EFFECT OF RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PV PENETRATION ON DISTRIBUTION NETWORK PROTECTION SCHEMES

Ilandari Dewa Sandamali Sanchala

(159323K)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

November 2018

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	Date:
The above candidate has carried out reseasupervision.	arch for the Masters dissertation under our
Signature of the supervisor: Dr. K.T.M.U. Hemapala	Date
Signature of the supervisor: Dr. W.A.D.S. Rodrigo	Date
Signature of the supervisor: Dr. L.N.Widanagama Arachchige	Date

Abstract

Electricity generation from solar PV has been increasing due to many reasons including heavy environmental considerations, reduction of burning fossil fuels, fast developments in the solar technology and the industry, consequent reduction in investment costs etc. Especially in Sri Lanka, the government took lot of steps to encourage the consumer end to install roof top Solar PV's. As an initiation Government revised the tariff system and provided considerable financial support for the consumers to encourage PV generation. Especially, in the urban areas the number of rooftop solar PV connected to the LV distribution system (0.4 kV) has increased. Due to this heavy solar PV penetration, fault current seen by the grid side has been changing. Hence, it is required to analyze the changes in fault current levels and consequent impact on the protection coordination in the medium voltage (MV) distribution system.

The main objective of this research is to assess the impact of increasing solar PV penetration levels on the MV level protection coordination and to propose a methodology for revising the protection settings for proper relay coordination with the increasing solar PV levels.

For the analysis a MV feeder having high penetration of solar PV has been selected and simulated. Modelling of the low voltage (LV, 0.4 kV) distribution system with widely dispersed solar PV integration has been a key challenge in this research. As the protection coordination has been evaluated at the MV side, the total LV distribution system has been simulated as an equivalent system and the solar PV has been modelled as an equivalent voltage source inverter. The equivalent solar PV model has been validated using already verified built-in solar PV model in PSCAD/EMTDC version 4.5.

Initially, performance of the MV level protection coordination has been analyzed in the selected feeder without solar PV being integrated. Then, the performance of the protection system has been analyzed based on the present PV penetration levels and fault current variation for different fault locations considering all probable fault types. Analysis indicated that, with the present PV penetration levels, existing protection settings can still be used, as they effectively maintain the required protection coordination.

After analysing the system behaviour with increasing solar penetration levels, a generalized method has been proposed to evaluate the MV level protection system performance. If the any system relay parameters fail to perform the expected outcome, a technique has been proposed to identify a criterion to review the relay settings.

KEYWORDS: Equivalent system, Medium Voltage level (MV), PV-photovoltaic, Relay parameters.

Acknowledgement

It is with great pleasure that I express gratitude to those who were behind me in completing my research project.

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. K.T.M.U Hemapala, Dr W.A.D.S. Rodrigo, Dr. L.N. Widanagama Arachchige for their great insights and perspective with much patience throughout the entire period and their continuous support with immense knowledge motivating me to do this research. Their guidance helped me all the time, from starting of this research, up until the end writing of this dissertation. Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to work with you all.

In addition, I would like to thank all the Lecturers in the Department of Electrical Engineering and the Post Graduate Studies Division, Faculty of Engineering, who engaged in this MSc course in various ways to educate us and broaden our vision.

My sincere thanks go to my managers and colleagues at Ceylon Electricity Board and Lanka Electricity Company (Private) Limited, who helped me in many ways during this period.

Finally, I am thankful to my family members including my husband, parents and sister who always encouraged and help me to complete this research.

Table of Contents

Abs	stract	i	V
Ack	nowled	dgement	v
List	of Figu	uresi	X
List	of Tab	olesxi	ii
List	of Abb	oreviationsx	V
CH	APTER	R 1	1
1.	INTRO	ODUCTION	1
1.1.	In	mportance of the Research	2
1.2.	M	Iain Objective of Research	3
1.3.	R	esearch Methodology	3
1.4.	W	7ith Distributed Generation Probable Protection Issues	4
1.5.	O	ver view of Thesis	6
CH	APTER	8.2	8
2.	PROJI	ECT OVERVIEW	8
2.1.	G	rid Connected PV inverters	8
	2.1.1.	Main components of the detailed model	8
2.2.	Pı	rotection System Setting Philosophy1	0
2.3.	Pı	rotection Schemes used at MV level1	1
2.4.	G	rading of Over current and Earth Fault Relays1	2
	2.4.1.	Discrimination by Time1	2
	2.4.1.	Discrimination by current1	2
	2.4.2.	Discrimination Using both Current and Time1	3
2.5.	St	tatement of Problem1	4

2.6.	. O1	ojectives of the Study15
СН	APTER	316
3.	METH	ODOLOGY AND SYSTEM MODELING16
3.1.	. Co	omponent Modelling for the Simulation
	3.1.1.	Average Solar PV Modelling17
3.2. PSC		ne selected case studies for simulation and the system modelling using
3.2.	.1 33	kV Grid (Upper Network Equivalent)40
	3.2.2.	33kV distribution System Modelling
	3.2.3.	Modelling Cu 240mm ² Cable with length of 2.4 km42
	3.2.4.	33/11kV Transformers
	3.2.5.	33/11kV 11kV Network Equivalent Network
	3.2.6.	11/0.4kV Transformers Represent as Equivalent Lumped transformer
	3.2.7. Schem	11/0.4kV 33kV and 11kV levels Existing Relays and Protectiones
	3.2.8. when s	Evaluate the Existing System Coordination for different Fault locations olar PV Penetration neglected.
СН		459
		LTS59
4.1.		nalysis of the Protection system performance Expect Theoretically59
4.2.	. Su	ammary of table include Protection system performance as per simulation
4.2		
4.3. Pro		ith Solar PV penetration System modelling and Verify the Existing system Performance
4.4.	. Pr	otection System performance verify for the 80% of PV penetration level
		81

4.5.	Fault current contribution by solar PV and Grid with higher PV Penetra	ıtion
Leve		2
4.1.	With high Resistive Faults, Fault current contribution and R	elay
discı	mination time	91
4.2.	Research Out come- Study Methodology of the impact from PV for	any
distr	oution feeder	92
CHA	PTER 5	98
5.	onclusions	98
Refe	ences	102

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Solar PV Global Capacity Increase
Figure 2-1: Schematic of the Detailed Solar PV Model on PSCAD/EMTDC platform
9
Figure 2-2: IDMT Relay Characteristic for Over Current and Earth fault Protection13
Figure 2-3: Definite Time Relay Characteristic
Figure 3-1: Overall Schematic Diagram for Simulation
Figure 3-2: PSCAD Model to obtain Measured Active and Reactive Power in PU
Base
Figure 3-3: Obtain I_base value in simulation
Figure 3-4: Deriving Direct and Quadrature Axis Current Components for CSI18
Figure 3-5: Deriving Phase current Components for the CSI
Figure 3-6: Deriving Phase Locked Loop Angle
Figure 3-8: Maintain Constant voltage for stabilizing the CSI output20
Figure 3-7: Parameter Setting For PLL Block
Figure 3-10: Representation of PV source injects Is current and P (Active Power) and
Q (Reactive Power)21
Figure 3-9: Simulated System to model single PV equivalent as CSI20
Figure 3-11: Deriving Measured PV Active and Reactive Power Reading Pu Values
24
Figure 3-12: Deriving VSI Voltage Waveform Angle and Magnitude25
Figure 3-13: Driving Voltage Source Inverter Phase voltage values25
Figure 3-14: Modeling Voltage Source Inverter using Direct and Quadratic Voltage
values
Figure 3-15: Deriving Phase Voltages Using Direct and Quadratic Voltage Values.26
Figure 3-16: Solar VSI power, voltage current output waveforms at Steady State
when the reference set point at 0.1 pu
Figure 3-17: Solar VSI power, voltage current output waveforms at Steady State
when the reference set point at 0.5pu29

Figure 3-18: Solar VSI power, voltage current output waveforms at Steady State
when the reference set point at 1 pu
Figure 3-19: Output Active Power Variation of the Detailed Solar PV Model at
0.25referance Point
Figure 3-20: Output Active Power Variation of the Average model at 0.25pu
reference point
Figure 3-21: Output Current Variation of the Detailed Solar PV Model at 0.25pu
reference 32
Figure 3-22: Output Current Variation of the Average model at 0.25pu P_reference
point
Figure 3-23: Output Voltage Variation of the Detailed Solar PV Model at 0.25pu
P_reference point
Figure 3-24: Output Voltage Variation of the Average model at 0.25pu P_reference
point
Figure 3-25: Output Reactive Power Variation of the Detailed Solar PV Model at
0.25pu P_reference point
Figure 3-26: Output Reactive Power Variation of the Average model at 0.25pu
P_reference point
Figure 3-27: Output Active Power Variation for LG faults, of the Detailed Solar PV
Model at 0.25pu Reference
Figure 3-28: Output Active Power Variation for LG faults, of the Average Solar PV
Model at 0.25pu Reference Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3-29: Output Current Power Variation for LG faults, of the Detailed Solar PV
Model at 0.25pu Reference
Figure 3-30: Output Current Power Variation for LG faults, of the, Average model at
0.25pu Reference
Figure 3-31: Output Active Power Variation for LLL faults, of the Detailed Solar PV
Model at 0.25 Reference
Figure 3-32: Output Active Power Variation for LLL faults, of the Average Solar PV
Model at 0.25 Reference
Figure 3-33: Output Current Variation for LLL faults, of the Detailed Solar PV
Model at 0.25 Reference

Figure 3-34: Output Current Variation for LLL faults, of the Average model at 0.25
Reference
Figure 3-35: Overall Schematic with 11kV distribution Feeders
Figure 3-36:33kV Feeder as Source with finite Impedance
Figure 3-37: Cable Model and Cable Interfacing in PSCSD software42
Figure 3-38: Underground cable Configuration
Figure 3-39: Physical Cross section of the simulated tower in Distribution Corridor46
Figure 3-40:11/33kV Transformer Name Plate Data and Parameters for Simulation47
Figure 3-41: Part of the Map of 11kV Network Used for Simulation
Figure 3-42: Table Used to obtain the equivalent impedance of the MV feeder49
Figure 3-43: Existing Over Current Scheme-Relay Setting Parameters51
Figure 3-44: Existing Over Current Characteristic
Figure 3-45: Existing Earth Fault Current Scheme-Relay Setting Parameters53
Figure 3-46: Existing Earth Fault Current Characteristic
Figure 3-47: Schematic of the Selected Configuration Indicated with Fault Locations
Figure 3-48: Over Current Relay Parameters to simulate in PSCAD55
Figure 3-49: Earth Fault Relay Parameters to simulate in PSCAD56
Figure 3-50: Obtaining Harmonic Filtered Phase values similarly as in advanced
Numerical Relays57
Figure 3-51: SR Latch for Stabilizing the Breaker
Figure 4-1: Fault Locations in the general schematic of the Model with solar PV59
Figure 4-2: Schematic of the Ladder Network
Figure 4-3: Schematic of the Simplified Ladder Network
Figure 4-4: Sequence Component Diagram for Unbalanced Fault Analysis69
Figure 4-5: Summery of Existing System Performance for LG Faults at different
locations80
Figure 4-6: Summery of Existing System Performance for Non Earthed Faults at
different locations
Figure 4-7: Summery of Existing System Performance for LG Faults at different
locations with Solar PV penetration increased up to 80%89

Figure 4-8: Summery of Existing System Performance for non Earthed Faults at
different locations with Solar PV penetration increased up to 80%90
Figure 5-0-1: Protection Philosophy for 33kV Feeder protection Setting Parameter
Determination94
Figure 5-0-2: Protection Philosophy for 11/33kV Transformer HV side protection
Setting Parameter Determination
Figure 5-0-3: Protection Philosophy for 11/33kV Transformer LV side protection
Setting Parameter Determination
Figure 5-0-4: Protection Philosophy for 11kV Feeder protection Setting Parameter
Determination95

List of Tables

Table 3–1: Comparison of Fault current contribution of Each Model	27
Table 3–2:33kV Cable Parameters for Modeling	42
Table 3–3: Coaxial Cable Data for Simulation	43
Table 3–4: Cable Interfacing Configuration	44
Table 3–5: Parameters for Simulating Transmission Line	45
Table 3–6: Parameters to simulate Tower Configuration	45
Table 3–7: Ground Wire Configuration Data	46
Table 3–8: Equivalent 11kV feeder Impedance Table	49
Table 3-9: Equivalent Solar PV and Customer Loading for Existing	System
simulation	55
Table 4-1: The Protection Scheme Performance for LG faults at different	nt fault
Locations	61
Table 4-2: Protection Scheme Performance for LLG faults at different	t fault
Locations	62
Table 4-3: Protection Scheme Performance for LL faults at different fault Lo	cations
	64
Table 4-4: Protection Scheme Performance for LLL faults at different	t fault
Locations	65
Table 4-5: Arc Resistance Variation Based on the parameters as per War	rington
formula	66
Table 4-6: Tripping Time Variation Based on the Fault Loop Impedance variation	ation at
different fault Locations	68
Table 4–7: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Rela	ay Trip
Times for 33kV Cable Start	73
Table 4-8: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Rela	ay Trip
Times for 33kV Cable End	74
Table 4-9: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Rela	ay Trip
Times for 11/33kV Transformer Internal Fault	75

Table 4-10: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Relay
Trip Times for Outside Transformer at LV side 77
Table 4-11: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Relay
Trip Times for 11kV Starting Point 78
Table 4-12: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side, PV side and Relay Trij
Times for 11kV Feeder end 79
Table 4-13: The System Equivalent Loading and PV connected when PV penetration
increased.
Table 4-14: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Relay
Trip Times for 33kV Feeder Starting Point 82
Table 4-15: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Relay
Trip Times for 33kV End Point 84
Table 4-16: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Relay
Trip Times for 11/33kV Transformer Internal Fault
Table 4-17: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Relay
Trip Times for outside 11/33kV Transformer LV side Fault
Table 4-18: Fault Current Contribution from the grid side and PV side and Relay
Trip Times for outside 11kV Feeder Starting Point

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation Description

PV Photovoltaic

MV Medium Voltage

IEC International Electro technical Commission

IEEE Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers

kWh Kilo Watt Hour

LECO Lanka Electricity Company (pvt) Ltd

VSI Voltage Source Inverter

CSI Current Source Inverter

FL Fault Level

OC over Current

EF Earth Fault

BCU Trip Circuit Supervision

WECC Eastern Electricity Coordination Council