18/00/1/150/2018 DMT 03/10

REINFORCEMENT OF CARBOXYLATED NITRILE RUBBER LATEX FILMS BY SURFACE MODIFIED NANOSILICA



Ramasinghege Lumbini Paramitha Ramasinghe

(168026E)

714 3701 4 CD ROP

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science by Research

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka



620-16"

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any

other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another

person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to

reproduce and distribute my thesis in whole or in part in print, electronic or other

medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or in part in the future work

(such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my

supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

Name of the Supervisor: Dr. (Mrs.) N.M.V.K. Liyanage

i

Abstract

Carboxylated acrylonitrile butadiene rubber (XNBR) is synthetic elastomer which inherent number of physical and chemical properties such as comparable barrier protection, good puncture and chemical resistance and high durability under storage.

Although that there is a problem associated with synthetic elastomers that they are typically not self-reinforcing elastomers. Therefore, reinforcing fillers are incorporated to improve the properties of the compounds.

Silica is extensively used for latex products. Nanofillers can impart more advanced properties to the final nanocomposite than micro fillers. Surface modification has been introduced to avoid the incompatibility between inorganic filler silica and organic XNBR matrix.

Role of surface modifiers in this study play dual role, as a capping agent: to control the size of nanoparticles & as a coupling agent: to develop compatibility between rubber and filler. Synthetic surface modifiers i.e. Polymethacrylic acid & Polymethacrylic acid ethyl hexyl acrylate and natural surface modifiers i.e. cellulose, collagen, chitosan & gelatin were used in this study.

The FTIR analysis confirm that the surface of nanosilica particles has been successfully modified with acrylic polymers, forming ester bonds between carboxylic groups of acrylic polymers and surface silanol groups of nanosilica. TGA confirms the successful surface modification resulting lower weight loss; indicating small number of free surface silanols groups are present on the silica surface. XRD analysis revealed the amorphous nature of unmodified and all modified nanosilica particles. SEM results help to monitor the particle shape, size and agglomerations of synthesized particles.

Evaluation of XNBR vulcanizate properties of micro silica, unmodified nanosilica, acrylic polymer modified nanosilica and natural polymer modified nanosilica filled vulcanizates was carried out. The results show that addition of small quantities of nanosilica causes an increase of mechanical properties of XNBR vulcanizates, while high filler loading of nanosilica appear to decrease the mechanical properties due to the aggregation of nanosilica particles. 2% PMAA and 2% cellulose modified nanosilica filled vulcanizates show balance strength with stretch & comfort properties for the glove manufacturing.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATIO	ONi
Abstract	ii
TABLE OF CO	ONTENTiii
LIST OF FIGU	JRESvii
LIST OF TAB	LESxii
LIST OF ABB	REVIATIONSxiv
ACKNOWLE	DGEMENTxvi
1 INTRODU	CTION
2 LITERATU	JRE REVIEW4
2.1 XNBR.	4
2.2 Fillers .	4
2.2.1 Fill	er characteristics
2.2.1.1	Cost
2.2.1.2	Chemical composition
2.2.1.3	Specific gravity5
2.2.1.4	Hardness 6
2.2.1.5	Thermal properties
2.2.1.6	Optical properties
2.2.1.7	Morphology6
2.2.1.8	Particle size
2.2.1.9	Particle shape
2.2.2 Typ	pes of fillers9
2.2.2.1	Filler classification
2.2.2.2	Silica
2.2.2.3	Surface chemistry of silica
2.2.3 Nar	no fillers
2.2.4 Sol	-gel process
2.2.4.1	Theory for sol-gel process of silicates
2.2.4.2	Theory for synthesis of nanosilica
2.3 Nanoco	omposite

2.4 Filler surface modification	19
2.4.1 Role of surface modifiers in present investigation	20
2.4.2 Types of surface modifiers	22
2.4.2.1 Synthetic polymers	22
2.4.2.2 NPs	23
2.4.2.2.1 Protein-origin polymers	23
2.4.2.2.2 Polysaccharide polymers	24
2.4.3 Methods for silica surface modification	25
2.5 Previous activities of synthesis of silica particles using metal alkoxide	es 26
2.6 Synthesis of silica particles using sodium metasilicate	27
2.7 Reinforcement of latex by organic/inorganic fillers	28
3 EXPERIMENTAL	32
3.1 Materials	32
3.2 Methodology	32
3.2.1 Characterization of latex	32
3.2.1.1 pH of latex	32
3.2.1.2 Dry rubber content of latex	32
3.3 Synthesis of polymers	33
3.4 Synthesis of surface modified nanosilica particles	34
3.4.1 Trial-01	37
3.4.2 Trial-02	37
3.4.3 Trial-03	38
3.4.4 Trial-04	39
3.4.5 Trial-05	39
3.4.6 Trial-06	39
3.5 Characterization of synthesized unmodified and acrylic polymer	
nanosilica	
3.5.1 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy	
3.5.2 Thermogravimetry analysis (TGA)	
3.5.3 Powder X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD)	
3.5.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	43
3.6 Pranaration of cilica disparsions	13

3.6.1 Preparation of dispersions of unmodified and acrylic polymer modified nanosilica
3.6.2 Preparation of dispersions of nanosilica modified with acrylic and NPs 4
3.6.3 Preparation of dispersions of micro silica modified with NPs4
3.7 Characterization of NPs modified commercial nanosilica dispersions4
3.8 Preparation of dispersions of compounding ingredients
3.9 Latex compounding, film casting, drying and vulcanization
3.10 Evaluation of vulcanizate properties4
3.10.1 Measurement of physical properties of vulcanized latex films4
3.10.2 Swelling properties of vulcanized latex films
3.10.3 Morphology of vulcanized latex films
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION5
4.1 Surface modification of nanosilica particles using acrylic surface modifiers 5
4.1.1 Yield extracted from synthesis of surface modified nanosilica particles 5
4.1.2 Characteristics of synthesized unmodified and acrylic polymer modified nanosilica
4.1.2.1 Results of FTIR analysis of unmodified and acrylic polymodified nanosilica
4.1.2.2 Results of TGA of unmodified and acrylic polymer modified nanosilica 56
4.1.2.3 Results of XRD analysis of unmodified and acrylic polymodified nanosilica
4.1.2.4 Morphology and particle size distribution of unmodified and acryl polymer modified nanosilica
4.1.3 Properties of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with acrylic polymomodified nanosilica
4.1.3.1 Results of FTIR analysis of XNBR latex vulcanizates
4.1.3.2 Results of physical properties of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled wir acrylic polymer modified nanosilica
4.1.3.3 Swelling properties
4.1.4 Characterization of unmodified and NPs modified nanosilica9
4.1.4.1 Surface modification of silica particles using NPs as surface modifiers 97
4.1.4.2 Results of FTIR analysis of NPs modified nanosilica
4.1.4.3 Results of TGA of NPs modified nanosilica

•	•			vulcanizates		
				rties of XNBR		
4.1.5.2	Swelling p	roperties	s		 	 111
4.1.5.3	Morpholog	gy			 	 116
CONCLUSION	NS			•••••	 	 118
RECOMMENI	DATIONS I	FOR FU	ΓURE	WORKS	 	 119
REFERENCES	S				 	 120

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Monomers of XNBR
Figure 2.2: Characteristic sizes of fillers (a) Primary particles (b) Aggregates (c)
Agglomerates
Figure 2.3: Particle sizes of different types of fillers (C. Brinker, 1988)
Figure 2.4: Idealized shapes of typical fillers (Rothon, 2002)
Figure 2.5: Classification of fillers based on particle size (Skelhorn, 2003)
Figure 2.6: Classification of fillers based on origin (Skelhorn, 2003)10
Figure 2.7: Types of hydroxyl species on silica surface (C. J. Brinker & Scherer,
2013)
Figure 2.8: Polymerization behavior of aqueous silica (C. J. Brinker, 1994)15
Figure 2.9: Schematic representation of the interphase in a polymer nanocomposite
(Rallini & Kenny, 2017)
Figure 2.10: The two main types of filler surface modifiers (Rothon, 2002)
Figure 2.11: Structure of (a) PMAA homopolymer and (b) P(MAA-EHA) copolymer
23
Figure 2.12: Structure of gelatin
Figure 2.13: Structure of cellulose
Figure 2.14: Structure of chitosan
Figure 3.1: Diagram of polymerization setup
Figure 3.2: Hydrolysis reaction of sodium metasilicate
Figure 3.3: Reaction Scheme of anchored surface modifier on nanosilica particles . 35
Figure 3.4: Schematic diagram of Trial-01
Figure 3.5: Schematic diagram of Trial-02
Figure 3.6: Schematic diagram of Trial-03
Figure 3.7: Photograph of a dispersion of nanosilica particles
Figure 3.8: Extraction of PMAA modified nanosilica particles (a) MNS_{M2} in n-
butanol and MNS_{M2} aqueous dispersion, (b) n-butanol solvent layer and UMNS in
water, (c) n-butanol solvent layer and PMAA aqueous solution
Figure 3.9: Extraction of P(MAA-EHA) copolymer modified nanosilica particles (a)
$MNS_{M/E1.5}$ in tetrahydrofuran and $MNS_{M/E1.5}$ aqueous dispersion, (b) tetrahydrofuran

solvent layer and UMNS in water, (c) tetrahydrofuran solvent layer and P(MAA-
EHA) copolymer aqueous solution
Figure 3.10: Latex film casting Figure 3.11: Latex film air drying 48
Figure 3.12: Tensile test samples
Figure 3.13: Tear resistance test sample
Figure 4.1: FTIR spectra of UMNS, PMAA homopolymer and PMAA modified
nanosilica at different concentrations of PMAA
Figure 4.2: FTIR spectra of UMNS, PMAA -EHA copolymer and P(MAA-EHA)
modified nanosilica
Figure 4.3: (a) TGA curves of UMNS, VN_3 , MNS_{M1} , $MNS_{M1.5}$, MNS_{M2} , $MNS_{M2.5}$
and PMAA homopolymer, (b) Enlarged version of (a) except PMAA curve 58
Figure 4.4: (a) TGA curves of UMNS, VN_3 , $MNS_{M/E1}$, $MNS_{M/E1.5}$, $MNS_{M/E2}$ and
P(MAA-EHA), (b) Enlarged version of (a) except P(MAA-EHA) curve
Figure 4.5: Variation of extracted yield (%) and weight loss (%) with level of surface
modifier PMAA homopolymer
Figure 4.6: Variation of extracted yield (%) and weight loss (%) with level of surface
modifier P(MAA-EHA)
Figure 4.7: XRD of UMNS
Figure 4.8: XRD of PMAA polymer
Figure 4.9: XRD of MNS _{M2}
Figure 4.10: XRD of MNS _{M/E1.5}
Figure 4.11: SEM of micro silica
Figure 4.12: SEM of UMNS
Figure 4.13: SEM of MNS _{M1} Figure 4.14: SEM of MNS _{M1.5}
Figure 4.15: SEM of MNS _{M2.5} Figure 4.16: SEM of MNS _{M2.5}
Figure 4.17: SEM of MNS _{M/E1} Figure 4.18: SEM of MNS _{M/E1.5}
Figure 4.19: SEM of MNS _{M/E2}
Figure 4.20: Particle size distribution of (a) UMNS, (b) MNS_{M1} , (c) $MNS_{M1.5}$, (d)
MNS _{M2} and (e) MNS _{M2.5}
Figure 4.21: Variation of average particle size with level of surface modifier PMAA
73

Figure 4.22: Particle size distributions of (a) $MNS_{M/E1}$, (b) $MNS_{M/E1.5}$ and (c)
$MNS_{M/E2}$
Figure 4.23: Variation of average particle size with level of surface modifier
P(MAA-EHA)75
Figure 4.24: FTIR spectrum of unfilled XNBR latex vulcanizate
Figure 4.25: FTIR spectrum of UMNS filled XNBR latex vulcanizate
Figure 4.26: F/F interactions
Figure 4.27: F/R interactions
Figure 4.28: F/M interactions
Figure 4.29: M/R interactions 80
Figure 4.30: R/V interactions 81
Figure 4.31: M/V interactions 81
Figure 4.32: Bound rubber model [Mihara, 2009]
Figure 4.33: Variation of TS of XNBR latex vulcanizates with MNS_{M2} and
MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.34: Variation of EB of XNBR latex vulcanizates with MNS_{M2} and
MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.35: Variation of M300 of XNBR latex vulcanizates with MNS_{M2} and
MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.36: Variation of TRS of XNBR latex vulcanizates with MNS_{M2} and
MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.37: Variation of TS of XNBR latex vulcanizates with $*MNS_{M2}$ and
*MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.38: Variation of EB of XNBR latex vulcanizates with $*MNS_{M2}$ and
*MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.39: Variation of M300 of XNBR latex vulcanizates with $*MNS_{M2}$ and
*MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.40: Variation of TRS of XNBR latex vulcanizates with *MNS _{M2} and
*MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading90
Figure 4.41: Variation of Swelling (%) of XNBR latex vulcanizates with MNS _{M2} and
MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading94

Figure 4.42: Variation of Crosslink density of XNBR latex vulcanizates with MNS _{M2}
and MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.43: Variation of Rubber filler interactions of XNBR latex vulcanizates with
MNS_{M2} and $MNS_{M/E1.5}$ at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.44: Variation of Swelling (%) of XNBR latex vulcanizates with $*MNS_{M2}$
and *MNS _{M/E1.5} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.45: Variation of Crosslink density of XNBR latex vulcanizates with
* MNS_{M2} and * $MNS_{M/E1.5}$ at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.46: Variation of Rubber filler interactions of XNBR latex vulcanizates with
*MNS $_{\rm M2}$ and *MNS $_{\rm M/E1.5}$ at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.47: FTIR spectra of UMNS, *MNS _{CE2} and CE
Figure 4.48: FTIR spectra of UMNS, *MNS _{CO2} and CO
Figure 4.49: FTIR spectra of UMNS, *MNS _{CHO2} and CHO
Figure 4.50: FTIR spectra of UMNS, *MNS _{GE2} and GE
Figure 4.51: TGA of *UMNS, *MNS _{CE2} and CE
Figure 4.52: TGA of *UMNS, *MNS _{CO2} and CO
Figure 4.53: TGA of *UMNS, *MNS _{CHO2} and CHO
Figure 4.54: TGA of *UMNS and *MNS _{GE2}
Figure 4.55: Variation of TS of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with VN_3 , MMS_{CE2} ,
MMS_{CO2} , MMS_{CHO2} and MMS_{GE2} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.56: Variation of TS of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with *UMNS, VN ₃ ,
*MNS $_{CE2}$, *MNS $_{CO2}$, *MNS $_{CHO2}$ and *MNS $_{GE2}$ at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.57: Variation of EB of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with VN_3 , MMS_{CE2}
MMS _{CO2} , MMS _{CHO2} and MMS _{GE2} at different levels of filler loading106
Figure 4.58: Variation of EB of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with *UMNS, VN ₃ ,
*MNS $_{CE2}$, *MNS $_{CO2}$, *MNS $_{CHO2}$ and *MNS $_{GE2}$ at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.59: Variation of M300 of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with VN ₃ ,
MMS_{CE2} , MMS_{CO2} , MMS_{CHO2} and MMS_{GE2} at different levels of filler loading 108

Figure 4.60: Variation of M300 of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with *UMNS,
VN_3 , *MNS _{CE2} , *MNS _{CO2} , *MNS _{CHO2} and *MNS _{GE2} at different levels of filler
loading
Figure 4.61: Variation of TRS of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with VN ₃ ,
MMS_{CE2} , MMS_{CO2} , MMS_{CHO2} and MMS_{GE2} at different levels of filler loading 110
Figure 4.62: Variation of TRS of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with *UMNS, VN ₃ ,
*MNS $_{CE2}$, *MNS $_{CO2}$, *MNS $_{CHO2}$ and *MNS $_{GE2}$ at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.63: Variation of swelling (%) of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with VN ₃ ,
$MMS_{CE2},MMS_{CO2},MMS_{CHO2}$ and MMS_{GE2} at different levels of filler loading 111
Figure 4.64: Variation of swelling (%) of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with
*UMNS, VN ₃ ,*MNS _{CE2} , *MNS _{CO2} , *MNS _{CHO2} and *MNS _{GE2} at different levels of
filler loading
Figure 4.65: Variation of crosslink density of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with
VN_3 , MMS_{CE2} , MMS_{CO2} , MMS_{CHO2} and MMS_{GE2} at different levels of filler loading
Figure 4.66: Variation of crosslink density of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with
*UMNS, VN ₃ , *MNS _{CE2} , *MNS _{CO2} , *MNS _{CHO2} and *MNS _{GE2} at different levels of
filler loading
Figure 4.67: Variation of Qf/Qg of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with VN ₃ ,
MMS_{CE2} , MMS_{CO2} , MMS_{CHO2} and MMS_{GE2} at different levels of filler loading 115
Figure 4.68: Variation of Qf/Qg of XNBR latex vulcanizates filled with *UMNS,
VN ₃ , *MNS _{CE2} , *MNS _{CO2} , *MNS _{CHO2} and *MNS _{GE2} at different levels of filler
loading

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: A comparison of the pre-coating and in situ methods of filler treatment
(Rothon, 2002)
Table 3.1: Details of identification codes of silica samples
Table 3.2: Formulation used for the preparation of 15 % unmodified and acrylic
polymer modified nanosilica dispersions
Table 3.3: Formulation used for 15% acrylic polymers modified silica dispersions . 44
Table 3.4: Formulation used for 15% NPs modified silica dispersions
Table 3.5: Formulation used for preparation of 33 % (w/w) ZnO dispersion 46
Table 3.6: Formulation used for preparation of 25 % (w/w) ZDC dispersion 46
Table 3.7: Formulation for XNBR latex compounding
Table 3.8: Operating conditions for tensile testing
Table 3.9: Operating conditions for tear resistance testing
Table 4.1: Extracted yield (%) of PMAA modified nanosilica
Table 4.2: Extracted yield (%) of P(MAA-EHA) modified nanosilica
Table 4.3: Characteristic group frequencies of silica
Table 4.4: Weight loss % of UMNS, VN ₃ and PMAA modified nanosilica at
different levels of modification
Table 4.5: Degradation temperatures of PMAA and PMAA modified nanosilica at
different levels of modification
Table 4.6: Weight loss (%) UMNS, VN ₃ and P(MAA-EHA) modified nanosilica at
different levels of modification
Table 4.7: Degradation temperatures of P(MAA-EHA) and P(MAA-EHA) modified
nanosilica at different levels of modification
Table 4.8: Variation of weight loss (%) and yield (%) with PMAA level of
modification of nanosilica
Table 4.9: Variation of weight loss (%) and extracted yield (%) with P(MAA-EHA)
level of modification of nanosilica
Table 4.10: Variation of weight loss (%), extracted yield (%) and average particle
size with PMAA level of modification of nanosilica

Table 4.11: Variation of weight loss (%), extracted yield (%) and average particle
size with P(MAA-EHA) level of modification of nanosilica
Table 4.12: Characteristic Group Frequencies of the unfilled XNBR latex vulcanizate
(Ain & Azura, 2011)
Table 4.13: Weight loss (%) less than 150° C of UMNS and natural polymer
modified nanosilica
Table 4.14: Increase in TS of vulcanizates filled with surface modified nanosilica
over equivalent vulcanizates filled with surface modified micro silica105
Table 4.15: Increase in EB of vulcanizates filled with surface modified nanosilica
over equivalent vulcanizates filled with surface modified micro silica107
Table 4.16: Increase in M300 of vulcanizates filled with surface modified nanosilica
over equivalent vulcanizates filled with surface modified micro silica109
Table 4.17: Increase in TRS of vulcanizates filled with surface modified nanosilica
over equivalent vulcanizates filled with surface modified micro silica111

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

XNBR Carboxylated acrylonitrile butadiene rubber

PMAA Polymethacrylic acid homopolymer

P(MAA-EHA) Polymethacrylic acid ethylhexyl acrylate copolymer

PEG Poly ethylene glycol

ZDEC Zinc diethyldithiocarbamate

KOH Potassium hydroxide

MAA Methacrylic acid

FTIR Fourier transform infrared

TGA Thermogravimetric analysis

SEM Scanning electron microscopy

ZnO Zinc oxide

SP Styrenated phenol

UMNS Unmodified nanosilica
VN₃ Ball milled micro silica

 $\begin{array}{ll} MNS_{M1} & 1\% \ Polymethacrylic \ acid \ modified \ nanosilica \\ MNS_{M1.5} & 1.5\% \ Polymethacrylic \ acid \ modified \ nanosilica \\ MNS_{M2} & 2\% \ Polymethacrylic \ acid \ modified \ nanosilica \\ \end{array}$

MNS_{M2.5} 2.5% Polymethacrylic acid modified nanosilica

MNS_{M/E1} 1% Polymethacrylic acid ethylhexyl acrylate modified

nanosilica

MNS_{M/E1.5} 1.5%Polymethacrylic acid ethylhexyl acrylate modified

nanosilica

MNS_{M/E2} 2% Polymethacrylic acid ethylhexyl acrylate modified

nanosilica

*MNS_{M2} 2% Polymethacrylic acid modified commercial

nanosilica

*MNS_{M/E1.5} 1.5% Polymethacrylic acid ethylhexyl acrylate modified

commercial nanosilica

CE Cellulose

CO Collagen
CHO Chitosan
GE Gelatin

*MNS_{CE2} 2% cellulose modified nanosilica *MNS_{CO2} 2% collagen modified nanosilica *MNS_{CHO2} 2% chitosan modified nanosilica *MNS_{GE2} 2% gelatin modified nanosilica 2% cellulose modified microsilica MMS_{CE2} MMS_{CO2} 2% collagen modified microsilica 2% chitosan modified microsilica MMS_{CHO2} 2% gelatin modified microsilica MMS_{GE2}

TS Tensile strength

EB (%) Elongation at break (%)

M300 Modulus at 300% elongation

TRS Tear strength

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Kalyani Liyanage for the useful comments, remarks and guidance through the learning process of this master thesis. Furthermore, I would like to thank the research committee, Drs. Shantha Amarasinghe and Shantha M. Egodage for reviewing the research as well for the support on the way. Also, I greatly appreciate the assistance that I received from the staff of Department of Materials Science and Engineering. This includes Mr. M.A.P.C. Gunawardhana, Mr. R.R.P. Perera, and Mr. M.T.M.R. Jayaweera. Further, I would like to thank Departments of Civil Engineering and Chemical and Process Engineering at University of Moratuwa. Financial support by SRC/LT/2016/05 university research fund is greatly acknowledged.

I would like to thank my family and my loved ones, who have supported me throughout the entire process, both by keeping me harmonious and helping me putting pieces together. My warm hearted thanks to my friends Bhagya Gannoruwa and Nuwan Pannilawithana for their endless support and encouragement to achieve this goal.

Ramasinghe R.L.P.