# EVALUATION OF A MONTHLY WATER BALANCE MODEL CONSIDERING RAINFALL STATION WEIGHTS AND PHYSICAL PARAMETERS IN NILWALA BASIN SRI LANKA

Mukhtar Ahmad Masoud (189242M)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

September 2019

# EVALUATION OF A MONTHLY WATER BALANCE MODEL CONSIDERING RAINFALL STATION WEIGHTS AND PHYSICAL PARAMETERS IN NILWALA BASIN SRI LANKA

Mukhtar Ahmad Masoud (189242M)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

Supervised by Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM) Department of Civil Engineering

> University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

> > September 2019

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (Such as articles or books).

<b>UOM</b>	Verified	Signature
------------	----------	-----------

2019.09.17

Mukhtar Ahmad Masoud

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

**UOM Verified Signature** 

Professor N.T.S.Wijesekera

2019, 09, 25 Date

Dan

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Prima facea, I am grateful to the God for the good health and wellbeing that were necessary to complete this thesis.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera for the continuous support of my Postgraduate studies and related research, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and a mentor for my Postgraduate studies.

My sincere thanks also goes to Dr.R.L.H Lalith Rajapakse for his support and guidance provided both in terms of academic and logistic welfare during my stay.

I take this opportunity to express gratitude to all of the faculty members of the department of Civil Engineering for their help and support.

I place on record, my sincere thank you to Madanjeet Singh for providing scholarship to pursue a Master's degree in Water Resources Engineering and Management.

I would like to thank Mr. H.W. Kumarasinghe for his kind assistance during my stay in Sri Lanka.

Last but not the least; I would like to thank my family especially from my elder brother Doctor Basir Ahmad Masoud who encouraged and supported me from school days to pursue a Postgraduate degree.

# EVALUATION OF A MONTHLY WATER BALANCE MODEL CONSIDERING RAINFALL STATION WEIGHTS AND PHYSICAL PARAMETERS IN NILWALA BASIN SRI LANKA

### **ABSTRACT**

Water Resources Management is key for economic growth and sustainable development. Monthly Water Balance Models are widely applied for its easy and simple structure characteristics. Many research efforts have been carried out using Two Parameter Monthly Water Balance Model for water resources management in Sri Lanka in which model estimation are influenced by rainfall and the approach for the selection of parameters which can be performed using rainfall station weights optimization; on the contrary, the non-availability of gauged streamflow data in hydrological modelling for optimization remains one of the major challenges where many modelers suggests parameter estimation using physical characteristic of a watershed as solution.

The objective of the study is to evaluate monthly water balance model incorporating optimization of rainfall station weights and physical parameters of the catchment for water resources planning and development. Two parameter model was used for monthly water resource estimation of Nilwala Ganga basin in Sri Lanka. The model was calibrated and verified for Pitabeddara (324km2) watershed using 24 years' monthly rainfall, pan evaporation and streamflow data successfully. Initially, the model parameters values C and Sc estimated with Thiessen method later rainfall stations weights were optimized while keeping the model parameters C and Sc unchanged for calibration and verification. Secondly C and Sc parameters of two parameters monthly water balance model with station weights were optimized simultaneously where parameters were estimated using physical characteristics of the catchment taking into account rainfall, pan evaporation and landuse variables. Rainfall and pan evaporation relationship was utilized for estimation of C and Sc parameter was estimated using correlation of curve number (CN). After Two Parameter Monthly Water Balance Model Applied using Thiessen method on Pitabeddara watershed.

The value for C and Sc were 1.5 and 1700 respectively with average MRAE of 0.22 and 0.31 during calibration and verification periods. Rainfall station weights optimization only resulted in values of 1.3 and 1600 for C and Sc parameters respectively with average MRAE of 0.22 during calibration and 0.27 during verification, stations weights of (0.47, 0.31, 0.07, 0.12, 0.03) for Deniyaya, Dampahala, Anningkanda, Goluwawatta, Kirama stations respectively. Obtained C and Sc values of 1.41 and 1550 while station weights are parameters are optimized simultaneously with average MRAE of 0.19 and 0.25 for calibration and verification respectively, stations weights of (0.12, 0.22, 0.32, 0.22,0.12) for mentioned stations respectively. Also, value of C and Sc parameters were 1.40 and 1500 were retrieved by accounting physical characteristics of catchment and MRAE of 0.23 and 0.28 for calibration and verification. The station weights optimization improved the MRAE results of model by (10%) which is significant with indication of better MRAE than conventional rainfall averaging method. Estimation using physical characteristics of model resulted in (5%) superior results than empirical approach.

This research effort concludes that rainfall station weights optimization method results are superior then Thiessen Method and parameters estimation using physical characteristics of the catchment can be useful for ungauged catchments and it can provide acceptable results.

**Keywords:** Ungauged streamflow estimation, Physical catchment characteristics, Spatial Variability of Rainfall, Water balance modelling,

## **Contents**

$\overline{}$	ECI A	руд	TION	
			TION	
			EDGEMENTS	
			BLES	
			BREVIATIONS	
L.			DUCTION	
1	1.1		neral	
	1.2		blem identification	
	1.3		dy Objectives	
	1.3.		Overall Objective	
			·	
	1.3.	2	Specific Objective	4
	1.4	Stu	dy Area Selection	4
2	LIT		ATURE REVIEW	
	2.1		neral	
	2.2		rent state of water balance model	
	2.3		nthly water balance models comparison	
	2.4		o parameter water balance model	
	2.5		del components	
	2.5.	1	Rainfall	11
	2.5.	2	Actual Monthly evapotranspiration	12
	2.5.	3	Streamflow	13
	2.5.	1	Soil water content	13
	2.6		del Calibration and Verification	
	2.6.	1	Objective Functions	14
	2.6.	2	Parameter Optimization	19
	2.6.	3	Warm up period	20
	2.7	Rai	nfall Spatial Variability	21
	2.7.	1	Methods of areal averaging rainfall	21

	2.7.	2 Importance of rainfall spatial variability	. 22
3	Met	hodology:	. 24
4	Data	a collection	. 25
	4.1	Rainfall and Streamflow	. 26
	4.2	Data Checking	. 26
	4.3	Filling the missing data	. 26
	4.4	Thiessen Rainfall	. 28
	4.5	Double Mass Curve	. 43
	4.6	Annual Data Comparison	. 45
	4.6.	1 Annual monthly rainfall comparison	. 45
	4.6.	2 Annual Water Balance	. 45
	4.7	Identification of Missing Data	. 49
5	AN.	ALYSIS AND RESULTS	. 50
	5.1	Introduction	. 50
	5.2	Model Development	.51
	5.3	Warm up period	. 52
	5.4	Model Calibration and Model Verification (Thiessen Rainfall)	. 52
	5.5	Selection of Objective function	. 53
	5.6	parameter optimization	. 53
	5.6.	1 Determination of Global Minimum	. 54
	5.7	Model Calibration and Model Verification (Optimized Rainfall)	. 65
	5.8 weigh	Model Calibration and Model Verification with two parameters and static ts and optimization	
	5.9	Parameters estimation from physical characteristics of the catchment	. 80
6	DIS	CUSSION	. 93
	6.1	Model selection	. 93
	6.2	Data collection and checking	.93
	6.3	Rainfall spatial variability	. 94
	6.4	Model Development	.96
	6.4.	1 Flow duration curve for High Medium and Low flows	. 96
	6.4.	2 Initial soil water content	.96

6.4	.3 Objective functions selection	96
6.4	.4 Calibration and verification	96
6.5	Overall comparison of models performance	97
	ONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	
7.1	Conclusions	98
7.2	Recommendations	99
REFER	ENCES	100
ANNE	X A – DATA checking	105

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Pitabeddara watershed	6
Figure 3-1:Methodology flow chart	. 24
Figure 4-1:Thiessen polygon Pitabeddara watershed	. 29
Figure 4-2:Land use Map of Pitabeddara watershed	. 31
Figure 4-3:Soil Map Pitabeddara watershed	. 32
Figure 4-4:Dampahala Streamflow response to rainfall from(1993-2005	. 33
Figure 4-5:Dampahala Streamflow response to rainfall from (2005-2017)	. 34
Figure 4-6:Anningkanda Streamflow response to rainfall from (1993-2005)	. 35
Figure 4-7: Anningkanda Streamflow response to rainfall from (2005-2017)	. 36
Figure 4-8:Goluwawtta streamflow response rainfall from (1993-2005)	. 37
Figure 4-9:Goluwawatta Streamflow response to rainfall (2005-2017	. 38
Figure 4-10:Deniyaya Streamflow response to rainfall from (1993-2005)	. 39
Figure 4-11:Deniyaya Streamflow response to rainfall from (2005-2017)	. 40
Figure 4-12:Kirama Streamflow response to rainfall from (1993-2005)	. 41
Figure 4-13:Kirama Streamflow response to rainfall from (2005-2017)	. 42
Figure 4-14:Double Mass Curve for Rainfall Data of Pittabeddara	. 43
Figure 4-15::Monthly Flow Duration Curve	. 44
Figure 4-16:Annual Rainfall Pattern	. 45
Figure 4-17:Annual water balance	. 47
Figure 4-18:Runoff coefficient	. 47
Figure 4-19:Stream flow response vs rainfall	. 48
Figure 4-20:Annual water balance difference	. 48
Figure 5-1:Model Warm-up Period for Initial Soil Water Content	. 52
Figure 5-2:Coarser Resolution Surface for Pitabeddara	. 54
Figure 5-3:Hydrographs from Model calibration -Thiessen rainfall (1993-2005)	. 56
Figure 5-4:Hydrographs from model verification -Thiessen rainfall (2005-2017)	. 57
Figure 5-5:Hydrographs from model calibration (Thiessen rainfall) on both norma	ıl
and log scale	. 58
Figure 5-6:Hydrographs from model verification (Thiessen rainfall) on both norma	al
and log scale	
Figure 5-7:Annual Water Balance comparison calibration (Thiessen rainfall)	. 60
Figure 5-8: Annual Water Balance comparison verification (Thiessen rainfall)	. 61
Figure 5-9: Water Balance for Calibration period (Thiessen rainfall)	. 62
Figure 5-10: Water Balance for Verification period (Thiessen rainfall)	. 63
Figure 5-11:Flow duration curve – calibration period (Thiessen rainfall)	. 64
Figure 5-12:Flow duration curve – Verification period (Thiessen rainfall)	. 64
Figure 5-13:Optimized station weights	
Figure 5-14:Hydrographs from model calibration using Optimized rainfall on both	l
normal and log scale	. 67
Figure 5-15: Hydrographs from model Verification using Optimized rainfall on bo	
normal and log scale	. 68
Figure 5-16: Annual Water Balance Comparison Calibration (Optimized Rainfall).	69

Figure 5-17: Annual Water Balance Comparison Verification (Optimized Rainfall) 70
Figure 5-18:Annual Water Balance Comparison Calibration (Optimized Rainfall) .71
Figure 5-19:Annual Water Balance Comparison Verification (Optimized Rainfall) 72
Figure 5-20:Flow duration curve – Calibration period (Optimized rainfall)
Figure 5-21:Flow duration curve – Verification period (Optimized rainfall)73
Figure 5-22:Annual Water Balance Comparison calibration two parameter- station
weights
Figure 5-23:Annual Water Balance Comparison two parameter verification two
parameter - station weights
Figure 5-24:Flow duration curve – Calibration period two parameters and station
weights
Figure 5-25:Flow duration curve – Verification period two parameters and station
weights
Figure 5-26:Annual Water Balance Comparison Calibration (Physical Parameters) 84
Figure 5-27:Annual Water Balance Comparison Verification (Physical Parameters)
85
Figure 5-28:Flow duration curve – Calibration period (Physical Parameters) 85
Figure 5-29:Flow duration curve – Verification period (Physical Parameters) 86
Figure 5-30:Hydrographs from model calibration using physical parameter on both
normal and log scale
Figure 5-31: Annual Water Balance Comparison Calibration (Physical Parameters) 88
Figure 5-32:Hydrographs from Model Verification using Physical Parameter on both
normal and log scale
Figure 5-33:Annual Water Balance Comparison Verification (Physical Parameters)
90
Figure 6-1:Thiessen and Optimized Rainfall Comparison95
Figure 9-4: Variation of Maximum, Mean and average monthly rainfall, streamflow
& evaporation

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 4-1:Data source and Data Resolution of Nilwala ganga at Pitabeddara	25
Table 4-2:Rain Gauging Station Details of Nilwala ganga at Pitabeddara	26
Table 4-3: Distribution of Gauging Stations in Pittabeddara at Nilwala gaga	26
Table 4-4:Missing value for each month	28
Table 4-5:Each station Thiessen polygon area and Thiessen weight	28
Table 4-6:Annul water balance for Pittabeddara watershed	46
Table 5-1:Comparison of Model Performance Calibration (Thiessen Rainfall)	55
Table 5-2:Comparison of Model Performance Calibration (Thiessen Rainfall)	55
Table 5-3: Water Balance Estimation Calibration Period (Thiessen rainfall)	62
Table 5-4: Water Balance Estimation Verification Period (Thiessen rainfall)	63
Table 5-5:Comparison of Model Performance Calibration (Optimized Rainfall)	66
Table 5-6:Comparison of Model Performance Verification (Optimized Rainfall)	66
Table 5-7: Water Balance Estimation Calibration Period (Optimized Rainfall)	71
Table 5-8: Water Balance Estimation Verification Period (Optimized Rainfall)	72
Table 5-9:Two parameters and station weights optimization	74
Table 5-10:Comparison of Model Performance Calibration with two parameter and s	tation
weights	75
Table 5-11:Comparison of Model Performance Verification with two parameter and	
weights	75
Table 5-12:Hydrographs from Model calibration –two parameter & station weights	
optimization (1993-2005)	
Table 5-13: Water Balance Estimation Calibration Period two parameters- station we	ights.77
Table 5-14: Water Balance Estimation Verification Period two parameters- station we	eights 78
Table 5-15: Comparison of Model Performance Calibration (Physical based parameter	rs) 82
Table 5-16: Comparison of Model Performance Verification (Physical based paramet	ers) 83
Table 5-17: Water Balance Estimation Calibration Period (Physical Parameters)	83
Table 5-18:Water Balance Estimation Verification Period (Physical Parameters)	
Table 5-19:Mean Rainfall computation for parameter C	91
Table 5-20:Mean Evaporation computation for parameter	
Table 6-1: Rainfall Average by Thiessen and Optimized station weights	
Table 6-2:Overall Summary sheet of all models results	97
Table A-1:Thiessen Average Rainfall Data	106
Table A-2:Evaporation Data	107
Table A-3:Streamflow Data	108

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

c Parameter c

C Runoff Coefficient

DSD Divisional Secretary Divisions

E Nash–Sutcliffe coefficient

E (t) Actual Evapotranspiration

EP (t) Pan Evaporation

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

K Pan Coefficient

MAR Mean Annual Rainfall

MRAE Mean Ratio of Absolute Error

MSE Mean Square Error

NEM North East Monsoon

 $\begin{array}{ll} P\left(t\right) & & Rainfall \\ Q\left(t\right) & & Runoff \end{array}$ 

RAEM Ratio of Absolute Error to Mean

RE Relative Error

RMSE Root Mean Square Error
S (t) Soil Moisture Content

SC Field capacity of the catchment

SWM South West Monsoon

TPMWBM Two Parameter Monthly Water Balance Model

WMO World Meteorological Organization