FACTORS AFFECTING ONLINE PRINTING ADOPTION BY THE SRI LANKAN PRINTING INDUSTRY

W.G. Charitha Weerasinghe

(139071P)

Master of Business Administration in Information Technology

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

December 2016

FACTORS AFFECTING ONLINE PRINTING ADOPTION BY THE SRI LANKAN PRINTING INDUSTRY

W.G. Charitha Weerasinghe

(139071P)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master of Business Administration in Information Technology

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

December 2016

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

In addition, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

W.G. Charitha Weerasinghe

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

Dr. H.M.N. Dilum Bandara

(Research Supervisor)

.....

Date

Abstract

The printing technologies revolutionized over the time to bring much quality and durable output to its customers. It started with offset and screen-printing and then the technology moved into digital form creating the digitally created print outputs. With the advent of Internet, most print shop owners tend to go online and make their sales in the digital space. They identified that moving into the online market will reduce most of the crowded hazels in their physical store, as well as they can reached to new customers without any boundaries or landmarks.

In this study, we tried to identify what are the drivers that contributes to the, consumers' adoption of online version of the physical print industry. In addition, the drawbacks and barriers that need to be address and overcome to successfully move a print business to the digital space are also analyzed. We used the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to identify the consumers' attitudes towards online printing services and behavioural intension to use the online printing services.

Through the study, we found that consumer characteristics, print product characteristics, website characteristics, and environmental characteristics have a significant impact in the customer decision to select online printing services compared to in-store printing services. Among consumer characteristics, gender, age, and monthly income level perform a major role in moving towards the online printing. Product characteristics such as product type and product price and channel characteristics such as user-friendly website designs and its customer service features get more attention from its potential users. We believe print vendors who are planning to move into the online printing can use these findings as guidelines to decide how to use, when to use, and what ways to use these new technologies with their traditional work process.

Keywords: online printing, web store, web-to-print, web-2-print, e-commerce

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my deepest appreciation to all those who have supported me in so many ways.

First and foremost, I wish to express my sincere thanks to my research supervisor Dr.Dilum Bandara, Senior Lecturer of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa. I am extremely grateful and indebted to him for the continuous sincere and invaluable support, expert guidance, encouragement and attention extended to me throughout the course of this project.

Further, I wish to convey my sincere thanks to all the staff members of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for the resources provided to me in bringing this study a success.

In addition, I would like to thank all the participants, who spent their valuable time to take part in the survey questionnaire.

Finally, I wish to convey my earnest thanks to all those who helped in numerous ways to making this study a success, whose names have not been mentioned above.

Table of Contents

| Declaration | i |
|---|-----|
| Abstract | ii |
| Acknowledgments | iii |
| Table of Content | iv |
| List of Figures | vii |
| List of Tables | ix |
| List of Abbreviations | xi |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background and Motivation | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 Research Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 Importance and Benefits of Research | 4 |
| 1.5 Outline | 7 |
| 2 LITERATURE SURVEY | 8 |
| 2.1 Models on Introducing New Information Systems | |
| 2.1.1 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) | |
| 2.1.2 The Evolution of the TAM | 11 |
| 2.1.2.1 Extended Technology Acceptance Model (TAM2) | 11 |
| 2.1.2.2 Extended Technology Acceptance Model (TAM3) | 13 |
| 2.1.3 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) | |
| Model | 15 |
| 2.1.4 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology 2 (UTAUT2) | |
| Model | 16 |
| 2.1.5 Task-Technology Fit (TTF) Model | 17 |

| 2.2 Factors Affecting Customers Embracing New Technologies | . 21 |
|---|------|
| 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 34 |
| 3.1 Theoretical Framework | 34 |
| 3.1.1 Independent Variables | 36 |
| 3.2 Hypothesis Development | 38 |
| 3.3 Dimensions of Variables and Taking the Measurements | 40 |
| 3.3.1 Measurement Device | 40 |
| 3.4 Population and Sampling | 43 |
| 3.4.1 Identification of Population and Characteristics | 44 |
| 3.4.2 Identification of Sample Size | 47 |
| 3.5 Method Adopted | 47 |
| 3.6 Summary | 48 |
| | |
| 4 DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS | 49 |
| 4.1 Reliability Analysis | 49 |
| 4.2 Validating the Questionnaire | 54 |
| 4.3 Demographic and Customer Behavior Analysis | 56 |
| 4.3.1 Customers' Age vs. Focus on Online Printing | 57 |
| 4.3.2 Customers' Gender vs. Focus on Online Printing | 59 |
| 4.3.3 Customers' Monthly Income Level vs. Focus on Online | |
| Printing | 60 |
| 4.3.4 Customers' Computer Literacy vs. Focus on Online Printing | 61 |
| 4.3.5 Customers' Online Purchase Frequency vs. Focus on Online | |
| Printing | 62 |
| 4.3.6 Customers' Credit Card Usage vs. Focus on Online Printing | 63 |
| 4.4 Hypothesis Testing | 64 |
| 4.5 Other Findings from the Study | 72 |
| | |

| 4.5.1 Hot Selling Products through an Online Print Portal | 72 |
|---|-----------|
| 4.5.2 Customer Service Features Expected from an Online Store | 74 |
| 4.5.3 Customer Concerns while Ordering a Product Online | 75 |
| | |
| 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 78 |
| 5.1 Research Findings | 78 |
| 5.2 Management Guidelines: W2P Implementation | 80 |
| 5.3 Research Limitations | 82 |
| 5.4 Recommendations for Future Research | 84 |
| 5.5 Summary | 86 |
| | |
| References | 87 |
| Appendix A: Questionnaire Instrument | 97 |
| Appendix B: Responses for Question 15 | 106 |

List of Figures

| 1.1 | Why consumers prefer shopping online | 6 |
|------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) | . 9 |
| 2.2 | TAM2 model | . 12 |
| 2.3 | TAM3 model | . 14 |
| 2.4 | Key constructs of UTAUT model | . 15 |
| 2.5 | Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of | |
| | Technology 2 (UTAUT2) model | 17 |
| 2.6 | Combined Model: TAM and TTF (Task-Technology Fit) model | 18 |
| 2.7 | TTF (Task-Technology Fit) model | 19 |
| 2.8 | Combined Model: TAM and TTF (Task-Technology Fit) model | 20 |
| 2.9 | Variables affecting innovation and adoption | 21 |
| 2.10 | Extended TAM version for the e-learning system | . 22 |
| 2.11 | Reference model summarizing the antecedents of online shopping | . 25 |
| 2.12 | Consumers' online shopping attitudes and behavior model | 27 |
| 2.13 | Framework for EC technology adoption by SMEs | . 28 |
| 2.14 | Model of Intention, Adoption, and Continuance (MIAC) | 29 |
| 2.15 | Framework of online consumer behavior | 30 |
| 2.16 | Framework for consumers' intentions to shop online | 32 |
| 2.17 | Extended version of the TAM for the research | 33 |
| | | |
| 3.1 | Conceptual framework | 35 |
| 3.2 | Sri Lanka Internet users in 2016 | 45 |
| | | |
| 4.1 | Ouestionnaire validation – O2 | 54 |

| 4.2 | Questionnaire validation – User responses for Q2 | 55 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.3 | Variation of the number of responses over time | 55 |
| 4.4 | Demographic information of the sample: Age distribution | 58 |
| 4.5 | Question numbers related to each variable, used to | |
| | determine the construct BI | 64 |
| 4.6 | Corresponding Pearson Correlation value for each | |
| | variable against construct BI | 65 |
| 4.7 | Question numbers related to each variable, used to | |
| | determine the construct A | 66 |
| 4.8 | Corresponding Pearson Correlation value for each | |
| | variable against construct A | 66 |
| 4.9 | Question numbers related to each variable, used to | |
| | determine the construct PU | 68 |
| 4.10 | Corresponding Pearson Correlation value for each | |
| | variable against construct PU | 68 |
| 4.11 | Question numbers related to each variable, used to | |
| | determine the construct PE | 70 |
| 4.12 | Corresponding Pearson Correlation value for each | |
| | variable against construct PE | 70 |
| 4.13 | Survey responses for Q2 | 72 |
| 4.14 | Survey responses for Q1 | 73 |
| 4.15 | Survey responses for Q6 | 74 |
| 4.16 | Survey responses for Q5 | 75 |

List of Tables

| 2.1 | Definitions of TAM's factors | 9 |
|------|--|------|
| 2.2 | TAM2 Instrumental Determinants | . 13 |
| 2.3 | UTAUT four main concepts | 16 |
| 2.4 | Factors in consumers' online shopping attitudes and behavior model | . 27 |
| 2.5 | Independent variables for the study | . 33 |
| | | |
| 3.1 | Consumer characteristics – Independent variables | . 36 |
| 3.2 | Product characteristics – Independent variables | . 37 |
| 3.3 | Medium (Website) characteristics – Independent variables | . 37 |
| 3.4 | Environmental characteristics – Independent variables | 38 |
| 3.5 | Instrument measures of consumer characteristics | |
| | independent variables | 40 |
| 3.6 | Instrument measures of product characteristics independent variables | 41 |
| 3.7 | Instrument measures of website characteristics independent variables | 42 |
| 3.8 | Instrument measures of environmental characteristics | |
| | independent variables | 42 |
| 3.9 | Instrument measures of construct | 43 |
| 3.10 | Sri Lankan Internet usage and population statistics | 45 |
| 3.11 | Evolution of Sri Lanka Internet users from 2000-2016 | 46 |
| | | |
| 4.1 | Reliability analysis for all variables | 50 |
| 4.2 | Item statistics for all variables | 51 |
| 4.3 | Item-total statistics for all variables | 51 |
| 4.4 | Scale statistics for all variables | 52 |
| 4.5 | Reliability analysis for if Q4 (7) item dropped | 52 |
| 4.6 | Item statistics for if Q4 (7) item dropped | 53 |

| 4.7 | Item-total statistics for if Q4 (7) item dropped | 53 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.8 | Scale statistics for if Q4 (7) item dropped | 53 |
| 4.9 | Demographic information of the sample | 56 |
| 4.10 | Demographic information of the sample: Age distribution | 57 |
| 4.11 | SPSS frequency table for age group | 58 |
| 4.12 | Demographic information of the sample: Gender distribution | 59 |
| 4.13 | Demographic information of the sample: Customers' | |
| | monthly income distribution | 60 |
| 4.14 | Demographic information of the sample: Customers' Knowledge | |
| | and usage of computers and Internet | 61 |
| 4.15 | Demographic information of the sample: Customers' online | |
| | purchase frequency per year | 62 |
| 4.16 | Demographic information of the sample: Customers' | |
| | credit card usage | 63 |
| 4.17 | Correlations matrix for hypothesis 1 | 65 |
| 4.18 | Correlations matrix for hypothesis 2 | 67 |
| 4.19 | Correlations matrix for hypothesis 3 | 69 |
| 4.20 | Correlations matrix for hypothesis 4 | 71 |
| 4.21 | Hypothesis summary | 72 |
| 4.22 | Summery of means, standard deviations | 76 |

List of Abbreviations

3D Three-Dimensional

APPs Applications

B2B Business-to-Business

CSE Computer Science & Engineering

EC E-Commerce or Electronic Commerce

ELS Electronic Learning System

ERP Enterprise Resource Planning

FB Facebook

IBM International Business Machines Corporation

ICT Information and Communications Technology

IPG Internet Payment Gateway

IS Information Systems

IT Information Technology

KM-1 PRINTING Konica Minolta 29.5-inch Print System

LMS Learning Management System

MBA Master of Business Administration

MIAC Model of Intention, Adoption, and Continuance

POD Point on Demand

SLAP Sri Lanka Association of Printers

SLIP Sri Lanka Institute of Printing

SMEs Small to Medium Size Enterprises

SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

SSL Secure Socket Layer

TAM Technology Acceptance Model

TLS Transport Layer Security

TTF Task-Technology Fit

UTAUT Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology

W2P Web-to-Print / Web-2-Print

WWW World Wide Web