

**ESTIMATION OF SCS CURVE NUMBER  
FOR STREAMFLOW MODELLING - A CASE STUDY  
OF BADALGAMA WATERSHED IN  
MAHA OYA BASIN, SRI LANKA**

Rohit Adhikari

(179230P)

Degree Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2018

**ESTIMATION OF SCS CURVE NUMBER  
FOR STREAMFLOW MODELLING - A CASE STUDY  
OF BADALGAMA WATERSHED IN  
MAHA OYA BASIN, SRI LANKA**

Rohit Adhikari

(179230P)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree of Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

Supervised by: Dr. R.L.H.L. Rajapakse

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for  
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2018

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date

## ABSTRACT

### **Estimation of SCS Curve Number for Streamflow Modelling - A case study of Badalgama Watershed in Maha Oya Basin, Sri Lanka**

Accurate runoff estimation is a prerequisite for effective management and development of water resources. Many methods are being used to estimate runoff in literature. However, the SCS-CN method still remains a popular, fruitful and frequently used method in Sri Lanka and elsewhere around the world. The attractive feature of the SCS-CN method is that it integrates the complexity of runoff generation into a single parameter, i.e. curve number (CN). In Sri Lanka, the CN is usually selected from available standard tables in the National Engineering Handbook, Section-4 (NEH-4). However, such an estimation could yield erroneous results in the absence of a research on different CN estimation methods. The present study carried out an event based runoff estimation in Badalgama watershed of the Maha Oya basin using CN values from two different CN determination methods; i) weighted CN value using NEH-4 and, ii) rainfall-runoff data. The SCS unit hydrograph was developed to make the study more usable for other ungauged watersheds with similar characteristics. Concave method was used for baseflow separation and the constant loss method was incorporated for the determination of effective rainfall. Twenty events each for calibration and verification were used which formed a representative data from both perspective of quantity of flows and the seasons.

Model evaluation was carried out by first developing  $CN_{II}$  for both the cases. Then, since the event separation was required rendering rainless period before the start of the event,  $CN_I$  values were also computed and evaluated. Finally, the selected CN was manually optimized for individual calibration events and the average was used for model verification. Parameter optimizations were done with the Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE) as the objective function while the Ratio of Absolute Error to Mean (RAEM), Ratio of Absolute Errors (RAE) corresponding to  $Q_p$ ,  $T_p$ ,  $T_b$  of the hydrographs were computed to reflect the goodness of fit. Based on the modelling results, it could be identified that 3 out of 4 SCS-CN models developed for the Badalgama watershed were not representative of the watershed response reflected in the observed hydrographs. The average MRAE and RAEM among the four methods was between 0.36 ~ 2.18 and 0.54 ~ 2.08, respectively.

The present work revealed that the use of  $CN_I$  values from weighted CN method was the nearest to model reality followed by the  $CN_{II}$  determination from rainfall runoff model. Use of  $CN_I$  from rainfall-runoff data yields the most inaccurate result followed by the  $CN_{II}$  from the weighted CN method. The SCS-CN model developed using individual parameter optimized CN value for the Badalgama watershed produced average MRAE of 0.22 and average RAEM of 0.30 in calibration and an average of 0.37 and 0.49 in verification, respectively. The average RAE value corresponding to  $Q_p$ ,  $T_p$ ,  $T_b$  and streamflow volume were 0.78, 0.37, 0.43 and 0.42, respectively, in model verification. The SCS-CN method is the best reasonably suitable method for quick and fairly accurate runoff estimation in the regions such as the wet zone of Sri Lanka where hydrologic gauging stations are not widely available.

**Keywords:** runoff generation, event based modelling, baseflow separation, wet zone

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The perseverance required to come till here is the result of unmatched inspiration from my Supervisor, Dr. R.L.H.L. Rajapakse. He never compromised in bringing out the best in me but at the same time gave me complete liberty to finish the work at my own pace through self-reflection and correction. His reminder alarms helped me to stay focused and never wander too far from the subject matter and his expertise in the field helped me in developing several computer based calculations and solutions. I take this opportunity to humbly extend my sincere gratitude for his continuous guidance.

Equally important was Sr. Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera who mentored my progress and rendered unwavering support for me to produce a quality work.

Ms. Gayani Edirisinghe, Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe and the UMCSAWM support team who provided us all the necessary assistance both in the campus and outside will be remembered important people for the successful completion of this thesis.

Also, sincere thanks goes to Mr. Gautam Thapa and Mr. Sonam Tobgay, alumni of UMCSAWM who constantly provided me guidance from their experience on this topic.

The opportunity to study in UMCSAWM and work on this research was fundamentally created by Late Shri Madanjeet Singh, who initiated the creation of this knowledge sharing movement between SAARC countries. Thank you Sir, and South Asia Foundation (SAF), for this opportunity to make the world a better place to live.

Lastly, my acknowledgement would be incomplete without thanking my family; my father, mother and sister and an extended family of grandparents, aunts, uncles and cousins who have always been there for me.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	i
ABSTRACT .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 General .....	1
1.2 Problem statement .....	3
1.3 Objective of the study.....	4
1.3.1 Overall objective .....	4
1.3.2 Specific objective .....	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	5
2.1 Introduction .....	5
2.2 Development of curve number .....	6
2.3 Curve number and antecedent moisture condition relation.....	7
2.4 Streamflow modelling using SCS curve number .....	9
2.5 SCS dimensionless unit hydrograph.....	13
2.6 Event based streamflow modelling .....	14
2.7 Rainfall loss .....	15
2.8 Separation of events and minimum inter-event time.....	16
2.9 Separation of flows.....	17
2.10 Baseflow separation.....	17
2.11 Time of concentration.....	18
2.12 Optimization of parameter .....	19
3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	22
3.1 Study area .....	22
3.2 Data and data checking.....	22
3.2.1 Data sources and data resolution.....	22
3.2.2 Rainfall and streamflow data .....	24
3.2.3 Data checking.....	24
3.2.4 Missing data .....	25
3.2.5 Missing rainfall data.....	27

3.2.6	Thiessen rainfall .....	28
3.2.7	Annual water balance .....	34
3.2.8	Land use pattern .....	35
3.2.9	Soil type .....	37
3.3	Methodology .....	40
3.4	Model development .....	40
3.4.1	Minimum inter-event time .....	40
3.4.2	Rainfall event selection .....	40
3.4.3	Event selection .....	40
3.4.4	Baseflow separation .....	42
3.4.5	Curve number from watershed properties.....	42
3.4.6	Curve number from field data .....	42
3.4.7	Antecedent moisture condition conversion.....	43
3.4.8	Time of concentration .....	43
3.5	Model development .....	43
3.5.1	Spreadsheet model for computation.....	43
3.5.2	Effective rainfall computation.....	43
3.5.3	SCS unit hydrograph computation.....	44
3.5.4	Direct runoff hydrograph computation .....	44
3.5.5	Hydrograph for catchment curve number value.....	45
3.5.6	Optimizing event curve number values.....	45
3.5.7	Model calibration and validation .....	45
4	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS .....	46
4.1	Event Selection.....	46
4.1.1	Minimum inter-event time .....	46
4.1.2	Rainfall and streamflow selection.....	46
4.1.3	Baseflow separation .....	51
4.2	Direct runoff hydrograph.....	53
4.3	Estimation $CN_{II}$ from NEH-4 handbook.....	54
4.4	Estimation of $CN_{II}$ from rainfall-runoff data.....	55
4.5	Estimation with $CN_I$ values from NEH-4 handbook.....	58

4.6	Estimation with $CN_I$ from rainfall-runoff data.....	58
4.7	Model calibration.....	60
4.8	Model verification .....	64
4.9	Summary of events .....	65
5	DISCUSSION .....	66
5.1	Event selection .....	66
5.2	Data resolution .....	67
5.3	Effective rainfall.....	67
5.4	$CN_{II}$ from NEH-4 handbook.....	68
5.5	$CN_{II}$ from rainfall-runoff data .....	70
5.6	$CN_I$ from NEH-4 handbook.....	70
5.7	$CN_I$ from rainfall-runoff model.....	72
5.8	Model calibration.....	72
5.9	Model verification .....	73
5.10	Comparison of average and individual calibration .....	75
5.11	Summary discussion .....	75
6	CONCLUSION .....	78
7	RECOMMENDATIONS .....	80
	References .....	81
	Appendix List.....	88
	Appendix A: Thiessen weights computation .....	89
	Appendix B: Rainfall and streamflow details of 40 events.....	90
	Appendix C: Baseflow separation of 40 events. ....	92
	Appendix D: UH computation values .....	132
	Appendix E: Evaluation of streamflow estimation using weighted $CN_{II}$ .....	134
	Appendix F: Weighted $CN_{II}$ graphs for all events .....	136
	Appendix G: Evaluation of streamflow estimation using $CN_{II}$ from rainfall-runoff data .	146
	Appendix H: Rainfall-runoff data $CN_{II}$ graphs for all events .....	148
	Appendix I: Evaluation of streamflow estimation using weighted $CN_I$ values .....	158
	Appendix J: Weighted $CN_I$ graphs for all events.....	160
	Appendix K: Evaluation of streamflow using $CN_I$ values from rainfall-runoff data.....	170



Appendix L: Rainfall-runoff data $CN_I$ graphs for all events.....	172
Appendix M: Evaluation of streamflow estimation on verification events .....	182
Appendix N: Verification graphs for 20 events (E21-E40) .....	183
Appendix O: Sorted verification and calibration Results .....	188
Appendix P: Calibration graphs for 20 events (E1-E20) .....	190
Appendix Q: Evaluation of streamflow estimation on verification data (E21-E40) with optimized CN .....	195
Appendix R: Verification graphs with optimized CN values (E21-E40) .....	196