SECURING PARTY AUTONOMY IN THE ARBITRATION PROCESS: A CASE OF SRI LANKA

Bamunu Achchige Harshi Madubashini

(159164X)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Masters, Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

In addition, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Harshi Madubashini

Date

The above candidate Bamunu Achchige Harshi Madubashini has carried out research for the masters' dissertation under my supervision for dissertation process set by the Department of Building Economics.

Ch.QS. Prof. (Mrs.) B.A.K.S.Perera

Date

Dissertation Supervisor

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am highly indebted to Prof. (Mrs.) B.A.K.S. Perera for her guidance and constant

supervision as well as for providing necessary information regarding the study to

complete this study successfully.

Further, I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Y.G. Sandanayake, Course

Coordinator for MSc in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution of Department of

Building Economics, for encouragement and guidance provided in the preparation of

this work.

A special thank you for Dr. Ananda Ranasinghe, Dr. Sam, Dr. Haris Deen, Ch.QS.

Lalith Rathnayaka and Ch.QS. Lokitha Karawita who have dedicated their time for

me to improve my research and their valuable guidance.

Furthermore, I am thankful to all the interviewees for their valuable opinion provided

to improve the outcome of my research and Institute of Commercial Law and

Practice for their guidance.

I take this opportunity to thank all the academic and non-academic staff of the

Department of Building Economics of the University of Moratuwa for assisting me

in various ways and means during my study period.

In addition, I would like to thank my husband and my parents for encouraging me to

successfully complete this project study.

B.A. Harshi Madubashini

January 2020

ii

ABSTRACT

Party Autonomy in Arbitration Process in Developing Countries: Case of Sri Lanka

International arbitration is one of the most popular dispute resolution methods in the commercial world, which provides an opportunity to understand impact of globalization on the international practice of commercial law. Due to the complexity of the construction projects and different foreign entities involved in construction contracts, it is difficult to reach to a solution when disputes arise in the commercial contracts. Therefore, arbitration is recognized as the most commonly used method to resolve disputes due to the element or party autonomy used in the arbitration process. However, there are some issues regarding the mechanism of using element of party autonomy in arbitration and different strategies to get an award within a reasonable time. This study aims to propose a framework of party autonomy for arbitration in the Sri Lankan construction industry. In order to achieve the research aim, elements of party autonomy and sub elements of party autonomy have been identified through the literature review, document survey, interview survey and manual content analysis was used to analyze the qualitative data in the research.

Research revealed that it is vital to consider the elements of party autonomy and strategies in the initial stage of contractual process if parties expect to receive an award within a reasonable time in arbitration process. Through research findings, it could be recommended that parties develop more awareness of the arbitration procedure and different elements and sub elements could be used to receive an award within a reasonable time. Agreed elements, sub elements and strategies should be in a written document, which can o be used time-to-time in arbitration process and awareness should be raised regarding the importance of them.

Key Words: Arbitration, Elements, Party Autonomy, Strategies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dec	elaration i
Ack	nowledgementii
Abs	stractiii
Tab	le of Contents
List	of Tables viii
List	of Figures ix
List	of Abbreviations x
List	of Appendices xi
СН	APTER I
	INTRODUCTION 1
1.1	Background
1.2	Problem statement
1.3	Hypothesis
1.4	Aim
1.5	Objectives of the Study
1.6	Research Methodology
1.7	The Scope of the Study
1.8	Chapter breakdown
1.9	Summary of the Chapter
СН	APTER II
	LITERATURE SYNTHESIS
2.1	Introduction 9
2.2	ADR methods in construction industry
2.3	Arbitration in Construction Industry Sri Lanka
2.4	Concept of party autonomy
2.5	History of party autonomy
	2.5.1 Concept of party Autonomy in local arbitration process
	2.5.2 Concept of party autonomy in international arbitration process 17

2.6	Eleme	ents of Party Autonomy	18
	2.6.1	Form of arbitration agreement	18
	2.6.2	Freedom to choose Arbitrator Appointment and Procedure	19
	2.6.3	Appointment procedure of arbitrators	20
	2.6.4	Ad-hoc, Institutional and Expedited Procedure	22
	2.6.5	Governing Law of Arbitration	25
	2.6.6	Settlement	27
	2.6.7	Confidentiality	27
	2.6.8	Interim measures of Protection	28
	2.6.9	Exclusion Agreement	29
	2.6.10	Evidence	29
2.7	Strate	gies in Arbitration Process in Sri Lanka	30
	2.7.1	Supportive Role-play by national court System	30
	2.7.2	Duties of Arbitrators	31
	2.7.3	Awareness of time limits in the arbitration process	31
	2.7.4	Use of expert evidence	31
	2.7.5	Appointment of Arbitrators Who has Knowledge in Construction	32
	2.7.5	Professional Ethics of Parties	32
	2.7.7	Time awarded for parties to present documents	34
	2.7.8	Nationality of parties	34
2.8	Impor	tance of using elements of party autonomy and strategies	35
	2.8.1	Importance of elements of party autonomy in arbitration Process	35
	2.8.2	Importance of strategies of party autonomy in arbitration Process	38
2.9	Sumn	nary	39
CH	APTE	R III	
	RESI	EARCH METHODOLOGY	41
3.1	Backg	ground Study	41
3.2	Proble	em Statement	41
3.3	Resea	rch Design	42
	3.3.1	Research Onion	42

3.4	Resea	rch Design Process	43
	3.4.1	Phase I: Literature Survey	43
	3.4.2	Phase II: Document Survey	44
	3.4.3	Phase III: Expert Interviews	44
3.5	Resea	rch Techniques	45
	3.5.1	Data Collection Instruments	45
	3.5.2	Expert Interview	45
	3.5.3	Sample Size	46
	3.5.4	Data Analysis	46
3.6	Sumn	nary	47
CH	APTE	R IV	
	ANA	LYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS	48
4.1	Introd	luction	48
4.2	Discu	ssion of findings of elements of party autonomy and strategies of	
	party	autonomy	48
	4.2.1	Objectives of Interviews and Design of Interview Guideline	48
	4.2.2	Results of Interviews and Document Survey	50
	4.2.3	Findings of Summary of Elements of party autonomy in	
		Sri Lanka	51
	4.2. 4	Findings of Summary of Sub Elements of party autonomy in	
		Sri Lanka	52
	4.2.5	Findings of Elements of Party Autonomy in arbitration process	55
	4.2.6	Findings of Summary of Strategies of party autonomy in	
		Sri Lanka	61
	4.2.7	Findings of Strategies of Party Autonomy in arbitration process	64
	4.2.8	Importance of recognizing the Elements of Party Autonomy	
		and strategies of party autonomy	70
	4.2.9	Proposed Elements and strategies of Party autonomy	75
	4.2.10	Identification of elements and strategies of party autonomy	79
4.3	Summ	ary	81

CHAPTER V

	CONCLUSION AND RECCOMENDATIONS	82
5.1	Introduction	82
5.2	Conclusion	82
5.3	Recommendations	85
5.4	Further Research	86
LIS	T OF REFERENCES	87
AC'	TS	98
BIB	BLIOGRAPHY	99
App	pendix A – Interview analysis	00
App	pendix B – Code Analysis	.08
App	pendix C – Arbitration Act	10
App	pendix D – Uncitral Rules	.23
App	pendix E – Interview Questionnaire	.32
Apr	pendix F – Case Studies	40

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 (a)	ADR Methods (Source: Godman, 2016)	10
Table 2.3	Arbitration Mechanism (Arbitration Act No.11 of 1995)	13
Table 2.6 (a)	Ad-hoc Procedure (Source: Masons, 2011)	23
Table 2.6 (b)	Institutional Procedure (Source: Masons, 2011)	24
Table 2.7	Nationality of parties	35
Table 4.1	Details of interviewees	49
Table 4.2	Summary of Elements of Party Autonomy	51
Table 4.3	Summary of Sub Elements of Party Autonomy	53
Table 4.4	Form of arbitration agreement	55
Table 4.5	Freedom to choose Arbitration Appointment	56
Table 4.6	Freedom to choose Arbitration Procedure	57
Table 4.7	Governing Law of Arbitration	58
Table 4.8	Settlement	59
Table 4.9	Confidentiality	59
Table 4.10	Interim measures of Protection	60
Table 4 .11	Exclusion Agreement	60
Table 4.12	Use of Evidence	61
Table 4.13	Summary of strategies of Party Autonomy	63
Table 4.14	Supportive role-play by national court system	64
Table 4.15	Duties of arbitrators	65
Table 4-16	Awareness of Time Limits in Arbitration process	66
Table 4.17	Use of Expert Evidence	67
Table 4.18	Knowledge in Construction	67
Table 4.19	Professional Ethics of Parties	68
Table 4.20	Time awarded for parties to present documents	69
Table 4.21	Nationality of parties and arbitrators	69
Table 4.22	Contractual parties' definitions	70

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Research Methods	. 6
Figure 1.2	Chapter Breakdown	. 7
Figure 2.1	ADR Methods (Source: (Nabatova,2017)	12
Figure 2.6	Appointment of arbitrators (Source: Pulkwski, 2017)	20
Figure 3.1	Research Process (Source: Institute Numeric, 2012)	42
Figure 4.1	Framework of elements and strategies of party autonomy	77

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ADR	Alternative Dispute Resolution
FIDIC	Federation of International consulting engineers
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce
SCC	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce
SIAC	Singapore Institute of arbitration center
ICLP	Institute of Commercial Law & Practice
LCIA	London Court of International Arbitration
ICSID	International Convention of Settlement of Investment Disputes
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission on International Trade Law

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix A	Interview analysis	100
Appendix B	Code Analysis	108
Appendix C	Arbitration Act	110
Appendix D	Interview Questionnaire	123
Appendix E	Case Studies	132
Appendix F	UNCITRAL Rules	140