

# **ARCHITECTURAL HELPER TOOL TO CONVERT MONOLITHIC SYSTEMS TO MICROSERVICES**

Chamika Kasun Bandara

(168205D)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2018

# **ARCHITECTURAL HELPER TOOL TO CONVERT MONOLITHIC SYSTEMS TO MICROSERVICES**

Balamanage Chamika Kasun Bandara

(168205D)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
Master of Science in Computer Science and Engineering

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2018

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: .....

Date: .....

Name: B.M Chamika Kasun Bandara

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. Indika Perera

Signature of the supervisor: .....

Date: .....

## **Abstract**

Today many of the developers and users expect systems to have dynamic user experience on a wide variety of clients including mobile devices. As well as expect to have high scalability and needs to roll out new updated in order to cope with the competitors, even for multiple times a day. But to gain such level of flexibility through the existing monolithic systems is quite tough and hard due to the dependability of the internal modules, components and the services. Recently researchers have discovered a new architecture called microservices architecture where it consists of independent set of services which are focused on one or small set of functionalities of the system and can be deployed as a separated service. By having isolated services, it increases the flexibility on scalability, independent deplorability, maintainability, and reusability.

Recently microservice topic has gain lots of attention from the software industry. If we search on Google or Yahoo we would fine millions of articles, blogs, discussions on social media, and conference presentations. That is because microservices has huge advantage when it comes to development and the evolving of the system. If we are doing a green field project, then there would be not much risk than managing the lots of concurrent and distributed microservices. But if it is going to be a brown field project or trying to convert the existing monolithic systems into microservices there are exist several other risks associated with it, one of major risk is deciding which services to convert into microservices.

In this research trying to find a solution to that problem and mainly focused on the OO Java based monolithic systems. In order to identify the services in the monolithic system`s legacy code we use hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithm with customized fitness function which helps to identify the candidate microservices for the microservice based architecture, then those will be presented to the users based on the risk value. This tool is capable of providing insights about each of the services by showing risk levels of the obtained services. If the time permits those features will complete with this research in addition to microservices identification. So that people who use this architectural helper tool will get broad understanding of candidate services and they can be reordered by inputting business values to each of those services. Which reduces the risk of converting the existing monolithic system into the relevant microservices.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I am grateful to Dr. Indika Perera for taking my project under his supervision and his willingness to give valuable advices, support and direction. As well as I should be thankful to Sysco Labs' Senior Director of Engineering & Architecture Hasitha Liyanage for helping me to come up with this research idea and giving me valuable points and advices. Not only that I should be thankful to Sysco Labs' Senior Director, Engineering and Architecture Rohana Kumara for his valuable ideas, and comments. I am again thankful to them for providing immense guidance for this project.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
Abstract	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	ix
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Motivation	3
1.4 Objectives	6
1.5 Research Scope	7
Chapter 2 LITREATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Extracting Microservices	9
2.2 Service Identification Techniques	10
2.2.1 Structured and Unstructured Analysis Approach	10
2.2.2 Architecture based Approach	13
2.2.3 Service Identification with Domain analysis	17
2.2.4 Semi-Automated Approach	19
2.3 Summary of Service Identification	21
2.4 Challenges in Service Identification	21
2.5 Migration Techniques used in Legacy Systems Migration	22
2.6 Challenges in Choosing Implementation Techniques	24
2.7 Overview of the Current Practices on Legacy Systems Migration.	25
Chapter 3 METHODOLOGY	26
3.1 Proposed Solution	27
3.1.1 Overview of Proposed Solution	27
3.1.2 Scrutiny of Proposed Solution	28
Chapter 4 ARCHITECTURE AND IMPLEMENTATION	31
4.1 System Architecture	32
4.2 Solution	32

4.2.1	Mapping of Object to Services	33
4.2.2	Fitness Function	33
4.2.3	Service Clustering	37
4.3	Implementation	38
4.3.1	Frontend	39
4.3.2	Backend	41
4.3.3	Algorithms	43
Chapter 5	SYSTEM EVALUATION	44
5.1	Overview of Test Project	45
5.1.1	DDL for Student Management API	46
5.1.2	File Structure of Student Management API.	49
5.2	Microservice Identification	51
5.2.1	Results	51
5.3	Discussion and Validation	66
5.3.1	Discussion	66
5.3.2	Validation	69
5.3.3	Validation and Discussion Summary.	81
Chapter 6	CONCLUSION	84
6.1	Research Contributions	85
6.2	Study Limitations	86
6.3	Future Work	87
Chapter 7	REFERENCES	88

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Types of Scaling.....	2
Figure 1-2: Sample Legacy System. ....	4
Figure 1-3: Example Microservice System.....	5
Figure 2-1: Two stage processing .....	12
Figure 2-2: Architecture based approach for Service Identification .....	16
Figure 2-3: Service Identification with Domain Analysis .....	17
Figure 2-4: Service Identification with Semi-Automated Approach. ....	19
Figure 2-5: Types of Migration Techniques .....	22
Figure 2-6: Implementation Techniques .....	24
Figure 3-1: System Overview. ....	27
Figure 3-2: Java Objects to Service mapping. ....	29
Figure 3-3: Dendrogram of Service Clusters. ....	30
Figure 4-1: System Architecture. ....	32
Figure 4-2: Project Backend. ....	38
Figure 4-3: Project Frontend - File Upload.....	39
Figure 4-4: Project Frontend - Submit Files. ....	39
Figure 4-5: Project Frontend - File Uploaded. ....	40
Figure 4-6: Project Frontend - Processed Results.....	40
Figure 4-7: Project Backend - Controllers. ....	41
Figure 4-8: Project Backend - Algorithms.....	43
Figure 5-1: Iteration 1 Final Result.....	55
Figure 5-2: Iteration 2 Final Result.....	60
Figure 5-3: Iteration 3 Final Result.....	65
Figure 5-4: System Dependencies for Repositories.....	70
Figure 5-5: System Dependencies for Managers.....	71
Figure 5-6: 3rd Iteration Final Cluster Results.....	82
Figure 6-1: Features to be Implemented.....	87



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Current Practices on Legacy Systems Migration. ....	25
Table 5-1: Test Project Functionalities. ....	45
Table 5-2: Iteration 1 Final Results Cluster Values. ....	66
Table 5-3: Iteration 2 Final Results Cluster Values. ....	67
Table 5-4: Iteration 3 Final Results Cluster Values. ....	68
Table 5-5: Risk Level Value Margins. ....	69
Table 5-6: Usage Summary as a Percentage. ....	79
Table 5-7: Adding Student Usage Percentages. ....	79
Table 5-8: Adding Lecturer Usage Percentages. ....	80
Table 5-9: Adding Department Usage Percentages. ....	80
Table 5-10: Adding Exam Usage Percentages. ....	80
Table 5-11: Making a Payment Usage Percentages. ....	80
Table 5-12: 3rd Iteration Cluster Values. ....	83

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AI	Artificial Intelligence
API	Application Programming Interface
CORBA	Common Object Request Broker Architecture
CoC	Convention over Configuration
COTS	Commercial Off-The-Shelf
DCE	Distributed Computing Environment
DCOM	Distributed Component Object Model
DDD	Domain Driven Design
HTTP	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol
IR	Information Retrieval
MVC	Model – View – Controller
OAR	Options Analysis for Reengineering
SO	Service Orientation
SOA	Software Oriented Architecture
SOMA	Service Oriented Modeling and Architecture
UDDI	Universal Description Discovery and Integration
UML	Unified Modeling Language
YAGNI	You Ain't Going to Need It
XML	eXtensible Markup Language
DDL	Data Definition Language
TBI	To Be Implemented