

**IDENTIFICATION OF SUITABLE LOCATIONS FOR  
RUN-OF-THE-RIVER HYDROPOWER GENERATION  
USING GIS AND ABCD MODEL IN UPPER KELANI  
RIVER BASIN IN SRI LANKA**

Bharat Pandit

(189245B)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

September 2019

**IDENTIFICATION OF SUITABLE LOCATIONS FOR  
RUN-OF-THE-RIVER HYDROPOWER GENERATION  
USING GIS AND ABCD MODEL IN UPPER KELANI  
RIVER BASIN IN SRI LANKA**

Bharat Pandit

(189245B)

Supervised by

Dr. R. L. H. L. Rajapakse

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for  
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

September 2019

**DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment of any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa for the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or another medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (Such as articles or books).

***UOM Verified Signature***

.....


Bharat Pandit

2019.09.23  
.....

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

***UOM Verified Signature***

  
Dr. R. L. H. L. Rajapakse

2019.09.23  
.....

Date

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Dr. R.L.H.L. Rajapakse for the continuous supervision extended for this research, for his expertise, guidance, positivity, and motivation. Without his dedicated supervision and continuous guidance, this thesis would not have been a success. I am really thankful to him for spending his valuable time in his amidst busy schedule with me towards completing this research. He was always there to guide me, throughout this period.

I wish to convey my sincere gratitude to Senior Prof. N. T. S. Wijesekera, overall program coordinator for providing me immense knowledge for extending all necessary help to achieve success in this program. His kindness to provide me with all the guidance, help and support amidst his busy schedule and sincere and consistent encouragement are greatly appreciated.

I would also like to thank Late Shri Madanjeet Singh, the Founder of SAF-Madanjeet Singh Scholarship Scheme, the South Asia Foundation (SAF) and the University of Moratuwa for enabling me to join this study towards a Master Degree of Water Resource Engineering and Management, at UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM), Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. My heartfelt gratitude is also extended to Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe, he was always there in my hard time to motivate me and care for me. Mr. Wajira has sacrificed his family time for me. I am in debt for his support and care for me during my stay in Sri Lanka. Also, my thanks are extended to Mr. Waruna, Mr. Ranaweera, Ms. Vinu, Ms. Janani and all Centre staff who gave me support to complete the studies successfully within the university and their encouragement are greatly appreciated.

Finally, I would like to express my hearty gratitude to my parents, and especially my mother, you are the one who can understand me. Also, I am grateful to Soni, Gajendra and my sister Radhika, I know without your motivation and love, it would not have been a success.

## ABSTRACT

### **Identification of Suitable Locations for Run-of-the-River Hydropower Generation using GIS and ABCD Model in Upper Kelani River Basin in Sri Lanka**

The recent crisis in the energy sector has raised the need of exploration of additional renewable energy sources. Run-of-the-River (RoR) hydropower systems that harvest the energy from flowing water to generate electricity in the absence of a large dam and reservoir required in conventional impoundment hydroelectric facilities are gaining interest due to their minimum impact to the environment. Identifying suitable locations with significant potential of RoR hydropower capacity by using conventional methods is hindered in remote hilly inaccessible areas. The GIS tools and ABCD hydrologic model are used in the present study to remotely define and identify the feasible geographical features and estimate streamflow generation which governs the available hydropower capacity of potential sites in the project area.

The Upper Kelani Basin was selected as the overall project study area and two uppermost sub-catchments, namely Norwood and Holombuwa, were selected to optimize the ABCD model parameters for simulating streamflows with the selected rain gauge stations in each watershed. The ABCD daily hydrological model was calibrated using 5 years of data from 2008~2013 and validated based on four years of data from 2013~2017. The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 90 m and 30 m Digital Elevation Model (DEM) terrain data was used in catchment delineation and available hydraulic head calculation along the river channel. The ABCD model parameters identified based on the two sub-catchments were progressively transferred to the downstream sub-catchments at locations where the feasible heads were available to establish potential RoR hydropower stations.

The identified  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $d$  hydrologic parameters for Norwood and Holombuwa sub-catchments were (0.963, 398, 0.465 and 0.00001) and (0.995, 300, 0.542 and 0.0001), respectively. The Pearson's correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) and coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) were used as objective functions and the study found the values of ((0.825, 0.68), (0.59,0.35)) and ((0.87,0.75), (0.61,0.37)) for both calibration and validation model runs, respectively. The algorithm developed with Visual Basic for Application (VBA) Programming using extracted head from GIS tools in ArcGIS (v 10.3) platform to detect feasible sites based on river gradient coupled with flow estimates from the ABCD hydrologic model was found to be capable of remotely identifying potential locations for RoR hydropower generation. The study successfully established 36 suitable locations for RoR hydropower in the selected sub-basins.

The study shows that the proposed approach has vast advantages over the slow, cumbersome, uneconomical, conventional survey-based methods used for identification of potential RoR sites and further studies are recommended to recognize the sensitivity to terrain variations and incorporate alternatives for overall system optimization.

**Keywords:** Automated algorithm, Hydrological modelling, Model sensitivity and optimization

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES .....	ix
LIST OF TABLES .....	xvi
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 General .....	1
1.2 Background .....	1
1.3 Status of Hydropower in Sri Lanka.....	2
1.4 Selected River Basin .....	3
1.5 Importance of GIS and Hydrological Models in Hydropower development .....	4
1.6 Problem Statement .....	4
1.7 Overall Objective and Specific Objectives .....	4
1.7.1 Overall Objective .....	4
1.7.2 Specific Objectives.....	4
1.8 Scope and Limitation .....	5
1.9 Thesis Outline .....	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	7
2.1 General .....	7
2.2 Hydropower Systems .....	7
2.2.1 General .....	7
2.2.2 Run-of-the-River Hydropower.....	10
2.3 Application of GIS Tools on Hydropower Assessment.....	11
2.4 Digital Elevation Model (DEM) Selection.....	22

2.4.1	Introduction.....	22
2.4.2	SRTM vs ASTER Digital Elevation Models .....	23
2.5	Hydrological Models.....	24
2.5.1	Highlights of the Hydrological Model .....	24
2.5.2	Lumped Hydrological Modeling.....	25
2.5.3	Hydrologic Model Selection .....	25
2.6	Lumped Hydrological Four Parameter ABCD Model .....	26
2.6.1	Introduction.....	26
2.6.2	The ABCD Model Structure .....	27
2.6.3	The Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) for the Model .....	30
2.6.4	Hargreaves Methods to Calculate Potential Evapotranspiration.....	30
2.6.5	The Application ABCD Model.....	31
2.6.6	The ABCD Model Parameters from Literature.....	32
2.7	Data Period for the Hydrologic Modeling.....	33
2.8	Application of Hydrological Models in Kelani River Basin.....	35
2.9	Parameter Optimization.....	36
2.9.1	Objectives of using Hydrological Models.....	36
2.9.2	Objective Functions .....	36
2.9.3	Criteria for Selection for the Objective Functions .....	41
2.10	Warm-up Period and Initial Values for Soil Moisture and Groundwater Storage of the Model .....	41
2.11	Rainfall Interpolation Method.....	42
2.12	Review of Selected Criteria for Run-of-the-River Hydropower Generation ...	43
3	METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS.....	46
3.1	Introduction to Methodology .....	46

3.1.1	Methods to Identification of research Problem and Objectives .....	46
3.1.2	Methods and Consideration to the Literature Survey .....	46
3.1.3	Methods to Data Collection Correction Separation for Modeling .....	47
3.1.4	Methodology used for the GIS Operations .....	48
3.1.5	Methodology used on the Visual Basic for Application (VBA) Program .....	49
3.1.6	Methods to Combine Application of GIS and Hydrological Model .....	50
3.2	Methodology Flow Chart .....	52
3.3	Study Area .....	53
3.3.1	Norwood Sub-catchment .....	55
3.3.2	Holombuwa Sub-catchment .....	56
3.3.3	Upper Kelani Sub-catchment (Model Application) .....	57
3.4	Data Collection .....	57
3.4.1	Data Collection for the Norwood Sub-catchment .....	57
3.4.2	Data Collection for the Holombuwa Sub-catchment .....	58
3.4.3	Data Collection for the Upper Kelani Sub-catchment (Model Application) .....	59
3.5	Data Checking .....	60
3.5.1	General .....	60
3.5.2	Thiessen Average Rainfall .....	63
3.5.3	Visual Data Checking .....	66
3.5.4	Co-relationship between Rainfall and Streamflow .....	70
3.5.5	Single Mass Curve Analysis .....	72
3.5.6	Double Mass Curve Analysis .....	75
3.5.7	Annual Water Balance Analysis .....	76
3.5.8	Flow Duration Curve .....	80
4	RESULT AND ANALYSIS .....	82



4.1 Hydrological Model .....	82
4.1.1 Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) Calculation.....	82
4.1.2 Warm-up Period, Initial Soil Moisture Content and Initial Groundwater Storage.....	82
4.1.3 Calibration and Validation of the ABCD Four-parameter Hydrological Model.....	85
4.1.4 Relationship between Observed and Simulated Streamflow .....	93
4.1.5 Flow Duration Curve Analysis for separation of Low, Medium and High Flow .....	95
4.1.6 Flow Duration Curve Analysis for Norwood and Holombuwa Sub- catchments with Simulated flow.....	100
4.1.7 Annual Water Balance .....	106
4.1.8 Model Parameter Sensitivity Analysis .....	109
4.2 GIS Analysis .....	112
4.2.1 Introduction to Results from Overall GIS Analysis.....	112
4.2.2 Digital Elevation Model Interpretation and Comparison with Agrarian Basin Map.....	113
4.2.3 Stream Network Generation and Watershed Delineation .....	114
4.2.4 Head Extraction in River Bed Profile .....	117
4.2.5 Suitable Locations for the Hydropower Analysis (Gross Head Criteria) .....	118
4.3 Suitable Locations of Hydropower Sites.....	121
5 DISCUSSION.....	125
5.1 Hydrological Model .....	125
5.1.1 Hydrological Model Selection .....	125
5.1.2 Model Inputs .....	126
5.1.3 Model Performance.....	132
5.1.4 Model Parameter and Behavior.....	134

5.1.5	Parameter Sensitivity .....	137
5.1.6	Selection of Catchments.....	138
5.2	GIS Tools .....	138
5.2.1	Stream Network Generation and Watershed Delineation .....	138
5.2.2	Head Optimization Problem.....	138
5.3	Visual Basic for Application (VBA) Program Analysis .....	139
5.4	Discussion on Combined Selected Criteria .....	140
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	141
6.1	Conclusions .....	141
6.2	Recommendations .....	142
	REFERENCES.....	143
	APPENDIX A: Visual Data Checking at Norwood Sub-catchment.....	156
	APPENDIX B: Visual Data Checking at Holombuwa Subcatchment.....	159
	APPENDIX C: Yearly Hydrograph of Calibration and Validation Period for Norwood Sub-catchment.....	162
	APPENDIX D: Yearly Hydrograph of Calibration and Validation Period on Holombuwa Sub-catchment.....	165

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. The Layout of the typical small hydropower plant (Rojanamon, Chaisomphob, & Bureekul, 2009).....	9
Figure 2-2 The ABCD model structure.....	28
Figure 3-1 The tools and process for the watershed delineation.....	49
Figure 3-2 The searching algorithm for selecting a suitable location of the Run-of-the-River hydropower head in the river profile.....	50
Figure 3-3 Methodology flow chart .....	52
Figure 3-4 The map of the Kelani river basin .....	54
Figure 3-5 The study area Norwood sub-catchment, in Upper Kelani basin.....	55
Figure 3-6 The Study area Holombuwa sub-catchment, in Upper Kelani basin .....	56
Figure 3-7 Thiessen polygons for the Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin.....	64
Figure 3-8 Thiessen polygon for the Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	65
Figure 3-9 Thiessen polygon for the Upper Kelani sub-catchment in Kelani basin.....	66
Figure 3-10 The rainfall versus streamflow comparison for the year of 2009 at the Norwood sub-catchment .....	68
Figure 3-11 The rainfall versus streamflow comparison for the year of 2010 at the Norwood sub-catchment .....	68
Figure 3-12 The annual comparison of the annual rainfall and streamflow at the Norwood sub-catchment.....	69
Figure 3-13 The annual comparison of the annual rainfall and streamflow at Holombuwa sub-catchment.....	69

Figure 3-14 The annual rainfall of all the stations in the Upper Kelani river basin used in the study .....	70
Figure 3-15 The co-relationship between daily rainfall and streamflow records at the Norwood sub-catchment .....	71
Figure 3-16 The co-relationship between daily rainfall and streamflow records at the Holombuwa sub-catchment.....	71
Figure 3-17 Single mass curve analysis of the rainfall data in Upper Kelani basin .....	72
Figure 3-18 The single mass curve on Thiessen Average rainfall for both modelled catchments (Norwood and Holombuwa).....	73
Figure 3-19 The single mass curve analysis of the evaporation for the Ratnapura Station .....	74
Figure 3-20 The single mass curve analysis for maximum and minimum temperature for the Nuwara-Eliya station.....	74
Figure 3-21 The double mass curve analysis of all the rainfall station at the study area in Upper Kelani basin.....	75
Figure 3-22 The Double mass curve analysis for the precipitation data in the modelled sub-catchments in Norwood and Holombuwa .....	76
Figure 3-23 Annual water balance at the Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	77
Figure 3-24 Annual water balance at Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	78
Figure 3-25 The relationship of the annual water balance and annual runoff coefficient in Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	78
Figure 3-26 The relationship of the annual water balance and annual runoff coefficient in Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin.....	79

Figure 3-27 The flow duration curve for the total data period (2008/2009-2016/2017) at the Norwood sub-catchment..... 81

Figure 3-28 The flow duration curve for the total data period (2008/2009-2016/2017) at the Holombuwa sub-catchment..... 81

Figure 4-1 Warm-up period and corresponding soil moisture storage at the Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin ..... 83

Figure 4-2 Warm-up period and corresponding soil moisture storage at the Holombuwa Sub- catchment in Upper Kelani basin..... 84

Figure 4-3 Warm-up period and corresponding groundwater storage at the Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin ..... 84

Figure 4-4 Warmup period and corresponding groundwater storage in the Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin ..... 85

Figure 4-5 The model initial run for the calibration period on the Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin..... 87

Figure 4-6 The model initial run for the calibration period on the Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin ..... 87

Figure 4-7 The calibration results on normal and log plots of the Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin..... 89

Figure 4-8 Validation results on normal and log plots of the Norwoodsub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin..... 90

Figure 4-9 The calibration results on normal and log plots of the Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin ..... 91

Figure 4-10 Validation results on normal and log plots in the Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin..... 92

Figure 4-11 The observed and simulated streamflow relationship for calibration in Norwood sub-catchment ..... 93

Figure 4-12 The observed and simulated streamflow relationship for validation in Norwood Sub-catchment.....	93
Figure 4-13 The observed and simulated streamflow relationship for calibration in Holombuwa sub-catchment.....	94
Figure 4-14 The observed and simulated streamflow relationship for validation in Holombuwa Sub-catchment.....	94
Figure 4-15 The normal plot of the flow duration curve of the Norwood sub-catchment for calibration data .....	96
Figure 4-16 The logarithmic plot of flow duration curve of the Norwood sub-catchment for calibration data .....	96
Figure 4-17 The normal plot of the flow duration curve of the Norwood sub-catchment for the validation period .....	97
Figure 4-18 The Logarithmic plot of flow duration curve of the Norwood sub-catchment for the validation period .....	97
Figure 4-19 The normal plot of the flow duration curve of the Holombuwa sub-catchment for the calibration period .....	98
Figure 4-20 The logarithmic plot of the flow duration curve of the Holombuwa sub-catchment for the calibration period.....	98
Figure 4-21 The normal plot of the flow duration curve of the Holombuwa sub-catchment for the validation period .....	99
Figure 4-22 The logarithmic plot of the flow duration curve of the Holombuwa sub-catchment for the validation period.....	99
Figure 4-23 The Normal plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the calibration period in Norwood sub-catchment.....	101
Figure 4-24 The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the calibration period in Norwood sub-catchment.....	101

Figure 4-25 The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the calibration period in Norwood sub-catchment ..... 102

Figure 4-26 The Normal plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the validation period in Norwood sub-catchment ..... 102

Figure 4-27 The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the validation period in Norwood sub-catchment ..... 103

Figure 4-28 The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the validation period in Norwood sub-catchment ..... 103

Figure 4-29 The Normal plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the calibration period at Holombuwa sub-catchment..... 104

Figure 4-30The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the calibration period at Holombuwa sub-catchment..... 104

Figure 4-31 The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the calibration period at Holombuwa sub-catchment..... 105

Figure 4-32 The Normal plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the validation period at Holombuwa sub-catchment..... 105

Figure 4-33 The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the validation period at Holombuwa sub-catchment..... 106

Figure 4-34 The logarithmic plot of observed and simulated flow duration curve for the validation period at Holombuwa sub-catchment..... 106

Figure 4-35 Annual water balance in Norwood sub-catchment for the calibration period ..... 107

Figure 4-36 Annual water balance in Norwood sub-catchment for the validation period ..... 108

Figure 4-37 The annual water balance in Holombuwa sub-catchment for the calibration period..... 108

Figure 4-38 The annual water balance in Holombuwa sub-catchment for the validation period.....	109
Figure 4-39 Sensitivity of the parameter $a$ and $b$ in Norwood sub-catchment .....	110
Figure 4-40 Sensitivity of the parameter $c$ and $d$ in Norwood sub-catchment.....	111
Figure 4-41 Sensitivity analysis of parameter $a$ at Holombuwa sub-catchment.....	111
Figure 4-42 Sensitivity analysis of the parameter $b$ , $c$ , and $d$ in Holombuwa sub-catchment .....	112
Figure 4-43 The map generated from using the SRTM 30 m resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM).....	113
Figure 4-44 The map generated from using the SRTM 90 m resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM).....	114
Figure 4-45 The SRTM 30 m DEM for the Kelani river basin.....	115
Figure 4-46 Flow direction map (before filling) of the Kelani river basin .....	115
Figure 4-47 The sink map of the Kelani river basin .....	116
Figure 4-48 The flow direction map for the filled DEM .....	116
Figure 4-49 The flow accumulation map of the Kelani basin.....	117
Figure 4-50 The river profile from the SRTM 30 m resolution DEM.....	118
Figure 4-51 The river profile from the SRTM 90 m resolution DEM.....	118
Figure 4-52 The possible hydropower intake locations in the Upper Kelani basin .....	119
Figure 4-53 The total hydropower locations and intake stations identified in the Upper Kelani river basin .....	120
Figure 4-54 Flow duration curve for the identified points from Intake-1 to Intake-10	121



Figure 4-55 Flow duration curves for the identified point from Intake-11 to Intake-20 .....	122
Figure 4-56 Flow duration curves for the identified point from Intake-21 to Intake-30 .....	122
Figure 4-57 Flow duration curves for the identified points from Intake-31 to Intake-46 .....	123
Figure 5-1 The long-term isohyetal rainfall for the Kelani river basin.....	127
Figure 5-2 Thiessen average seasonal rainfall in the Norwood sub-catchment.....	129
Figure 5-3 Thiessen average seasonal rainfall in the Holombuwa sub-catchment .....	129
Figure 5-4 The daily minimum and maximum temperature data from the period of Oct- 2008 to Sep-2017 .....	131
Figure 5-5 The seasonal streamflow data of the Norwood sub-catchment.....	131
Figure 5-6 The seasonal streamflow data of the Holombuwa sub-catchment .....	132
Figure 5-7 The relationship between observed and simulated streamflow for the period of the calibration and validation in Norwood sub-catchment.....	133
Figure 5-8 The relationship between observed and simulated streamflow for the period of the calibration and validation in Holombuwa sub-catchment.....	134

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 The literature summary on the GIS tools on hydropower survey.....	19
Table 2-2 The ABCD model parameter from literature.....	32
Table 3-1 Coordinates of meteorological data (Rainfall stations) .....	54
Table 3-2 Coordinates of the meteorological stations (Streamflow, Temperature, and Evaporation) .....	55
Table 3-3 Data source and availability for the Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin.....	58
Table 3-4 Data source and availability for the Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin.....	59
Table 3-5 Data source and availability for the Upper Kelani sub-catchment in Kelani basin .....	60
Table 3-6 The missing data details at the Norwood sub-catchment Upper Kelani basin	61
Table 3-7 The missing data details at the Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin.....	61
Table 3-8 The Missing data details at the Upper Kelani basin (Model purpose) in Kelani basin.....	62
Table 3-9 The distribution of the gauging station at Norwood sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	63
Table 3-10 The distribution of gauging stations at Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	63
Table 3-11 The distribution of gauging stations at Upper Kelani sub-catchment in Kelani basin (Model application).....	63
Table 3-12 The Thiessen polygon area and weight for Norwood sub catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	64
Table 3-13 The Thiessen polygon area and weight for Holombuwa sub-catchment in Upper Kelani basin .....	65
Table 3-14 The Thiessen polygon area and weight for the Upper Kelani basin (Model application) in Kelani basin.....	66

Table 3-15 The annual water balance study in Norwood sub-catchments in Upper Kelani basin.....	79
Table 3-16 The annual water balance study in Holombuwa sub-catchments in Upper Kelani basin .....	80
Table 4-1 The initial values of the model parameter used in the first set of the model runs in both sub-catchments .....	86
Table 4-2 The objective function at the initial run in the model for both sub-catchments in Upper Kelani basin .....	86
Table 4-3 Model parameter obtained in the optimization process .....	88
Table 4-4 The low, medium and high flow variation in both sub-catchments .....	100
Table 4-5 The hydropower location from the final results of the analysis .....	124
Table 5-1 The Model parameter and its behavior for the sub-catchments.....	136