

EARLY AGE CRACKS IN CONCRETE WALLS

D. S. Hettiarachchi

(138735A)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2017

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works.

Signature

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision

Signature of the Supervisor

Date

Abstract

Construction of a concrete structure requires a large volume of concrete. Due to the small surface area-to-volume ratio, concrete structures are often subjected to high potential of thermal cracking, caused by the heat generation from cement hydration. To reduce the thermal cracking and ensure structural integrity, a good understanding about the crack patterns in concrete elements is required. These kinds of cracks mostly occur during the early age state of concrete. Since Sri Lanka is very near to the equator, the probability of early age crack occurring is even higher.

The purpose of this research is to explore the potential early age crack patterns in vertical concrete walls. Main reason for the early age cracking in vertical walls are shrinkage and thermal contraction. This research focuses on the understanding of early age thermal cracking in concrete and developing a simple method to model this phenomenal computationally. Series of boundary conditions were modelled to obtain stress distributions using walls 3m high and 4m & 8m long. Boundary conditions were imposed according to guidelines in BS8007 and wall thickness maintained as 300mm during the analysis. All the analysis was carried out using FEM commercial software Sap 2000 (V19.1). Two approaches were followed initially to identify the best method to represent the restraint conditions as per BS8007. End restraints reduced by using roller supports up to a 2.4m distance from the free edge of the wall with gradually increasing applied horizontal forces proved to be the better technique than that of using reduced E-values.

The case studies yielded the following general findings that agree with the literature and field observations;

- (i) 4m walls can have possible vertical and horizontal cracks.
- (ii) 8m walls can have possible cracks approximately 2.4m away from the free edges with an inclination of approximately 45°-60°.
- (iii) 8m walls get the highest stress close to 2.4m from the free edges whereas the 4m walls get the highest stress at the centre.
- (iv) In 8m walls higher stresses are distributed over a central length whereas in 4m walls the higher stress is concentrated at the centre.
- (v) 4m wall with top movement can cause possible inclined cracks.
- (vi) 8m wall with top movement can lead to two possible dominant cracks and two minor cracks.

This validation was done qualitatively using the literature and on-site observations.

Key Words: Early age cracking, concrete, walls, thermal cracking

Acknowledgement

I would first like to express my greatest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Priyan Dias of the Department of Civil Engineering at University of Moratuwa. The door to Prof. Dias's office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. He consistently allowed this paper to be my own work, but steered me in the right the direction whenever he thought I needed it.

I would also like to thank the Eng. Shiromal Fernando, who has been my superior and my mentor from the first day of my career. Without his guidance and encouragement, completing this work would have been impossible.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my wife for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

Table of Contents

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Abbreviations	x
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Aim & Scope	1
1.2 Methodology	3
2 Review of Literature and Practice	4
2.1 Literature Review	4
2.1.1 Early Age Concrete	5
2.1.2 Early Age Cracking	7
2.1.3 Shrinkage	8
2.1.4 Temperature Variations Due to Hydration	9
2.1.5 Code Provisions	12
2.2 Review of Practice	13
3 Approach to Modelling	15
3.1 Initial Modelling	15
3.1.1 Technique 1 – Reduced E Value in the Bottom Cells	16
3.1.2 Technique 2 – End Rollers with Applied Forces	17
3.1.3 Selecting the Best Method and Refinement	19
3.2 Determining the Variation of End Roller Forces for Walls with $L \leq 4.8\text{m}$	22
3.3 Imposition of Overall Lateral Displacement Along Top Edge due to Temperature Movement	24
4 Results of Case Studies	26
4.1 8m Wall with Base Restraint	26
4.2 8m Wall with Top and Bottom Restraint	28
4.3 8m Wall with Top and Bottom Restraint with Movement at Top	30
4.4 4m Wall with Base Restraint	32
4.5 4m Wall with Top and Bottom Restraint	34

4.6	4m Wall with Top and Bottom Restraint with Movement at Top	36
4.7	Summary and Discussion of Results	38
5	Conclusions & Recommendations	39
	References	40

List of Figures

Figure 01: Observed early age cracks in local project Colombo	2
Figure 02: Flow diagram (methodology)	3
Figure 03: Concrete behaviour during progressing hydration of cement (Schindler, 2002)	5
Figure 04: Study areas	7
Figure 05: Moisture content, and shrinkage stress development with time in an externally-restrained concrete wall (Knoppik, 2015)	8
Figure 06: Chemical shrinkage cracks in concrete. (Extracted from Google images)	9
Figure 07: Temperature and thermal stress development in time in an externally-restrained concrete wall (Knoppik, 2015)	10
Figure 08: Typical cracking patterns in early-age reinforced concrete walls (Klemczak, et al, 2011)	11
Figure 09: Cracking pattern in an externally-restrained reinforced concrete element (Flaga, Furtak, 2009)	12
Figure 10: Various restraints (extracted from BS 8007: 1987)	12
Figure 11: Vertical crack observed (Project in Colombo)	13
Figure 12: Diagonal crack observed (Project in Colombo)	14
Figure 13: Schematic diagram of diagonal cracking in edge walls	14
Figure 14: 8m wall with base restraint – bottom fully pinned	15
Figure 15: Reduced E values in bottom most cells (in horizontal direction)	16
Figure 16a: Deflected shape – technique 1	16
Figure 16b: Maximum stress contour – technique 1	16
Figure 16c: Principal stresses – technique 1	17
Figure 16d: Reactions (left half) – technique 1	17
Figure 17a: Deflected shape – technique 2	18
Figure 17b: Maximum stress contour – technique 2	18
Figure 17c: Principal stresses – technique 2	19
Figure 17d: Reactions (left half) – technique 2	19

Figure 18a: Deflected shape – 8m wall with base restraint	21
Figure 18b: Maximum stress contour – 8m wall with base restraint	21
Figure 18c: Principal stresses – 8m wall with base restraint	22
Figure 18d: Reactions (left half) – 8m wall with base restraint	22
Figure 19a: End roller forces/ Force per meter vs wall length	23
Figure 19b: Total force vs wall length	23
Figure 20: Principal Stresses – 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	24
Figure 21a: Deflected Shape - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – reduced top movement	25
Figure 21b: Maximum Stress Contour - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – reduced top movement	25
Figure 21c: Principal Stresses - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – reduced top movement	25
Figure 22a: Deflected Shape - 8m wall with bottom restraint	26
Figure 22b: Maximum Stress Contour - 8m wall with bottom restraint	27
Figure 22c: Principal Stresses - 8m wall with bottom restraint	27
Figure 22d: Observed possible crack pattern - 8m wall with bottom restraint	27
Figure 23a: Deflected Shape - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints	29
Figure 23b: Maximum Stress Contour - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints	29
Figure 23c: Principal Stresses - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints	29
Figure 23d: Observed possible crack pattern - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints	30
Figure 24a: Deflected Shape - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	30
Figure 24b: Maximum Stress Contour - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	31

Figure 24c: Principal Stresses - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	31
Figure 24d: Observed possible crack pattern - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	31
Figure 25a: Deflected Shape - 4m wall with bottom restraint	32
Figure 25b: Maximum Stress Contour - 4m wall with bottom restraint	33
Figure 25c: Principal Stresses - 4m wall with bottom restraint	33
Figure 25d: Observed possible crack pattern - 4m wall with bottom restraint	33
Figure 26a: Deflected Shape - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints	34
Figure 26b: Maximum Stress Contour - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints	34
Figure 26c: Principal Stresses - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints	35
Figure 26d: Observed possible crack pattern - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints	35
Figure 27a: Deflected Shape - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	36
Figure 27b: Maximum Stress Contour - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	36
Figure 27c: Principal Stresses - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	37
Figure 27d: Observed possible crack pattern - 4m wall with top and bottom restraints – top movement	37

List of Tables

Table 01: Types of cracks and their stages (Klemczak et al, 2011)	04
Table 02: Nodal Forces	18
Table 03: Comparison of two Techniques	19
Table 04: Nodal Forces - 8m wall with base restraints – 1st Iteration	20
Table 05: Nodal Forces - 8m wall with base restraints – 2nd Iteration	21
Table 06: Variation of end roller forces	22
Table 07: Predicted end roller forces for walls <4.8m	23
Table 08: Nodal Forces - 8m wall with base restraints – 2nd Iteration	26
Table 09: Nodal Forces Bottom - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – 2nd Iteration	28
Table 10: Nodal Forces Top - 8m wall with top and bottom restraints – 2nd Iteration	28
Table 11: Nodal Forces - 4m wall with base restraint	32
Table 12: Summary of case study results	38

List of Abbreviations

ASTM

American Society for Testing and Materials

RH

Relative Humidity