# MODELLING SWEEP FREQUENCY RESPONSE OF POWER TRANSFORMERS

Kotagama Lansakara Imiya Mudiyanselage Pramod Bandara Jayarathna

(178069V)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

October 2018

# MODELLING SWEEP FREQUENCY RESPONSE OF POWER TRANSFORMERS

Kotagama Lansakara Imiya Mudiyanselage Pramod Bandara Jayarathna

(178069V)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

October 2018

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)

Signature:	Date:
K.L.I.M.P.B.Jayarathna	
The above candidate has carried out research for the M	asters Dissertation under my
supervision.	
Signatures of the supervisors:	
	_
Dr.Rasara Samarasinghe	Date:
	D. (
Prof. Rohan lucas	Date:

#### **ABSTRACT**

Condition monitoring of power system components is crucial in order to supply quality and uninterrupted power supply to its consumers. Among other components, the power transformer is considered to be one of the most expensive and vital components in the power network. Therefore condition assessment and fault diagnosis of power transformers have become main features of the power system's maintenance strategy. Sweep frequency response analysis is a widely used condition monitoring technique to assess mechanical integrity of power transformers. SFRA measures the transformer response to a wide frequency input signal and provides a graphical frequency response of the transformer that needs to be analysed and interpreted afterwards. This thesis presents a novel approach to determine lumped parameter equivalent circuit of transformers using transfer functions estimated from measured SFRA response. Firstly, a novel algorithm based on Levy's and Sanathanan-Koerner method is used to convert the graphical SFRA curves into transfer function in Laplace domain. Once transfer functions estimation is derived, the lumped parameter equivalent circuit model is developed using artificial neural network and genetic algorithm integrated approach. In fact, artificial neural network is used to derive the initial approximation of genetic algorithm where artificial neural network results are optimized by genetic algorithm to find the final estimated parameters. The entire approach has been validated by means of error analysis and actual responses. Furthermore, modelled equivalent circuits by the proposed method have been incorporated to develop reference curves for various power transformer fault types which can be used to assess the severity and fault location of failures. This method can be easily implemented in a digital computer and can be used in on-site fault diagnosis of power transformers.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Rasara samarasinghe and Prof. Rohan Lucas for their guidance and tremendous support given throughout this research. Their instructions and comments immensely helped me to complete this work with success.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to the course coordinator, progress review chair and members, and all the lecturers of Department of Electrical Engineering for their valuable feedback and assistance throughout the study.

I would like to thank Mr. W.T.D. Samarasinghe and Mr. M.P.M. Fernando, Electrical Engineers of Asset Management Branch - Transmission division of Ceylon Electricity Board (CEB), for helping me to collect SFRA data from the CEB and for sharing their expertise.

I also thank all my colleagues and friends for giving their fullest cooperation throughout my research and for assisting me to clear my doubts.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude for my parents and family for their understanding, motivation and patience.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLA	ARATION	iii
ABSTR	ACT	iv
ACKNO	OWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF	F FIGURES	ix
LIST OF	F TABLES	xii
LIST OF	F APPENDICES	xiii
LIST OF	F ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
Chapter	1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Objectives	5
1.3	Scope of work	5
1.4	Thesis outline	5
1.5	Publications	6
Chapter	2 BACKGROUND STUDY AND LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Sweep frequency response analysis (SFRA)	8
2.3	Types of SFRA Measurements	13
2.4	Applications of SFRA	14
2.4.	Fault diagnosis-Reference traces used for comparison	15
2.4.	1.2 Transformer faults identification using SFRA	16
2.5	Existing models of power transformers	16
2.6	Proposed method for transformer modelling	21
Chapter		
RESPO	NSE ANALYSIS	
3.1	Introduction	
3.2	Analysis of integer order system in frequency domain	
3.2.		
3.2.	2.2 Sanathanan-Koerner iterative method	28
3.3	Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion	29
3.4	Proposed algorithm to derive transfer function from SFRA data	29
3.5	Application of proposed algorithm (Case study for validation of prop	
metho	(DOI)(DOI)	33

3.6	Con	clusions	. 45
Chapter	4	MODELLING TRANSFORMER EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT	. 47
4.1	Intro	oduction	.47
4.2	Lun	nped parameter network	. 47
4.3 Lumi	_	orithms and methods used for conversion of transfer function to arameter network model	. 49
4.3		Artificial neural network (ANN)	
4.3		Genetic algorithm (GA)	
4.4		posed method of parameter estimation of lumped equivalent circuit	
4.4		Initial approximation using ANN (step 1)	
4.4		Secondary approximation –DC gain matching (step 2)	
4.4		Optimization of responses using Genetic algorithm (step 3)	
4.5		e study and method validation	
4.5		Method validation: numerical validation	
	5.2	Method validation: comparison with a faulty transformer frequency	
		>	
4.6	Resi	ults of other phases and different tests	.71
4.6	5.1	Modelling of side phases (phase R/B for open circuit test)	.71
4.6	5.2	Modelling of windings (phase R, Y & B for short circuit test)	.74
4.7	Con	clusions	.77
Chapter	5	REFERENCE CURVES OF POWER TRANSFORMER FAULTS .	. 79
5.1	Intro	oduction	.79
5.2	Faul	It interpreting using frequency ranges of SFRA	. 80
5.2	2.1	Frequency ranges of SFRA	. 80
5.2	2.2	Fault interpretation rules	. 83
5.3	Gen	erated reference curves	. 83
5.3	.1	Inter-turn faults	. 84
5.3	3.2	Transformer core faults	. 86
5.3	3.3	Earth faults	. 87
5.3	3.4	Conductor tilting/ winding buckling	. 89
5.3	5.5	Insulation ageing	.91
5.4	Con	clusions	.93
Chapter	6	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	. 94
6.1	Con	clusions	.95
6.2	Futi	ire works	. 97

References	99
Appendix A: MATLAB code of Levy method estimation	107
Appendix B: MATLAB code of constraint function used in GA ("constraint_fcn"	/
	114
Appendix C: MATLAB code of fitness function used in GA ("fit_fcn")	116
Appendix D: MATLAB code to determine regions	119

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1.1: Reported failure rates of power transformer [2]	1
Figure	1.2: Derivation of Bode plots of a power transformers by SFRA [5]	3
Figure	1.3 Basic structure of the power transformer [1]	4
Figure	2.1: Test setup of SFRA	9
Figure	2.2: Two port model of SFRA test setup	9
Figure	2.3: Simplified SFRA test arrangement	)
Figure	2.4: Frequency response of test object	2
Figure	2.5: SFRA Signature of Bolawatta TR03 -3phase 31.5MVA, 132/33kV 12	2
Figure	2.6: Types of SFRA measurements	1
Figure	2.7: Basic steps of modelling transformer using SFRA	1
Figure	3.1: Proposed algorithm to obtain transfer function from SFRA data 3	1
Figure	3.2: Original SFRA of 133/33 kV, 31.5 MVA Pauwels transformer (Phase Y)	
Figure	3.3: Inverse values of RMS errors for the numerator and denominator combinations of region 1	
Figure	3.4: Estimated response (red) with original response (blue) in $20 Hz - 29.2 kHz$ ( $125 rad/s - 184 krad/s$ )30	5
Figure	3.5: Inverse values of RMS errors for the numerator and denominator combinations of region 2	7
Figure	3.6: Estimated response (red) with reshaped response (blue) in 20 Hz to 117 kHz	
Figure	3.7: Inverse values of RMS errors for the numerator and denominator combinations of region 3	9
Figure	3.8: Estimated response (red) with Reshaped response (blue) in 20 Hz to 188 kHz	О
Figure	3.9: Inverse values of RMS errors for the numerator and denominator combinations of region 4	1
Figure	3.10: Estimated response (red) with reshaped response (blue) in 20 Hz to 2 MHz	2
Figure	3.11: Estimated response (red) with original SFRA data (blue) in 20 Hz 4.	3
Figure	3.12: Relative error of estimated response with respect to SFRA4	4
Figure	4.1: Lumped parameter network model4	3
Figure	A 2: Rasic structure of ANN	ኅ

Figure	4.3: Bit-String Crossover followed by bit flipping mutation	53
Figure	4.4: Steps of parameter estimation	54
Figure	4.5: Pseudo code of constraint function "constraint_fcn"	58
Figure	4.6: Pseudo code of objective function "fit_fcn"	58
Figure	4.7: Comparison of responses of order-reduced transfer function (orange) wi measured SFRA response (blue)	
Figure	4.8: Test setup modelled in Simulink	52
Figure	4.9: Six-sectional lumped parameter network used to train ANN	53
Figure	4.10: Comparison of bode plots of initial approximation obtained from trained ANN and measured SFRA	
Figure	4.11: Frequency response after DC gain matching	55
Figure	4.12: Final Six-sectional lumped parameter network (Used for GA)	56
Figure	4.13: Frequency response of final synthesized circuit (red) with respect to the response of order reduced transfer function (blue)	
Figure	4.14: Magnitude plots of order reduced transfer function and synthesized network with Absolute error graph	59
Figure	4.15: pre-fault and post-fault SFRA curves of Biyagama 83.3 MVA 3phase transformer (Phase Y, open circuit response)	70
Figure	4.16: (a) Altered parameters of lumped parameter network (b) Frequency response before and after alteration	71
Figure	4.17: Original open circuit SFRA of 132/33 kV, 31.5 MVA Pauwels transformer (Phase R, Y and B)	72
Figure	4.18: Frequency response of final synthesized circuit (red) with respect to the response of order reduced transfer function of phase B (blue)	
_	4.19: Original short circuit SFRA of 132/33kV, 31.5MVA Pauwels transformer (Phase R, Y and B)	75
Figure	4.20: Frequency response of final synthesized circuit (red) with respect to the response of order reduced transfer function of phase Y (blue)	
Figure	5.1: Method of region determination of SFRA	32
Figure	5.2: (a) Simulating an inter-turn fault by short circuiting section-3 (b) Pre fault and post fault Frequency response	34
Figure	5.3: Frequency response of phase 'Y' of the transformers before and after inter-turn fault occurrence on phase 'Y' of the HV winding (logarithmic frequency scale from 100 Hz to 1 MHz) [16]	35
Figure	5.4: Reference frequency responses of inter-turn fault (for each winding section)	35
Figure	5.5: pre-fault and post-fault (core fault) Frequency response	36

Figure	5.6: Comparison of Pre-fault and post-fault, HV, B phase, SFRA [60] 87
Figure	5.7: (a) simulating earth fault by adding parallel resistance (b) pre fault and post fault Frequency response
Figure	5.8: Comparison of Pre-fault and post-fault responses of 5 MVA, 89
Figure	5.9: Simulating conductor tilting by increasing the ground capacitances 90
Figure	5.10: Frequency response of 230kV transformer before and after conductor tilting [9]
Figure	5.11: Reference frequency responses of tilting/buckling (for different severity levels)
Figure	5.12: Simulating insulation ageing by reducing capacitances
Figure	5.13: Frequency response of 400MVA transformer with and without insulation oil [67]

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Mechanical and electrical faults identified by SFRA	16
Table 3.1: Selection of best estimation in Sanathanan-Koerner method of proposed algorithm for region 3	
Table 3.2: Selection of best estimation in Sanathanan-Koerner method of proposed algorithm for region 4	
Table 4.1: Ranges of randomly generated data for parameters	55
Table 4.2: Input parameters of GA	57
Table 4.3: Poles and zeros of the order-reduced transfer function of 132/33kV, 31.5MVA Pauwels transformer (PhaseY)	61
Table 4.4: Estimated parameter values from ANN (step1)	64
Table 4.5: Resistance values before and after DC gain matching	65
Table 4.6: Final estimated parameter values for lumped parameter network	67
Table 4.7: Final estimated parameter values for lumped parameter network of phas B (open circuit test)	
Table 4.8: Final estimated parameter values for Lumped parameter network of pha Y (short circuit test)	
Table 5.1: Fault interpretation rules	83

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: MATLAB code of Levy method estimation	107
Appendix B: MATLAB code of constraint function used in GA ("constraint_fcn	ı'')
	114
Appendix C: MATLAB code of fitness function used in GA ("fit_fcn")	116
Appendix D: MATLAB code to determine regions	119

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DGA Dissolved gas analysis

DC Direct current

SFRA Sweep frequency response analysis

RLC Resister, inductor and capacitor

ANN Artificial neural network

GA Genetic algorithm

Std. Standard

FRA Frequency response analysis

R-L-C-M Resister, inductance, capacitance and mutual inductance

EMTP Electromagnetic transient program

RMS Root mean square

H.V High voltage

L.V Low voltage

TF Transfer function

SC short circuit

freq. Frequency