

**HEC-HMS MODEL PARAMETER TRANSFERABILITY
FOR DAILY STREAMFLOW ESTIMATION IN
GIN GANGA BASIN**

Jayaweera Muhandirange Lalani Madhushankha

(189241J)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

September 2019

**HEC-HMS MODEL PARAMETER TRANSFERABILITY
FOR DAILY STREAMFLOW ESTIMATION IN
GIN GANGA BASIN**

Jayaweera Muhandiramge Lalani Madhushankha

(189241J)

Supervised by
Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master
of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

September 2019

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other media. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

UOM Verified Signature

.....19/09/2019.....

Jayaweera Muhandiramge Lalani Madhushankha

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

UOM Verified Signature

19.09.2019

Professor N.T.S.Wijesekera

Date

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my sincere and heartfelt gratitude to my research supervisor, Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera for the unwavering support for my study with his patience, motivation and immense knowledge. Without his dedicated supervision and continued guidance, this thesis would not be completed within the time frame. During my period, he consistently allowed this research to be my work, but steered me in the right direction whenever he thought I needed it. He is a great teacher.

I have to extend my gratitude to the course coordinator Dr. R.L.H. Lalith Rajapakse for providing me all the necessary assistance and consistent encouragement while guidance when required even working under a busy schedule.

Further I would like to extend my gratitude to Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe and staff of the University of Moratuwa for their support in different ways during this research period.

I would also like to thank Late. Shri Madanjeet Singh, Management of Fund and the University of Moratuwa for giving me this opportunity to study towards a Master Degree in Water Resource Engineering and Management, at UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Also, I must thank to Department of Irrigation for approvals to collect necessary data.

Specially I must express my very profound gratitude to my mother (R.A. Kamalawathi), my sisters and my best friends for encouragement and take care when I am having a hard time.

HEC-HMS Model Parameter Transferability for Daily Streamflow Estimation in Gin Ganga Basin

ABSTRACT

Rapid urbanization and population growth with economic advancement causes a conflict between limited freshwater supply and the demand. Accurate streamflow estimation in a watershed is a necessity for sustainable water resources management to overcome this conflict. Sustainable water management requires quantification of streamflow components for flood, drought and irrigation management. Hydrologic modeling is one of the most versatile options to estimate streamflow in watershed. Streamflow quantification by modeling had issues with ungauged watersheds due to lack of sufficient measured data to determine model parameters. The objective of this work is to apply HEC-HMS process-based model to simulate process-based river flow in an ungauged sub-watershed Thawalama at daily time scale, where the main watershed Baddegama is gauged, and check the possibility of parameter transferability from main to sub-watershed and vice versa. Here, spatiotemporal transferability approach was used to assess possibility of parameter transferability in order to estimate daily streamflow in an ungauged sub watershed. Temporal transferability approach also used to assess the comparison of selected transferability option for this work. Gin Ganga basin study area and HEC-HMS model were selected. Eight models developed for both Thawalama and Baddegama watersheds from 2007 to 2017 on a daily time scale. Calibration period was from 2007 to 2012 and validation period was from 2012 to 2017. Model efficiency was evaluated by the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). Two models at Baddegama and Thawalama were calibrated and validated. For spatiotemporal approach, both model's calibrated parameters were transferred from Baddegama to Thawalama and vice versa for 10 years of period. For temporal approach calibrated parameters of both models were transferred to same watersheds for 10 years of period. Then the model performance evaluated with flow hydrograph, flow duration curve for low, high and intermediate flows to asses calibrated parameter transferability of HEC-HMS from Baddegama to Thawalama sub-watershed and vice versa. Thawalama and Baddegama models were calibrated with RMSE of 4.8 mm/day, 3.0 mm/day and validated with RMSE of 5.0 mm/day, 3.5 mm/day respectively. The spatiotemporal parameter transferability approach to Baddegama main watershed from Thawalama sub-watershed showed RMSE of 6.0 mm/day and vice versa showed RMSE of 5.8 mm/day. The temporal parameter transferability approach to Baddegama main watershed from Thawalama sub-watershed showed RMSE of 3.3 mm/day and vice versa showed RMSE of 4.9 mm/day. Results concluded that spatiotemporal transfer approach showed better achievement in model parameter transferability from main to sub-watershed. Temporal transfer approach showed better achievement in model transferability from sub to main watershed. Spatiotemporal transferability approach showed better model performance rating than temporal approach with RSR value of 0.5 for Thawalama sub-watershed to Baddegama main watershed and RSR value of 0.6 for vice versa. The HEC-HMS model can be successfully applied to assess the transferability approach within the Gin Ganga basin for sustainable water resource management. Furthermore, need to asses individual parameter influence on transferability approach with compared to watershed physical characteristics.

Key Words

Process-based hydrologic model, HEC-HMS, Sustainable Water Resources Management, Spatial Transferability

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| DECLARATION | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT..... | ii |
| ABSTRACT..... | iii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | iv |
| LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiv |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATION | xvi |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Sustainable Water Resources Management | 1 |
| 1.2 Hydrologic Modeling in Streamflow Estimation..... | 1 |
| 1.3 Spatial and Temporal Resolution in Streamflow Modeling | 2 |
| 1.4 Process-Based Hydrologic Modeling | 2 |
| 1.5 Challenges in Watershed Modeling..... | 3 |
| 1.6 Problem Identification | 4 |
| 1.7 Study Area | 4 |
| 1.8 Overall Objective..... | 4 |
| 1.8.1 Specific Objectives..... | 5 |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW..... | 7 |
| 2.1 Hydrologic Models | 7 |
| 2.2 Types of Hydrologic Models | 7 |
| 2.3 Application of Types of Hydrologic Models..... | 8 |
| 2.4 Continuous and Event Model..... | 9 |
| 2.5 Lumped and Distributed Model..... | 9 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 2.6 | Temporal Resolution..... | 9 |
| 2.7 | Current Status of Process-Based Hydrologic Models..... | 10 |
| 2.8 | Model Comparison..... | 10 |
| 2.9 | Parameter Transferability..... | 16 |
| 2.10 | Filling Missing Data | 17 |
| 2.11 | Model Calibration and Validation | 18 |
| 2.12 | Objective Function..... | 19 |
| 2.13 | Model Warm-Up..... | 21 |
| 2.14 | HEC-HMS Model Structure | 22 |
| 2.14.1 | Precipitation Model..... | 22 |
| 2.14.2 | Canopy Model..... | 22 |
| 2.14.3 | Loss Model..... | 22 |
| 2.14.4 | Transform Model..... | 23 |
| 2.14.5 | Baseflow Model | 23 |
| 2.14.6 | Routing Model..... | 24 |
| 2.15 | Data Requirement | 24 |
| 2.16 | Parameters..... | 24 |
| 2.17 | Parameter Optimization in HEC-HMS Model..... | 25 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY..... | 26 |
| 4 | DATA COLLECTION AND DATA CHECKING..... | 29 |
| 4.1 | Study Area | 29 |
| 4.2 | Thiessen Rainfall | 30 |
| 4.2.1 | Thawalama Watershed | 30 |
| 4.2.2 | Baddegama Watershed..... | 31 |
| 4.3 | Data and Data Checking | 32 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 4.3.1 | Annual water balance at Thawalama | 32 |
| 4.3.2 | Variation of annual runoff coefficients and evaporation of Thawalama | 33 |
| 4.3.3 | Variation of annual rainfall and streamflow of Thawalama | 34 |
| 4.3.4 | Annual water balance at Baddegama | 34 |
| 4.3.5 | Variation of annual runoff coefficients and evaporation of Baddegama | 35 |
| 4.3.6 | Variation of annual rainfall and streamflow of Baddegama | 35 |
| 4.4 | Double Mass Curve..... | 36 |
| 4.5 | Visual Data Checking | 37 |
| 4.5.1 | Thawalama Watershed | 37 |
| 4.5.2 | Baddegama Watershed | 41 |
| 4.6 | Monthly and Annual Rainfall | 45 |
| 4.6.1 | Monthly comparison of streamflow and Thiessen rainfall..... | 46 |
| 4.6.2 | Monthly evaporation | 46 |
| 4.7 | Filling Missing Data | 48 |
| 5 | ANALYSIS AND RESULTS | 49 |
| 5.1 | Selection of Two Watersheds | 49 |
| 5.2 | Model Selection | 49 |
| 5.3 | HEC-HMS Model Development..... | 49 |
| 5.3.1 | Review of modeling practices in HEC-HMS | 49 |
| 5.3.2 | Development of the basin model..... | 50 |
| 5.3.3 | Control specification | 55 |
| 5.3.4 | Model simulation..... | 56 |
| 5.3.5 | Model warmup | 56 |
| 5.4 | Model Calibration | 56 |
| 5.4.1 | Automatic parameter optimization..... | 56 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 5.5 | Streamflow Separation..... | 57 |
| 5.6 | Calibration Results..... | 59 |
| 5.6.1 | Statistical goodness of fit measures | 59 |
| 5.6.2 | Parameters of Thawalama and Baddegama Watersheds Parameters | 59 |
| 5.6.3 | Matching observed and calculated hydrograph and FDC | 59 |
| 5.6.4 | Annual water balance | 65 |
| 5.6.5 | Monthly and seasonal performance..... | 66 |
| 5.7 | Model Verification..... | 71 |
| 5.7.1 | Statistical goodness of fit measures | 71 |
| 5.7.2 | Matching observed and calculated hydrograph and FDC | 71 |
| 5.7.3 | Annual water balance | 77 |
| 5.7.4 | Monthly and seasonal performance..... | 78 |
| 5.8 | Parameter Transferability..... | 83 |
| 5.8.1 | Parameter transferability from Baddegama to Thawalama watershed.... | 83 |
| 5.8.2 | Parameter transferability from Thawalama to Baddegama watershed.... | 90 |
| 6 | DISCUSSION | 97 |
| 6.1 | Model Component Selection..... | 97 |
| 6.1.1 | Loss model | 97 |
| 6.1.2 | Baseflow model..... | 97 |
| 6.1.3 | Transform model | 97 |
| 6.1.4 | Canopy model | 98 |
| 6.2 | Data and Data Period | 98 |
| 6.2.1 | Selection of data period..... | 98 |
| 6.2.2 | Existence of Data Error | 99 |
| 6.3 | The Selection and Determination of Initial Parameter Values | 99 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 6.3.1 | Canopy Model | 99 |
| 6.3.2 | Loss Model | 100 |
| 6.3.3 | Transform model | 100 |
| 6.3.4 | Baseflow model | 100 |
| 6.4 | Objective Function Selection | 100 |
| 6.5 | Flow Threshold Selection | 101 |
| 6.6 | Evaluation Criteria of Model Performance | 102 |
| 6.6.1 | Model performance in calibration of Thawalama and Baddegama | 102 |
| 6.6.2 | Model performance in verification of Thawalama and Baddegama | 104 |
| 6.7 | Reliability of Model Results | 106 |
| 6.7.1 | Uncertainty in meteorological data | 106 |
| 6.7.2 | Uncertainty in catchment parameters | 107 |
| 6.8 | Comparison of Parameter Transferability | 107 |
| 6.8.1 | Baddegama to Thawalama | 108 |
| 6.8.2 | Thawalama to Baddegama | 107 |
| 7 | CONCLUSIONS | 108 |
| 8 | RECOMMENDATION | 112 |
| | REFERENCES | 113 |
| | APPENDIX A: STREAMFLOW RESPONSE WITH RAINFALL | 123 |
| | APPENDIX B: DOUBLE MASS CURVES AFTER DATA FILLING | 142 |
| | APPENDIX C: COMPARISON OF RAINFALL CALCULATIONS | 146 |
| | APPENDIX D: REVIEW OF OPTIMIZATION CRITERIA | 148 |
| | APPENDIX E: CANOPY STORAGE, WARM UP AND FLOW COMPONENT | 157 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1-1:Study area..... | 6 |
| Figure 3-1:Methodology flowchart | 28 |
| Figure 4-1:Thiessen polygon-Thawalama watershed | 31 |
| Figure 4-2:Thiessen polygon-Baddegama watershed | 32 |
| Figure 4-3:Variation of annual evaporation and runoff coefficient at Thawalama ... | 33 |
| Figure 4-4:Variation of annual rainfall and streamflow at Thawalama..... | 34 |
| Figure 4-5:Variation of annual evaporation and runoff coefficient at Baddegama .. | 35 |
| Figure 4-6:Variation of annual rainfall and streamflow at Baddegama..... | 36 |
| Figure 4-7:Double mass cures for each RF, SF and EVP stations..... | 36 |
| Figure 4-8:Thawalama SF vs RF at each Station 2015/16 – semi log plot..... | 38 |
| Figure 4-9:Thawalama SF vs Thiessen RF during calibration period | 39 |
| Figure 4-10:Thawalama SF vs Thiessen RF-during validation period | 40 |
| Figure 4-11:Baddegama SF vs RF at each station 2015/16 – semi log plot | 42 |
| Figure 4-12:Baddegama SF vs Thiessen RF- during calibration period..... | 43 |
| Figure 4-13:Baddegama SF vs Thiessen RF- during validation period..... | 44 |
| Figure 4-14:Variation of monthly average rainfall | 45 |
| Figure 4-15:Comparison of monthly evaporation with streamflow..... | 46 |
| Figure 4-16:Comparison of monthly thiessen rainfall and streamflow in Thawalama and Baddegama watersheds | 47 |
| Figure 5-1:Landuse map for Baddegama and Thawalama watersheds..... | 52 |
| Figure 5-2:HEC-HMS model schematic diagram..... | 55 |
| Figure 5-3:Streamflow separation in Thawalama watershed..... | 58 |
| Figure 5-4:Streamflow separation in Baddegama watershed..... | 58 |
| Figure 5-5:Performance of Thawalama model calibration-normal plot | 60 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 5-6:Performance of Thawalama model calibration-log plot..... | 61 |
| Figure 5-7:Performance of Baddegama model calibration-normal plot | 62 |
| Figure 5-8:Performance of Baddegama model calibration-log plot | 63 |
| Figure 5-9:FDC of Thawalama model-both sorted..... | 64 |
| Figure 5-10:FDC of Thawalama model-sort only observed | 64 |
| Figure 5-11:FDC at Baddegama model-both sorted | 65 |
| Figure 5-12:FDC at Baddegama model-sort only observed | 65 |
| Figure 5-13:Annual water balance error at Thawalama..... | 66 |
| Figure 5-14:Annual water balance error at Baddegama | 66 |
| Figure 5-15:Thawalama monthly average simulated and observed SF | 67 |
| Figure 5-16:Thawalama seasonal behavior of observed and simulated SF..... | 68 |
| Figure 5-17:Baddegama monthly average simulated and observed SF | 69 |
| Figure 5-18:Baddegama seasonal behavior of observed and simulated SF..... | 70 |
| Figure 5-19:Performance of Thawalama model validation-normal plot..... | 72 |
| Figure 5-20:Performance of Thawalama model validation-log plot..... | 73 |
| Figure 5-21:Performance of Baddegama model validation-normal plot | 74 |
| Figure 5-22:Performance of Baddegama model validation-log plot..... | 75 |
| Figure 5-23:FDC of Thawalama model-validation-both sorted | 76 |
| Figure 5-24:FDC of Thawalama model-validation-sort only observed..... | 76 |
| Figure 5-25:FDC of Baddegama model-validation-both sorted | 77 |
| Figure 5-26:FDC of Baddegama model-validation-sort only observed..... | 77 |
| Figure 5-27:Thawalama annual water balance error..... | 78 |
| Figure 5-28:Baddegama annual water balance error | 78 |
| Figure 5-29:Thawalama monthly average observed vs simulated SF | 79 |
| Figure 5-30:Thawalama seasonal behavior of observed and simulated SF | 80 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 5-31:Baddegama monthly average observed vs simulated SF | 81 |
| Figure 5-32:Thawalama seasonal behavior of observed and simulated SF | 82 |
| Figure 5-33:SF vs RF at Thawalama from Baddegama transferred parameter [normal plot]-I..... | 84 |
| Figure 5-34:SF vs RF at Thawalama from Baddegama transferred parameter [normal plot]-II | 85 |
| Figure 5-35:SF vs RF at Thawalama from Baddegama transferred parameter [log plot]-I | 86 |
| Figure 5-36:SF vs RF at Thawalama from Baddegama transferred parameter [log plot]-II | 87 |
| Figure 5-37:FDC at Thawalama after parameter transferability-both sorted..... | 88 |
| Figure 5-38:Thawalama after parameter transferability-sort only observed SF | 88 |
| Figure 5-39:Annual water balance at Thawalama after transferability..... | 89 |
| Figure 5-40:SF vs RF at Baddegama from Thawalama transferred parameters [normal plot]-I..... | 91 |
| Figure 5-41:SF vs RF at Baddegama from Thawalama transferred parameters [normal plot]-II | 92 |
| Figure 5-42:SF vs RF at Baddegama from Thawalama transferred parameters [log plot]-I..... | 93 |
| Figure 5-43:SF vs RF at Baddegama from Thawalama transferred parameters [log plot]-II | 94 |
| Figure 5-44:FDC at Baddegama after parameter transferability-both sorted | 95 |
| Figure 5-45:FDC at Baddegama after parameter transferability-Sort only observed | 95 |
| Figure 5-46:Annual water balance at Baddegama after parameter transferability | 96 |
| Figure A 1:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2007/08)..... | 124 |
| Figure A 2:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2008/09)..... | 125 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure A 3:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2009/10)..... | 126 |
| Figure A 4:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2010/11)..... | 127 |
| Figure A 5:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2011/12)..... | 128 |
| Figure A 6:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2012/13) | 129 |
| Figure A 7:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2013/14)..... | 130 |
| Figure A 8:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2014/15)..... | 131 |
| Figure A 9:SF vs RF at each station at Thawalama watershed-(2016/17)..... | 132 |
| Figure A 10:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2007/08) | 133 |
| Figure A 11:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2008/09) | 134 |
| Figure A 12:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2009/10) | 135 |
| Figure A 13:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2010/11) | 136 |
| Figure A 14:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2011/12) | 137 |
| Figure A 15:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2012/13) | 138 |
| Figure A 16:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2013/14) | 139 |
| Figure A 17:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2014/15) | 140 |
| Figure A 18:SF vs RF at each station at Baddegama watershed-(2016/17) | 141 |
| Figure B 1:Double mass curves for rainfall stations..... | 144 |
| Figure B 2:Double mass curves for streamflow and evaporation stations..... | 145 |
| Figure C 1:Comparison of model and manual calculation of rainfall..... | 147 |
| Figure D 1:Flow hydrograph matching for each objective function-I..... | 150 |
| Figure D 2:Flow hydrograph matching for each objective function-II..... | 151 |
| Figure D 3:Flow hydrograph matching indicator for each objective function..... | 151 |
| Figure D 4:FDC matching for each objective function-I..... | 152 |
| Figure D 5:FDC matching for each objective function-II..... | 153 |
| Figure D 6:FDC matching for each objective function-III | 154 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure D 7:FDC matching for high, medium and low flows for each objective function | 154 |
| Figure D 8:Monthly simulated vs observed SF for each objective function-I..... | 155 |
| Figure D 9:Monthly simulated vs observed SF for each objective function-II..... | 156 |
| Figure E 1:Soil moisture level during warm-up period at Thawalama..... | 159 |
| Figure E 2:Soil moisture level during warm-up period at Baddegama..... | 159 |
| Figure E 3:Flow component during warm-up at Thawalama | 160 |
| Figure E 4:Flow component during warm-up at Baddegama | 161 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2-1:Model selection-initial shortlisting evaluation | 12 |
| Table 2-2:Model selection-detailed shortlisting evaluation..... | 13 |
| Table 2-3:Judgments for model classification | 14 |
| Table 4-1:Locations of gauging stations | 29 |
| Table 4-2:Data source and resolution | 29 |
| Table 4-3:Distribution of gauging stations at Thawalama and Baddegama | 30 |
| Table 4-4:Thiessen weights for Thawalama watershed..... | 30 |
| Table 4-5:Thiessen weights for Baddegama watershed..... | 31 |
| Table 4-6:Annual water walance of Thawalama watershed | 32 |
| Table 4-7:Annual water balance of Baddegama watershed..... | 34 |
| Table 4-8:Comparison of monthly average rainfall..... | 45 |
| Table 4-9:Thiessen weights for data filling scenarios | 48 |
| Table 5-1:Weighted CN calculation for Thawalama watershed | 52 |
| Table 5-2:Weighted CN calculation for Baddegama watershed..... | 53 |
| Table 5-3:Selected initial parameters and values for watersheds | 54 |
| Table 5-4:Comparison of model calibration results..... | 59 |
| Table 5-5:Optimized parameters of Thawalama and Baddegama | 59 |
| Table 5-6:Thawalama monthly average mass balance error..... | 67 |
| Table 5-7:Thawalama seasonal error at each water year | 68 |
| Table 5-8:Baddegama monthly average mass balance error..... | 69 |
| Table 5-9:Baddegama seasonal error at each water year | 70 |
| Table 5-10:Comparison of model validation results..... | 71 |
| Table 5-11:Thawalama monthly mass balance error | 79 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 5-12:Thawalama seasonal error at each water year | 80 |
| Table 5-13:Baddegama monthly mass balance error | 81 |
| Table 5-14:Baddegama seasonal error at each water year | 82 |
| Table 5-15:Model performance after calibrated parameter transferring from Baddegama to Thawalama watershed | 83 |
| Table 5-16:Model performance after calibrated parameter transferring from Thawalama to Baddegama watershed | 90 |
| Table B 1:Variation of cumulative values | 143 |
| Table B 2:Variation of cumulative average values | 145 |
| Table D 1:Variation of error values corresponding to different minimum objective function values | 149 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| Abbreviation | Description |
|---------------------|--|
| FDC | Flow Duration Curve |
| MRAE | Mean Ratio of Absolute Error |
| MAR | Mean Annual Rainfall |
| NEM | North East Monsoon |
| NWSDB | National Water Supply and Drainage Board |
| RAEM | Ratio of Absolute Error to Mean Relative Error |
| RF | Rainfall |
| RMSE | Root Mean Square Error |
| SF | Streamflow |
| SMA | Soil Moisture Accounting |
| SWRM | Sustainable Water Resources Management |