

**PREDICTION OF CORROSION BEHAVIOUR OF
MILD STEEL IN DIFFERENT SRI LANKAN
ATMOSPHERIC CONDITONS**

Adikari Athukoralalage Mahendra Thilak Adikari

(118023 V)

Degree of Master of Philosophy

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

October 2018

**PREDICTION OF CORROSION BEHAVIOUR OF
MILD STEEL IN DIFFERENT SRI LANKAN
ATMOSPHERIC CONDITONS**

Adikari Athukoralalage Mahendra Thilak Adikari

(118023 V)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree master of philosophy in Materials Engineering

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

October 2018

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Mphil thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor:

Signature of the supervisor: Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to extend my thanks to many people, who generously contributed to the work presented in this thesis.

Special thanks goes to my Supervisor, Prof. R.G.N. De S. Munasinghe, for all the support, advice and feedback gave me in this investigation.

Similarly, profound gratitude goes to Mr. Sarath Jayathilake, who has been a truly dedicated Mentor for his constant faith in my laboratory work, and for his support.

Special mention goes to Dr. Leka Bakmeedeniya, Senior Lecturer, University of Peradeniya, Dr. Chandana Bandara, Lecturer, University of Rajarata, and Ms. Chandra Jayasinghe at Kollupitiya for arranging facilities to setup the test panels.

I would like to extend my thank to management of Industrial Technology Institute for granting me required funds, providing laboratory facilities and transport facilities to complete this project.

Then I would like to thank Dr. Sirimal Premakumara, Director General of Industrial Technology Institute, Dr. Azis M Mubarak, Former Director General, Industrial Technology Institute, Mr. Ananda S. Pannila, Additional Director General, Industrial Technology Institute, for the support given to complete this project.

Then I would like to thank Mr. V.S.C. Weragoda, Head of the Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Mr. V. Sivahar, and all other staff members for their contribution to complete this project.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge friends and family who have given fullest support and encouragement during the project period.

Abstract

Corrosion is defined as the deterioration of materials due to the reaction with its environment. According to the physical nature of environment, corrosion can be categorized as 'corrosion in atmosphere', 'corrosion in water', 'corrosion in sea', 'corrosion in soil' etc. Among them, corrosion of steel in atmosphere is identified as one of most important type of corrosion. Because studies show that corrosion cost of a country may vary between 1 to 5% of their GDP and about one half of that cost is due to atmospheric corrosion.

Corrosion problem cannot be completely eliminated but it is possible to control by methods known as 'corrosion management systems'. Corrosion model is a one of the best tool that can be used for atmospheric corrosion management. Use of a corrosion model as a tool for corrosion prevention is the common practice in many other countries, but using this method is not a popular practice in Sri Lanka due to non availability of such model. Therefore, this project was carried out for the formulation of an atmospheric corrosion model that can be applicable in Sri Lankan atmosphere.

For this purpose, by reviewing internationally published literature a model structure was proposed. Then field exposure programs were conducted to obtain data required for model calibration. The model was calibrated with the obtained data and test has been done for goodness of fit and the model shows considerably acceptable goodness of fit with more than 80% of data are within the $\pm 10\%$ deviation from actual value. Finally, a completely different set of samples were placed in different locations and data gathered were used to find out the validity and forecasting capability of the model. The model shows a good performance in forecasting capability with acceptable deviations.

Keywords: Prediction of Corrosion, Atmospheric Corrosion, Carbon Steel Corrosion

Table of Content

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Declaration of the candidate and supervisor | i |
| Acknowledgement | ii |
| Abstract | iii |
| Table of content | iv |
| List of Figures | vi |
| List of Tables | vii |
| List of Appendices | viii |
| | |
| 1. Introduction | 01 |
| | |
| 2. Literature survey | 04 |
| 2.1 Methods use to identify corrosive atmospheres. | 04 |
| 2.1.1 Environmental classification method. | 04 |
| 2.1.1.1 European standard classification | 04 |
| 2.1.1.2 ISO Classification of atmospheric corrosivity | 05 |
| 2.1.1.3 Environmental severity index | 08 |
| 2.1.2 Corrosion mapping | 10 |
| 2.1.3 Corrosion modeling | 12 |
| 2.2 Review of existing models | 12 |
| | |
| 3. Experimental procedure | 18 |
| 3.1 Field exposure program | 18 |
| 3.1.1 Preparation of corrosion test panel | 19 |
| 3.1.1.1 Preparation of corrosion test specimen | 19 |
| 3.1.2 Measurement of atmospheric variable and corrosion loss | 20 |
| 3.1.2.1 Measurement of sulphur deposition rate | 20 |
| 3.1.2.2 Measurement of chloride deposition rate | 21 |
| 3.1.2.3 Measurement of meteorological parameters | 21 |
| 3.1.2.4 Measurement of corrosion loss (Mass loss) | 21 |
| 3.2 Data calculation | 22 |
| 3.3 Development of corrosion model | 22 |
| 3.3.1 Development of model structure | 22 |
| 3.3.2 Calibration of model | 24 |
| 3.3.3 Validation of model | 25 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 4. Results and discussion | 26 |
| 4.1 Atmospheric data | 26 |
| 4.1.1 Variation of average Relative humidity | 27 |
| 4.1.2 Variation of average Temperature | 28 |
| 4.1.3 Variation of Rain fall | 29 |
| 4.1.4 Variation of Chloride deposition rate | 30 |
| 4.1.5 Variation of Sulphure deposition rate | 31 |
| 4.1.6 Corrosion loss in exposure locations (mass Loss) | 32 |
| 4.2 Data processing for corrosion model | 33 |
| 4.3 Corrosion model | 34 |
| 4.3.1 Model performance analysys | 35 |
| 4.3.2 Model validation | 38 |
| 5. Conclusions | 41 |
| Reference list | 43 |
| Appendix A ISO 9226 Method for test specimen preparation | 46 |
| Appendix B ISO 9225 Sulphur deposition rate measurement method | 49 |
| Appendix C ISO 9225 Chloride deposition rate measurement method | 53 |
| Appendix D ISO 9225 Mass loss measurement of specimen | 56 |
| Appendix E Excel Macro program for Iteration | 59 |

| LIST OF FIGURES | PAGE | |
|------------------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 | The CDA algorithm for determining the corrosion severity | 9 |
| Figure 2.2 | Corrosion map of Japan | 10 |
| Figure 2.3 | Corrosion map of Mexico | 11 |
| Figure 2.4 | Corrosion map of India | 11 |
| Figure 3.1 | Locations of field exposure program conducted. | 18 |
| Figure 3.2 | Field exposure racks in different locations | 19 |
| Figure 3.3 | fixing of test specimen to panel | 19 |
| Figure 3.4 | Passive sampler for SO _x deposition | 20 |
| Figure 3.5 | Wet candles for Cl ⁻ Deposition | 20 |
| Figure 4.6 | Percentage deviation from actual value (Model 1) | 36 |
| Figure 4.7 | Percentage deviation from actual value (Model 1) | 36 |
| Figure 4.8 | Comparison of actual mass loss with forecasted mass loss in Colombo | 37 |
| Figure 4.9 | Comparison of actual mass loss with forecasted mass loss in Anuradhapura | 37 |
| Figure 4.10 | Comparison of actual mass loss with forecasted mass loss in Kollupitiya | 38 |
| Figure 4.11 | Comparison of actual mass loss with forecasted mass loss in Peradeniya | 38 |

| LIST OF TABLES | PAGE | |
|-----------------------|--|----|
| Table 2.1 | ISO Classification of corrosion rate | 6 |
| Table 2.2 | ISO Classification of sulfur dioxide and chloride | 6 |
| Table 2.3 | ISO Classification of time of wetness | 6 |
| Table 2.4 | ISO Classification of corrosivity and pollutions levels | 7 |
| Table 2.5 | Relevant ISO standard for atmospheric corrosion | 8 |
| Table 2.6 | Values of n and k | 16 |
| Table:3.1 | Chemical composition of the materials | 20 |
| Table 3.2 | Test method | 20 |
| Table 4.1 | Exposure program details | 26 |
| Table 4.2 | Average relative humidity (%) in testing locations | 27 |
| Table 4.3 | Average temperature (⁰ C) in exposure locations | 28 |
| Table 4.4 | Rain fall (mm) in exposure locations | 29 |
| Table 4.5 | Chloride deposition rate (g/m ² .day) in exposure locations | 30 |
| Table 4.6 | Sulphur deposition rate in exposure locations | 31 |
| Table 4.7 | Corrosion loss (mass loss) data | 32 |
| Table 4.8 | Summary of field exposure program data | 33 |
| Table 4.9 | Comparison of Forecasted mass Loss with Actual mass Loss | 38 |
| Table 4.10 | Comparison of model's results with ISO classification system | 39 |
| Table 4.11 | Relative importance of atmospheric variables | 40 |

| LIST OF APPENDICES | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| Appendix A ISO 9226 Method for test specimen preparation | 46 |
| Appendix B ISO 9225 Sulphur deposition rate measurement method | 49 |
| Appendix C ISO 9225 Chloride deposition rate measurement method | 53 |
| Appendix D ISO 9225 Mass loss measurement of specimen | 56 |