences, III*, 101-108.
- Department of Meteorology [DoM]. (2016). Weather and Climate Data. Retrieved from Department of Meteorology Sri Lanka: <http://www.meteo.gov.lk>
- Diouf, R., Sambou, H., Traore, V., Ndiaye, M., Chaibo, A., Sambou, B., & Diaw, A. (2016). Frequency Analysis and Exploratory of Rainfall Variability in Bounkiling River Basin in a Context of Climate Change. *American Journal of Engineering Research*, 82-93.
- Daggupati, P., Yen, H., Michael, W. J., Srinivasan, R., Jeffrey, A. G., Conor, K. S., & Scott, S. P. (2015). Impact of model development, calibration and validation decisions on hydrological simulations in West Lake Erie Basin. *Hydrological Processes*, 5307–5320.
- Dawdy, D., & O'Donnell, T. (1965). Mathematical models of catchment behavior. *American Society of Civil Engineers Proceedings*, 123-137.
- De Silva, R., Dayawansa, N., & Ratnasiri, M. (2007). A Comparison of Methods Used in Estimating Missing Rainfall Data. *The Journal of Agricultural Sciences, III*, 101-108.
- Diskin, M., & Simon, E. (1977). Procedure For The Selection of Objective Functions for Hydrologic Simulation Models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 34, 129--149.
- Donigian, A., & Imhoff, J. (2009). Evaluation and Performance Assessment of Watershed Models. *Proceedings of Water Environment*, 11-27.
- Dissanayake, P.K.M (2017). *Applicability of a two parameter water balance model to simulate daily rainfall runoff*. Moratuwa: University of Moratuwa Unpubl.

- Duda, P., Hummel, P., Donigian, A., & Imhoff, J. (2012). BASINS/HSPF: MODEL USE, CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION. 1523-1547.
- Efstratiadis , A., & Koutsoyiannis, D. (2010). One decade of multi-objective calibration approaches in hydrological modelling: a review. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 55(1), 58-78.
- Ekenberg, M. (2016). Using a lumped conceptual hydrological model for five different catchments in Sweden. Master's Thesis, Department of Physical Geography. Stockholm: Stockholm University.
- EPA. (2007). *U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, An Approach for Using Load Duration Curves in the Development of TMDLs*. Washington: Watershed Branch (4503T), Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds.
- Fencl, M., Rieckermann, J., Sýkora, P., Stránský, D., & Bareš, V. (2015). Commercial microwave links instead of rain gauges: fiction or reality? *Water Science & Technology* , 31–37.
- Fenicia, F., Savenije, H. H., Matgen, P., & Pfister, L. (2007). A comparison of alternative multiobjective calibration strategies for hydrological modeling. *WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH*, 1-16.
- Fletcher, T., Andrieu, H., & Hamel, P. (2013). Understanding, management and modelling of urban hydrology and its consequences for receiving waters: A state of the art. *Advances in Water Resources*, 261-279.
- Gan, T. Y., Dlamini, E. M., and Biftu, G. F. 1997. Effects of model complexity and structure, data quality, and objective functions on hydrologic modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 192(1-4), 81-103. [doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03114-9]
- Garcia, B., Sentelhas, P. C., Tapia, L., & Sparovek, G. (2006). Filling in missing rainfall data in Andes region of Venezuela, based on a cluster analysis approach. *Rev. Bras Agrometeorologia*, 225-233.
- Genet, R., & Crochemore, L. (2011). *Evaluation of Hydrologic Models: Experts judgement vs Numerical Criteria* . Paris: Polytechnic Paris-UPMC Sciences De La Terre.
- Georgakakos, K. P., & Kavvas, M. L. (1987). PRECIPITATION ANALYSIS, MODELING, AND PREDICTION IN HYDROLOGY. *REVIEWS OF GEOPHYSICS*, 163-178.
- Gayathri, K. D., Ganasri, B. P., & Dwarakish, G. S. (2015). A Review on Hydrological Models. *Science Direct*, 1001 – 1007.

- Ghandhari, A., & Alavi Moghaddam, S. (2011). Water Balance Principles: A review of studies on five watersheds in Iran. *Journal of Environment Science and Technology*, 465-479.
- Guinot, V., Cappelaere, B., Delenne, C., Ruelland, D., (2011). Towards improved criteria for hydrological model calibration: theoretical analysis of distance- and weak form-based functions. *Journal of Hydrology* 401, 1–13.
- Gupta, H., Bastidas, L., Sorooshian, S., Shuttleworth, W., & Yang, Z. (1998a). Parameter estimation of a land surface scheme. *Journal of Geophysics*, 19491-19503.
- Gupta, H., Sorooshian, S., & Yapo, P. (1998b). Towards improved calibration of hydrologic models: Multiple and noncommensurable measures of information. *Water Resources*, 751-763.
- Green, I., & Stephenson, D. (1986). Criteria for comparison of single event models. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 395-411.
- Haan, C. (1972). A water yield model for small catchments. *Water Resources Research*, 8, 58-69.
- Hwang, S. H., Ham, D. H., & Kim, J. H. (2012). A new measure for assessing the efficiency of hydrological data-driven forecasting models. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 57(7), 1257-1274.
- Hyndman, R. J., & Koehler, A. B. (2006). Another look at measures of forecast accuracy. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 22, 679– 688.
- Hijioka, Y., Lin, E., Pereira, J., Corlett, R., Cui, X., Insarov, G., Surjan, A. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II*. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. :Cambridge University Press.
- Hasan, M., & Croke, B. (2013). Filling gaps in daily rainfall data: a statistical approach. *20th International Congress on Modelling and Simulation*, (pp. 1-6). Adelaide.
- Hoad, K., Robinson, S., & Davies, R. (2008). Automating Warm-up Length Estimation. *Proceedings of the 2008 Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 532-540). Coventry.
- Hukmans, R., Meol, H. d., Aerts, J., & Troch, P. (2008). Water balance versus land surface model in the simulation of Rhine river discharges. *Water Resource Research*, 44, 1-14.

- Jayadeera, P. (2016). Development of a rainfall runoff model for Kalu ganga basin of Sri Lanka using HEC-HMS model. *A thesis in Water Resources Engineering and Management (Master's Thesis), University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.*
Retrieved from
<http://dl.lib.mrt.ac.lk/handle/123/1/browse?value=Jayadeera%2C+PM&type=author>
- Jain, S., & Singh, V. (2003). *Water Resources Systems Planning and Management.* Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Jie, M.-X., Chen, H., Xu, C.-Y., Zeng, Q., & Tao, X.-e. (2016). A comparative study of different objective functions to improve the flood forecasting accuracy. *Hydrology Research, 718-735.*
- Jansen, M. (2009). *Environment matters.* Washington DC: World Bank.
- Kamran, M. (2017). The Effect of Watershed Subdivisions and Antecedent Moisture Condition on HEC-HMS Model Performance In The Ma Oya Basin In Sri Lanka. *University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka (Master Thesis).*
- Khandu, D. (2015). Monthly Water Balance Model for Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts on the Streamflow of Ginganga and Kelani Ganga Basins, Sri Lanka. *A thesis in Water Resources Engineering and Management (Master's Thesis).*
Retrieved from
<http://dl.lib.mrt.ac.lk/handle/123/1/browse?value=Khandu%2C+D&type=author>
- Klemes, V. (1986). Operational testing of hydrological simulation models. *Hydrological Sciences, 13-24.*
- Koren, V., Finnerty, B., Schaake, J., Smith, M., Seo, D., & Duan, Q. (1999). Scale dependencies of hydrologic models to spatial variability of precipitation. *Journal of Hydrology, 285-302.*
- Krajewski, W. F., Lakshmi, V., Georgakakos, K. P. & Jain, S. C. (1991) A Monte Carlo study of rainfall sampling effect on a distributed catchment model. *Water Resour. Res.* **27**(1), 119–128.
- Krause, P., Boyle, D., & Base, F. (2005). Comparison of different efficiency criteria for hydrological model assessment. *Advances in Geosciences, 5, 89–97.*
- Kuczera, G. (1983a). Improved parameter inference in catchment models. *Water Resources, 1151–1162.*

- Kuczera, G. (1983b). Improved parameter inference in catchment models. *Water Resources*, 1163–1172.
- Langford, K., Duncan, H., & Heeps, D. (1978). Forecasting streamflow and storage using a soil dryness index model. *Rep. MMBWW0031*.
- Legates, D., & McCabe, G. (1999). Evaluating the use of "goodness-of-fit" measures in hydrologic and hydroclimatic model validation. *WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH*, 233-241.
- Leijnse, H., Uijlenhoet, R., & Stricker, J. (2007). Rainfall measurement using radio links from cellular communication networks. *Water Resources*.
- LI, C.-z., WANG, H., LIU, J., YAN, D.-h., YU, F.-l., & ZHANG, L. (2010). Effect of calibration data series length on performance and optimal parameters of hydrological model. *Water Science and Engineering*, 3(4), 378-393.
- Li, L., Zhang, L., Wang, H., Wang, J., Yang, J., Jiang, D., . . . Qin, D. (2007). Assessing the impact of climate variability and human activities on streamflow from the Wuding River basin in China. *Hydrological processes*, 3485-3491.
- Linsley, K. R., & Crawford, N. (1960). Computation of a synthetic streamflow record on a digital computer. *IAHS Publ.*, 526-538.
- Leijnse, H., Uijlenhoet, R., and Stricker, J.: Rainfall measurement using radio links from cellular communication networks, *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, 2007.
- Liang, X., Guo, J. & Leung L.R. (2004) Assessment of the effects of spatial resolutions on daily water flux simulations. *J. Hydrol.* **298**, 287–310.
- Li, H., & Zhang, Y. (2017). Regionalising rainfall-runoff modelling for predicting daily runoff: Comparing gridded spatial proximity and gridded integrated similarity approaches against their lumped counterparts. *Journal of Hydrology*, 279-293.
- Lopes, V. L. (1996) On the effect of uncertainty in spatial distribution of rainfall on catchment modelling. *Catena* **28**, 107–119.
- Madsen, H. (2000). Automatic calibration of a conceptual rainfall–runoff model using multiple objectives. *Journal of Hydrology*, 276–288.
- Madsen, H. (2003). Parameter estimation in distributed hydrological catchment modelling using automatic calibration with multiple objectives. *Advances in Water Resources*, 205–216.

- Makridakis, S. (1993). Accuracy measures: theoretical and practical concerns. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 2, 527-529.
- Mathevet, T., Michel, C., Andréassian, V., & Perrin, C. (2006). A bounded version of the Nash-Sutcliffe criterion for better model assessment on large sets of basins. *Large Sample Basin Experiments for Hydrological Model Parameterization: Results of the Model Parameter*, 211-219.
- Makhlouf, Z., & Michel, C. (1994). A two-parameter monthly water balance model for French watersheds. *Journal of Hydrology*, 299-318.
- Mayer, D. G. and D. G. Butler (1993), Statistical validation, *Ecological Modelling*, 68, 21-32.
- Molden, D. (2007). *A Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture*. London: International Water Management Institute.
- Moussa, R., & Chahinian, N. (2009). Comparison of different multi-objective calibration criteria using a conceptual rainfall-runoff model of flood events. *Hydrology and Earth System Science*, 2009.
- Muelhi, S., Michel, C., Perrin, C., & Adreassian, V. (2006). Stepwise Development of a two-parameter monthly water balance model. *Science Direct*, 200-214.
- Mutumala, S. (2016). The study for daily streamflow modeling of Kalu river basin in Sri Lanka using HEC-HMS. *University of Moratuwa (Unpublished Master's Thesis)*.
- Moriasi, D., Arnold, J., Liew, M. V., Bingner, R., Harmel, R., & Veith, T. (2007). Model Evaluation Guidelines for Systematic Quantification of Accuracy in Watershed Simulations. *American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers*, 50(3), 885–900.
- Moriasi, D., Wilson, B., Douglas-Mankin, K., Arnold, J., & Gowda, P. (2012). Hydrologic and Water Quality Models: Use, Calibration, and Validation. *American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers*, 55(4), 1241-1247.
- Musiake, K., & Wijesekera, N.T.S. (1990). Streamflow modelling of a Sri Lankan catchment considering spatial variation of rainfall. *Association of Civil Engineers*. Tokyo: Japan Society of Hydrology and Water Resources.
- Nash, J. E. and J. V. Sutcliffe (1970), River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I -- A discussion of principles, *Journal of Hydrology*, 10, 282-290.

- National Water Supply and Drainage Board. (2002). *National Drinking Water Policy*. Colombo: Ministry of Water Supply & Drainage.
- National Climatic Data Center [NCDC]. (2019). *Pricing and Other Information for Climatic Data Online*. Retrieved from National Centers for Environmental Information: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/>
- Niemczynowicz, J. (1988). The rainfall movement - A valuable complement to short-term rainfall data. *Journal of Hydrology*, 311-326.
- OECD. (2013). *Water and Climate Change Adaptation: Climate change impacts on water systems*. Sweden: OECD Secretariat.
- Obled, C. H., Wendling, J. & Beven, K. (1994) The sensitivity of hydrological models to spatial rainfall patterns: an evaluation using observed data. *J. Hydrol.* **159**, 305–333.
- Organization World Meteorological. (1982). WMO project for the intercomparison of conceptual models for snowmelt runoff. *Hydrological Aspects of Alpine and High Mountain Area*, 193-202.
- Pechlivanidis, I., McIntyre, N., & Wheeler, H. (2008). The Significance of Spatial Variability of Rainfall on Runoff. *International Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software*, 478-485.
- Peleg, N., Blumensaat, F., Molnar, P., Fatichi, S., and Burlando, P.: Partitioning the impacts of spatial and climatological rainfall variability in urban drainage modeling, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 21, 1559–1572, ,2017.
- Ponrajah, A. (1988). *Technical Guidelines for Irrigation Works*. Colombo: Irrigation Department of Sri Lanka.
- Presti, R. L., Barca, E., & Passarella, G. (2009). A methodology for treating missing data applied to daily rainfall data in the Candelaro River Basin (Italy). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 1–22.
- Risley, John, Stonewall, Adam, & Tana, H. (2008). *Estimating flow-duration and low-flow frequency statistics for unregulated streams in Oregon*. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations.
- Robinson, S. (2004). *Simulation. The practice of model development and use*. England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Salvadore, E., Bronders, J., & Batelaan, O. (2015). Hydrological modelling of urbanized catchments: A review and future directions. *Journal of Hydrology*, 61–81.

- Saville, T., & Watson, J. (1933). An investigation of flow-distribution characteristics of North Carolina streams. *American Geophysics Union Trans*, 406-425.
- Searcy, J. (1959). Manual of Hydrology: Part 2. Low-Flow Techniques Flow-Duration Curves. *USGS WSP 1542-A*, 542-A.
- Segond, M.-L., Wheeler, H., & Onof, C. (2007). The significance of spatial rainfall representation for flood runoff estimation: A numerical evaluation based on the Lee catchment. *Journal of Hydrology*, 116-131.
- SERVAT, E., & DEZETTER, A. (1991). Selection of calibration objective functions in the context of rainfall-runoff modelling in a Sudanese savannah area. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 307-330.
- Sattari, M.-T., Joudi, A. R., & Kusiak, A. (2016). Assessment of different methods for estimation of missing data in precipitation studies. *Hydrology Research*, 1-13.
- Sharifi, M. (2015). Calibration and Verification of a Two Parameter Monthly Water Balance Model and its Application Potential for Evaluation of Water Resources -A Case Study of Kalu and Mahaweli Rivers of Sri Lanka. *A thesis in Water Resources Engineering and Management (Master's Thesis), University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.*
- Singh, V. (1997). Effect of spatial and temporal variability in rainfall and watershed characteristics on stream flow hydrograph. *Hydrological Processes*, 1649-1669.
- Singh, J., H. V. Knapp, J. G. Arnold, and M. Demissie. 2005. Hydrologic modeling of the Iroquois River watershed using HSPF and SWAT. *J. American Water Resources Assoc.* 41(2): 361-375.
- Sierra, K., Evans, J. W., MacKinnon, K., Cantrell, J., Livernash, R. T., Cantrell, J., & Sunderland, J. (2009). *Environment Matters at the World Bank*. Washington: World Bank Publications.
- Simolo, C., Brunetti, M., Maugeri, M., & Nanni, T. (2010). Improving estimation of missing values in daily precipitation series by a probability density function-preserving approach. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CLIMATOLOGY*, 1564-1576.
- Singh, V., & Xu, C.-Y. (1988). A review on monthly water balance models for water resources investigations. *Water Resources Management*, 31-50.
- SIWI. (2014). *World Water Week in Stockholm Energy and Water*. Stockholm: Stockholm International Water Institute.

- Sharma, K. D., Sorooshian, S. and Wheeler, H., 2008. Hydrological Modelling in Arid and Semi-Arid Areas. New York : *Cambridge University Press*. 223 p. ISBN-13 978-0-511-37710-5.
- Smakhtin, V. (2001). Low flow hydrology: a review. *Journal of hydrology*, 147-186.
- Smith, M., Koren, V., Zhang, Z., Reed, S., Pan, J., & Moreta, F. (2004). Runoff response to spatial variability in precipitation: an analysis of observed data. *Journal of Hydrology*, 267-286.
- Sokolov, A., & Chapman, T. (1974). Methods for water balance computation (An international guide for research and practice). *Paris: UNESCO Press*.
- Sorooshian, S., Gupta, V., & Fulton, J. (1983). Evaluation of maximum likelihood parameter estimation techniques for conceptual rainfall-runoff models: Influence of calibration data variability and length on model credibility. *Water Resources Research*, 251-259.
- Srinivasan, R., Jaehak, J., Narayanan, K., Jeff, A., Roger, G., & Leila, G. (2010). Development and Integration of Sub-hourly Rainfall–Runoff Modeling Capability Within a Watershed Model. *Water Resource Management*.
- Stephenson, D. (1979) Direct optimization of Muskingum routing coefficients. *Journal of Hydrology*. 41, 161-165.
- Sugiyama, H., Vudhivanich, V., Whitaker, A., & Lorsirirat, K. (2003). Stochastic flow duration curves for evaluation of flow regimes in rivers. *AWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 47-58.
- Sung, J., & Chung, E. (2014). Development of streamflow drought severity–duration–frequency curves using the threshold level method. *Hydrological Earth Science*, 3341-3351.
- Thapa, B. R., Ishidaira, H., & Pandey, V. P. (2017). A multi-model approach for analyzing water balance balancedynamics in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. *Journal of Hydrology*, 149-162.
- Tegegne, G., Park, D. K., & Kim, Y.-O. (2017). Comparison of hydrological models for assessment of water resources in a data-scarce region, the upper blue Nile river basin. *Journal of Hydrology : Regional Studies*, 49-66.
- Tetzlaff, D. and S. Uhlenbrook, Significance of spatial variability in precipitation for process-oriented modelling: results from two nested catchments using radar and ground station data, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 1-2, pp. 29-41, 2005.

- Tofallis, C. (2015). A better measure of relative prediction accuracy for model selection and model estimation. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 66, 1352–1362.
- Trask, J., Fogg, G., & Puente, C. (2017). Resolving hydrologic water balances through a novel error analysis approach, with application to the Tahao basin. *Journal of Hydrology*, 326-340.
- Vandewiele, G., & Ni-Lar-Win. (1998). Monthly water balance models for 55 basins in 10 countries. *Hydrological Sciences*, 667-699.
- Wagener, T., Boyle, D. P., Lees, M. J., Wheater, H. S., Gupta, H. V., & Sorooshian, S. (2001). A framework for development and application of hydrological models. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 5, 13–26.
- Wang, B., & Xu, Z. (2015). Spatial and Temporal variation of precipitation in Haihe River basin in the recent 53 years. (IAHS, Ed.) *Remote Sensing and GIS for Hydrology and Water Resources*, 138-143.
- Wang, Q., Pagano, T., Zhou, S., Hapuarachchi, H., Zhang, L., & Robertson, D. (2011). Monthly versus daily water balance models in simulating monthly runoff. *Journal of Hydrology*, 166-175.
- Wanniarchchi, S. (2013). Mathematical modelling of watershed runoff coefficient for reliable estimations to meet the future challenges of development in Sri Lanka. *ENGINEER*, 2, 59-68.
- Wijesekera, N.T.S. (2000). Parameter estimation in watershed models a case study of Gin Ganga watershed. *The Institution of Engineer*.
- Wijesekera, N. (2017). Classification of Streamflow Observations for Water Management. *Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa*.
- Wijesekera, N.T.S, & Abeynayake, J. (2003). Watershed Similarity Conditions for Peakflow Transposition - A Study of River Basins in the Wet Zone of Sri Lanka. *Journal of the Institution of Engineers, Sri Lanka*, 26-31.
- Wijesekera, N.T.S, & Perera, K. (2011). Identification of the Spatial Variability of Runoff Coefficients of Three Wet Zone Watersheds of Sri Lanka. *ENGINEER*, 1-10.
- Wijesekera, N.T.S, & Rajapakse, R. (2013). Mathematical Modelling of Watershed Wetland Crossings for Flood Mitigation and Groundwater Enhancement - Case of the Attanagalu Oya River Basin. *Engineers*, 55-67.

- Wijesekera, N.T.S, & Perera, L. (2012). Key issues of data and data checking for hydrological analyses - Case study of Rainfall data in the Attanagalu Oya basin of Sri Lanka. *Engineer*, 1-12.
- Wilson, C. B., Valdes, J. B. & Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. (1979) On the influence of the spatial distribution of rainfall on storm runoff. *Water Resour. Res.* **15**(2), 321–328.
- WMO. (2008). *Guide to Hydrological Practices*. Geneva: World Meteorological Organization (WMO).
- WMO. (2008). *Guide to hydrological practices*. Geneva: Publications Board of World Meteorological Organization.
- Woods , R., & Sivapalan, M. (1999). A synthesis of space-time variability in storm response rainfall, runoff generation and routing. *Water Resources Research*, 2469-2489.
- Xiong, L., & Guo, S. (1999). A two-parameter monthly water balance model and its application. *Journal of Hydrology*, 111-123.
- Xu, C.-Y. (1999). Estimation of parameter of a conceptual water balance model for ungauged catchments. *Water Resources Management*, 353-368.
- Yapo, P. O., Gupta, H. V., & Sorooshian, S. (1998). Multi-objective global optimization for hydrologic models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 83-97.
- Yapo, O. P., Gupta, H. V., & Sorooshian, S. (1983). Automatic calibration of conceptual rainfall-runoff models:sensitivity to calibration data. *Journal of Hydrology*, 23-48.
- Yu, P.-S., & Yang, T.-C. (2000). Fuzzy multi-objective function for rainfall-runoff model calibration. *Journal of Hydrology*, 1–14.
- Zheng, H., Chiew, F. H., & Charles, S. (2015). CMIP5 climate change projections for hydrological. *21st International Congress on Modelling and Simulation*, (pp. 2409-2415). Gold Coast.