A Study on the Impact of Employee Perception on the Success of IT Startups

R.M. Madushi Rathnayake

(Reg. No. 179128K)

Degree of Master of Business Administration in Information Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2020

A Study on the Impact of Employee Perception on the Success of IT Startups

R.M. Madushi Rathnayake

(Reg. No. 179128K)

The dissertation was submitted to the Department of Computer Science and Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Business Administration in Information Technology.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

March 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

| R.M. Madushi Rathnayake | |
|--|--|
| (Signature of the candidate) | Date:01/06/2020 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The above candidate has carried out research for the | he Masters thesis under my supervision |
| | |
| | |
| Dr. Surangika Ranathunga | Date |
| Signature of the Supervisor | |
| | |
| | |
| (Co-supervisor Name) | Date |
| Signature of the Co-Supervisor | |

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

| I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the right to archive and to make available my thesis |
|--|
| or dissertation in whole or part in the University Libraries in all forms of media, subject to the |
| provisions of the current copyright act of Sri Lanka. I retain all proprietary rights, such as patent |
| rights. I also retain the right to use in future works (such as articles or books) all or part of this |
| thesis or dissertation. |

R.M. Madushi Rathnayake

ABSTRACT

Setting up and running new IT based businesses become more challenging and frustrating for entrepreneurs, investors and employees because of the instability of internal and external environments. Not like well- established organizations, usually the stakeholders of startups share a different state of risk factors among them. This study demonstrates how the perception of employees impacts on success of startups. Eight constructs (Confidence and trust in the owner or partners (CTOP), Confidence and trust in the organisation (CTO), Interest in the employees' future (IEF), Fare remuneration and benefits (FRB), Actively seeking employees' ideas and opinions (ASIO), Communicating information and needs in the organisation (CINO), Train employees to solve problems (TESP), Recognising employees' involvement and accomplishments (REIA)) have been used to derive the perceived value of employees. Four constructs (Growth, Profitability, Investment on R&D, Customers Satisfaction) are used to measure the success of IT startups.

The main hypothesis of this model is proven in this research study in which perception of employees is positively related to success of IT startups. Some factors such that Confidence and trust in the owner or partners (CTOP), Confidence and trust in the organisation (CTO), Interest in the employees' future (IEF) and Interest in the employees' future (IEF) have respectively higher correlation to the perception of employees in IT startups. Similarly profitability (PRO) and growth (GR) indicates a higher correlation to determine the success of startups.

The main constructs identified in this research has correlated to its related constructs while below attributes has been statistically proven that has no correlation to its related constructs these are; CINO_AI (Access to the org Information), FRB_IB (Incentives/ Bonuses), CTOP_DE (Determination of owner), CTOP_E (Experience of owner), CTOP_SS (Social skills-networking with the targeted audience), SIO_JI (Job involvement) and CTOP_SK(Skill and knowledge of owner).

Keywords: Perception of Employees, Success of IT startups, Confidence and trust in the owner or partners (CTOP), Profitability (PRO) and Growth (GR), Partial Least Squares (PLS)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I would like to offer my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor Dr. Surangika Ranathunga, who guided me by providing supervision and direction which truly helped the progression and smoothness of the research.

I would be grateful to our research project coordinators Dr. Dilum Bandara, Dr. Kuthila Gunasekera and all the lecturers of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering for giving us immense support, encouragement and guidance to get maximum use of knowledge and capabilities.

Last but not least special thanks should be given to all of my batch mates for extending their supportive hands of friendship towards the successful drive of the research.

R.M.M.W Rathnayake

MBA in Information Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DECLARATION | I. |
|--|-----|
| COPYRIGHT STATEMENT | II. |
| Abstract | III |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | IV |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | V |
| List of Figures | VI |
| LIST OF TABLES | VII |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | IX |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. Background | 1 |
| 1.1.1. Motivation | 1 |
| 1.1.2. Research Scope | 2 |
| 1.2. Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.2.1. Research Objectives | 3 |
| 1.2.2. Research Significance | 3 |
| 2. LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| 2.1 How Tech Startups Differ From Other Well-Established Organizations | |
| 2.2.1 Factors contribute to the success of startups | 5 |
| 2.2 Importance of human capital in success of startups | 7 |
| 2.3 Perception of Employee | 8 |
| 2.3.1 How to measure perception | 8 |
| 2.4 Success of startups | 9 |
| 2.4.1 Measuring Success of startups | 10 |
| 2.3 Summary of Literature | 12 |
| 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 17 |
| 3.2 Research Method | 17 |
| 3.2.1 Model Development | 21 |
| 3.2.2 Hypothesis development | 21 |
| 3.3 Research Approach | 22 |
| 3.4 Data Collection | 22 |
| 3.5 Population and Sample Selection | 23 |
| 3.5. Questionnaire design | 24 |
| 3.13 Process of Data Collection | 28 |

| 3.14 | 14 Data Analysis Technique | 29 |
|-------|--|------|
| 4 D | DATA ANALYSIS | 31 |
| 4.2 | 2 Data Preparation for Analysis | 31 |
| 4.3 | Reliability of the main survey | 31 |
| 4.4 | 4 General Analysis | 31 |
| 4.5 | 5 Statistical model for Data Analysis | 36 |
| 4 | 4.5.1 PLS Model | 37 |
| 4 | 4.5.2 PLS Statistics | 39 |
| 4.6 | Reliability and Significance of the Research Findings | 39 |
| 5 R | RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION | 56 |
| 5.2 | 2 Conclusion | 56 |
| 5.3 | Recommendation | 57 |
| 5.4 | Research Limitation | 57 |
| 5.5 | 5 Future Work | 58 |
| REFE | ERENCE | 59 |
| APPEN | ENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRE | 62 |
| APPEN | ENDIX B: ANALYSIS | 69 |
| | LICT OF EIGHDEC | |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | |
| Figur | RE 2.1 SUCCESS RATE OF STARTUPS.(READ, S., SARASVATHY, S., DEW, N., & | |
| V | WILTBANK, R. (2016). EFFECTUAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP. TAYLOR & FRANCIS)11 | |
| Figur | RE 2.2 CODING ANONYMS OF SUCCESS VARIABLE [J.M. UNGER ET AL.,2011]11 | |
| Figur | RE 3.4 CONCEPTUAL MODEL | |
| FIGUR | RE 3.2 CALCULATION OF SAMPLE SIZE | |
| FIGUR | RE 4.1 PRIMARY WORKING SECTOR OF RESPONDENTS | |
| FIGUR | RE 4.2 SIZE OF THE COMPANY | |
| FIGUR | RE 4.3 AGE OF RESPONDENTS | |
| Figur | RE 4.4 AGE OF THE RESPONDENTS | |
| Figur | RE 4.5 CROSS ANALYSIS OF AGE RANGE VS GENDER OF RESPONDENTS | |
| | | |
| FIGUR | RE 4.6 EDUCATION LEVEL OF RESPONDENTS | |
| | RE 4.6 EDUCATION LEVEL OF RESPONDENTS | R OF |
| Figur | | R OF |

| FIGURE 4.10 REFLECTIVE MEASUREMENT MODEL | 36 |
|---|----|
| FIGURE 4.11 PLS GRAPH OF THE CONCEPTUAL MODE | 38 |
| FIGURE 4.12 CONSISTENCE PLS VALUES OF ASSESSMENT 1 | 43 |
| FIGURE 4.13 PLS VALUES FOR THE ASSESSMENT 2 | 47 |
| FIGURE 4.14 PLS VALUES FOR THE FINAL MODEL (ASSESSMENT 3) | 51 |
| FIGURE 4.15 T VALUES FOR THE FINAL MODEL | 53 |
| FIGURE 5.1 PATH COEFFICIENTS OF THE MODEL | 56 |
| | |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE 2.1 HOW STARTUPS DIFFER FROM THE WELL-ESTABLISHED ORGANIZATIONS5 |
|---|
| TABLE 2.2 FACTORS DEFINED EMPLOYEE PERCEPTION – SUMMARY |
| TABLE 2.3 FACTORS TO MEASURE SUCCESS OF STARTUPS -SUMMARY |
| TABLE 2.4 PRIORITIZATION OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES -SUMMARY |
| TABLE 2.5 PRIORITIZATION OF DEPENDENT VARIABLES – SUMMARY OF LITERATURE 16 |
| TABLE 3.1 DEFINITION OF CONSTRUCTS TO MEASURE PERCEPTION OF EMPLOYEES 20 |
| TABLE 3.2 DEFINITION OF CONSTRUCTS TO MEASURE SUCCESS OF IT STARTUPS 20 |
| TABLE 3.3 LIST OF DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENTS |
| TABLE 3.4 CONTENT OF QUESTIONNAIRE |
| TABLE 3.5 PART 2: QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES |
| TABLE 3.6 PART 3: QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLES |
| TABLE 3.7 LIKERT SCALE OF MEASUREMENT |
| Table $4.1~\mathrm{S}$ cale reliability and composite reliability measurements for the sample |
| 40 |
| TABLE 4.2 OUTER LOADINGS FOR THE WHOLE SAMPLE |
| TABLE 4.3 TOTAL EFFECTS (MEAN, STDEV, T STATISTICS) OF THE MODEL (ASSESSMENT 1) |
| 44 |
| TABLE 4.4 SCALE RELIABILITY AND COMPOSITE RELIABILITY MEASUREMENTS FOR THE |
| ADJUSTED MODEJ 45 |

| TABLE 4.5 SCALE RELIABILITY AND COMPOSITE RELIABILITY MEASUREMENTS FOR TH | E |
|--|-----------|
| ADJUSTED MODEL (ASSESSMENT 2) | 45 |
| Table 4.6 outer loadings for adjusted model (Assessment 2) | 16 |
| Table 4.7 Scale reliability and composite reliability measurements for the $\frac{1}{2}$ | E |
| ADJUSTED MODEL OF ASSESSMENT 3 | 18 |
| TABLE 14.8 RELIABILITY OF VARIABLE OF FINAL ASSESSMENT | 19 |
| Table 4.9 outer loadings for final model | 50 |
| Table 4.10 cross loadings for the final model | 52 |
| ${\it TABLE 4.11 Total Effects (Mean, STDEV, T statistics) of final model (Assessment)}$ | SSMENT 3) |
| | 54 |
| TABLE 4.12 HYPOTHESIS ANALYSIS BASED ON PATH COEFFICIENT | 55 |
| Table 4.13T statistics – Assessment 2 | 71 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASIO Actively seeking employees' ideas and opinions

AVE Average Variance Extracted

B4B Business for Business

CA Cronbach's Alpha

CINO Communicating information and needs in the

organisation

CR Composite reliability

CTO Confidence and trust in the organisation

CTOP Confidence and trust in the owner or partners

CUS Customer Satisfaction

EPS Employee Perception Scale

FRB Fare remuneration and benefits

FDI foreign direct investment
GDP Gross Domestic Product

GR Growth

IEF Interest in the employees' future

IPM Integrated Performance Measurement

IT Information Technology

LV Latent variable

MV Manifest variable

OLS Ordinary least squares

PLS Partial least squares

PRO Profitability

REIA Recognising employees' involvement and

accomplishments

R&D Research and development

ROA Return on assets

SMEs Small Medium Enterprises

SLASSCOM Sri Lanka Association of Software and Service

Companies

SITS Success of IT startup

SEM Structural Equation Modeling

TESP Teaching employees to solve problems