

**Factors Affecting Slow Adoption of NFC-enabled Payment  
Services: Sri Lankan Consumers' and Service Providers'  
Perspective**

Kariyawasam Thiranagama Gamage Dilini Kawshalya

(179116X)

Master of Business Administration in Information Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2020

**Factors Affecting Slow Adoption of NFC-enabled Payment  
Services: Sri Lankan Consumers' and Service Providers'  
Perspective**

Kariyawasam Thiranagama Gamage Dilini Kawshalya

(179116X)

The dissertation was submitted to the Department of Computer Science and Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Business Administration in Information Technology.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2020

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

.....

.....

K.T.G. Dilini Kawshalya  
(Signature of the candidate)

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the master's thesis under my supervision.

.....

.....

Dr. H.M.N. Dilum Bandara

Date

## **ABSTRACT**

Near Field Communication (NFC) enabled contactless payments were introduced to Sri Lanka in 2013. However, its adoption and usage remain low in Sri Lanka. This study examines the factors affecting the slow adoption of NFC-enabled payment solutions from both the consumers' and service providers' perspective. We adopted an interview-based qualitative methodology to explore service providers' perspective. Several factors from these findings and a literature survey were then used to derive a survey to explore the adoption factors from the consumers' perspective. Based on the literature survey findings and the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) the research framework was designed to understand consumer adoption. The research framework consisted of nine independent factors and two mediating factors. A survey was distributed among the consumers to find the consumers' adoption factors towards the NFC-enabled payments. Structured Equation Modelling was used to analyze the collected survey data. The research findings demonstrate that only the perceived ease of use has a positive impact on the adoption of NFC-enabled payments. Compatibility, awareness, and the intention to use have a direct impact on the perceived ease of use; hence, have an indirect positive impact on the adoption of NFC-enabled payments in Sri Lanka. Technical issues such as limited battery power of Point of Sales (POS) devices, uncertainty around consumer transaction security, associated initial and recurrent costs, and inadequate government regulation were identified as factors affecting the slow adoption of NFC-enabled payments from the service providers' perspective. The findings of this research could be helpful for mobile commerce in general to increase the adoption of NFC-enabled payment services in Sri Lanka, and specifically to the service providers and merchants while implementing new NFC-enabled payment applications.

**Keywords:** Consumer adoption, Near Field Communication (NFC), NFC-enabled payments, Technology Acceptance Model

# **DEDICATION**

To

**My Parents**

Two strong souls who guide me and support me to achieve my life goals

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to everyone who supported me to complete this study on “Factors Affecting Slow Adoption of NFC-enabled Payment Services: Sri Lankan Consumers’ and Service Providers’ Perspective”.

Special thanks to my supervisor Dr. Dilum Bandara, the course coordinator of the MBA in IT program of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for his continuous guidance, encouragement, and support till the completion of my study.

Further, I wish to express my gratitude to all the lectures and supervisors who helped me throughout the study. I also like to thank the telecommunication service providers’ managers who provided invaluable practical advices and guidance during the interviews.

I wish to convey my sincere gratitude to my parents and spouse for all the support and guidance provided throughout the study.

# CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	i
ABSTRACT .....	ii
DEDICATION .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
LIST OF TABLES .....	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	x
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Motivation .....	2
1.3 Problem Statement.....	3
1.4 Research Objectives.....	3
1.5 Research Significance .....	4
1.6 Outline.....	4
2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....	5
2.1 NFC-enabled mobile payments.....	5
2.2 NFC-enabled payments.....	6
2.3 Framework towards technology adoption .....	9
2.4 Service Providers' adoption factors towards NFC payments .....	10
2.5 Merchants' adoption factors towards NFC payments.....	12
2.6 Consumers' adoption factors towards NFC payments.....	15
2.7 Summary .....	18
3. METHODOLOGY.....	20
3.1 Research Methodology.....	20
3.2 Conceptual Framework .....	21
3.3 Variables related to consumer adoption towards NFC-enabled payments.....	23
3.4 Target Population .....	23
3.5 Interview Design .....	24
3.6 Data Collection.....	25
3.7 Questionnaire Design.....	25
3.8 Hypothesis development .....	25
3.9 Summary .....	30

4. DATA ANALYSIS .....	31
4.1 Data analysis of interview.....	31
4.2 Preparation of data.....	35
4.3 Reliability Analysis.....	36
4.3.1 Cronbach’s Alpha value.....	36
4.3.2 Inter-item Correlation.....	36
4.4 Descriptive Analysis .....	39
4.4.1 Age .....	39
4.4.2 Gender .....	40
4.4.3 Geographical location.....	40
4.4.4 Occupation .....	41
4.4.5 Familiarity on NFC-enabled payments.....	42
4.4.6 Available NFC-enabled payment options.....	43
4.4.7 Types of NFC-enabled payments that have been used.....	44
4.4.8 Frustrating experiences on NFC-enabled payments.....	45
4.5 Data Analysis Using Structural Equation Modelling.....	46
4.5.1 Hypothesis testing .....	49
4.5.2 Descriptive Analysis.....	53
4.6 Summary.....	54
5. CONCLUSION.....	55
5.1 Summary.....	55
5.2 Recommendations.....	61
5.3 Research Limitations.....	63
5.4 Future research directions.....	64
REFERENCES .....	66
Appendix A – Interview Questions .....	73
Appendix B – Questionnaire.....	73
Appendix C – SEM ANALYSIS .....	80
Path coefficient and P values.....	80
Variable coefficient .....	81
Causality assessment coefficients: R-squared contribution .....	81
Linear and non-linear relationship among variables .....	82



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Technology Acceptance (TAM) model (Davis, 1989).....	10
Figure 3.1: Research methodology. ....	21
Figure 3.2: Conceptual diagram of consumer adoption factors. ....	22
Figure 4.1: Age group of survey respondents. ....	40
Figure 4.2: Geographical location of the respondents.....	41
Figure 4.3: Occupation of the respondents. ....	41
Figure 4.4: Familiarity of NFC-enabled payments.....	42
Figure 4.5: NFC-enabled payments familiarity of IT sector respondents. ....	43
Figure 4.6: Types of NFC-enabled options having access to.....	43
Figure 4.7: NFC-enabled payment usage. ....	44
Figure 4.8: NFC-enabled payment options having access to vs usage.....	45
Figure 4.9: Frustrating experiences on NFC-enabled payment payments.....	45
Figure 4. 10: Results of the SEM analysis.....	48
Figure 4.11: Perception of NFC-enabled payment methods.....	53
Figure 5.1: Factors affecting the consumer adoption of NFC-enabled payment.....	60

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: NFC-enabled applications available in Sri Lanka.....	9
Table 2.2: A summary of existing studies. ....	18
Table 2.3: Factors influence the choice of NFC-enabled payment methods. ....	19
Table 3.1: Identified variables towards the NFC-enabled payments. ....	23
Table 3.2: Questionnaire mapping table.....	26
Table 4.1: Participant profile.....	31
Table 4.2: NFC service types. ....	32
Table 4.3: Reasons for the success of fuel card NFC payment method. ....	32
Table 4.4: Factors slowing down the adoption of NFC-enabled payment services.....	33
Table 4.5: Barriers to implementing NFC-enabled payments.....	34
Table 4.6: Feedback on increasing the adoption of NFC-based payment. ....	35
Table 4.7: Cronbach’s alpha value of variables. ....	36
Table 4.8: Inter-item correlation for perceived ease of use. ....	37
Table 4.9: Inter-item correlation for perceived usefulness. ....	37
Table 4.11: Inter-item correlation for compatibility. ....	37
Table 4.12: Inter-item correlation for social norms. ....	37
Table 4.13: Inter-item correlation for cost.....	37
Table 4.10: Inter-item correlation for perceived risk. ....	38
Table 4.14: Inter-item correlation for reachability. ....	39
Table 4.15: Inter-item correlation for NFC payment knowledge.....	39
Table 4.16: Inter-item correlation for personal innovation.....	39
Table 4.17: Inter-item correlation for awareness.....	39
Table 4.18: Inter-item correlation for intention to use. ....	39
Table 4.19: Model fit and quality indices.....	49
Table 4.20: Hypothesis testing. ....	50
Table 5.1: Summary of the suggested recommendations. ....	63

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADOP	Adoption
COM	Compatibility
COS	Cost
ITU	Intention to use
NFC	Near Field Communication
NPK	NFC payment knowledge
PEU	Perceived Ease of use
PI	Personal Innovation
POS	Point of Sale
PR	Perceived Risk
PU	Perceived Usefulness
RE	Reachability
SIM	Subscriber Identity Module
SN	Social Norms
TAM	Technology Acceptance Model

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A – Interview Questions .....	73
Appendix B – Questionnaire.....	73
Appendix C – SEM ANALYSIS .....	80
Path coefficient and P values.....	80
Variable coefficient .....	81
Causality assessment coefficients: R-squared contribution .....	81
Linear and non-linear relationship among variables .....	82