55 01 99 18/00N/86/2014

LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA MORATUWA

DEVELOPMENT OF IT HELP DESK TOOL FOR CENTRALIZED PROCESS FOR SRI LANKA TELECOM PLC, IT GROUP

H.B.M. Palipana Registration No: Pgit09044 Index No: 108568K

Supervisor: Mr Saminda Premarathne June 2013

"This dissertation is Submitted in partial fulfillment of the Requirement of the Degree of MSc in Information Technology

the University of Moratuwa"

of

004 "13" 004 (043)

107128

University of Moratuwa 107128

107128

Declaration

"I certify that this dissertation does not incorporate, without acknowledgement, any material previously submitted for a degree or Diploma in any University and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also give my consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organizations"

Signatura Stolemento 16/09/0017

Abstract

Sri Lanka Telecom PLC (SLT) is the pioneer Communication provider in Sri Lanka. To manage the best services to customers of SLT, it deployed mask scale Information Technology (IT) systems which maintained by IT group of the organization. Over Seven Thousand employers are using these systems and they are the internal customers or users of the IT group. SLT recently decided to improve these systems and the system maintenance to minimize outage time to users by implementing Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL), ISO 27000, ISO 9001 etc standard to improve efficiency, effectiveness and information security.

It was found that the IT service desk is not centralized within the IT group and also found there is no proper tool is being used by the scattered help desk division wise. Base on that I started finding weaknesses in each area and discussed with each level of the staff from Chief Information Officer (CIO) of SLT. I really appreciate the support given from those staffs. And it was help full me to follow some audit findings under ITIL frame work.

By Studying the Service desk and Help desks process followed under each desk and the Incident Management, Service request, Problem Management, Change Management followed by each divisions of IT group of SLT. The Maturity Assessment of current service desk process, and rest of the functionalities was done and it was tabulated for each area. By identifying the weakness tabulated and proposed the solutions for each weakness together with Service levels and Responsibilities of each level.

Contents

| | Page No |
|---|---------|
| Chapter 1 - Introduction | 01 |
| 1.1 Back Ground | 01 |
| 1.2 IT Group Objectives and Weaknesses | 01 |
| 1.3 Summary | 02 |
| Chapter 2 - Outputs of Analyses | 03 |
| 2.1 Present Status | 03 |
| 2.2 Maturity Assessment of Each Process | 06 |
| 2.3 Weaknesses Identified | 13 |
| 2.4 Solutions | 18 |
| 2.5 Different Approaches | 23 |
| 2.6 The approach that considered | 26 |
| 2.7 Summary | 26 |
| | |
| Chapter 3 - Total Solution Proposed | 27 |
| 3.1 Introductions | 27 |
| 3.2 Modules proposed | 27 |
| 3.3 IT Group Service Desk (ITGSD) | 27 |
| 3.4 Process to be adopted for Help desk | 28 |
| 3.5 Problem Management | 29 |
| 3.6 Incident Management | 30 |
| 3.7 Change Management | 31 |
| 3.8 Summary | 32 |

| Chapter 4 - Key Process Activities | 33 |
|--|----|
| 4.1 Introduction | 33 |
| 4.2 Key Process Activities of Service Desk | 33 |
| 4.3 Key Process Activities of Problem management | 35 |
| 4.4 Key Process Activities of Incident management | 39 |
| 4.5 Key Process Activities of Change management | 42 |
| 4.6 Summary | 48 |
| Chapter 5 - Responsibilities and Service Levels | 49 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 49 |
| 5.2 Responsibilities of Roles within ITGSD | 49 |
| 5.3 Responsibilities among ITGSD, L2 and L3 | 51 |
| 5.4 Service Levels for ITGSD | 51 |
| 5.5. Responsibilities between ITGSD, L2 and L3 for Problem Management | 52 |
| 5.6 Summary | 52 |
| Chapter 6 - Design and Analyses | 53 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 53 |
| 6.2 Use case Models of Service Desk Operator | 53 |
| 6.3 Use case Models of Level 2 Support staff | 54 |
| 6.4 Use case Models of Help desk manager | 54 |
| 6.5 Use case Models of Level 2 manager | 55 |
| 6.6 Use case Models of Incident manager | 55 |
| 6.7 Use case Models of Change Request manager | 56 |
| 6.8 Modules and Interaction each other | 56 |
| 6.9 High Level interaction among modules | 57 |
| 6.10 Summary | 57 |

| Chapter 7 – Implementation | 58 |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| 7.1 Introduction | 58 |
| 7.2 Use case Realization | 58 |
| 7.3 Entity Relation of the design | 63 |
| 7.4 Entities with their attributes | 64 |
| 7.5 User Interface Design | 64 |
| 7.6 Project management | 70 |
| 7.7 Results | 70 |
| 7.8 Test Plan and Test Results | 71 |
| 7.9 Summary | 71 |
| Chapter 8 - Evaluation | 72 |
| 8.1 Introduction | 72 |
| 8.2 Evaluation of the solution | 72 |
| 8.3 Conclusions of So far progress | 75 |
| 8.4 Summary | 75 |
| Chapter 9 - References and appendix | 76 |
| 9.1 References | 78 |
| 9.2 Appendixes | 76 |

List of Figures

| Page | N |
|------|---|

0

| Figure 2.1- Present IT Help Desk architecture | 04 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.4.1 Structure of Common Service desk | 18 |
| Figure 3.1: Centralize the service Desk | 27 |
| Figure 3.2 Common process flow to be followed | 28 |
| Figure 3.3 Proposed Problem Management Process | 29 |
| Figure 3.4 Proposed Incident Management Process | 30 |
| Figure 3.5 Proposed Standard Change Management Process | 31 |
| Figure 6.1 Use Case of Service desk operators | 52 |
| Figure 6.2 Use case Models of Level 2 Support staff | 53 |
| Figure 6.3 Use case Models of Help desk manager | 53 |
| Figure 6.4 Use case Models of Level 2 manager | 54 |
| Figure 6.5 Use case Models of Incident manager | 54 |
| Figure 6.6 Use case Models of Change Request manager | 55 |
| Figure 6.7 Modules and Interaction each other | 55 |
| Figure 7.2.1 Use Case Realization of Verify and update Employee details | 57 |
| Figure 7.2.2 Use Case Realization of log calls | 57 |
| Figure 7.2.3 Use Case Realization of Classify Incident | 58 |
| Figure 7.2.4 Use Case Realization of Search Knowledge Base | 58 |
| Figure 7.2.5 Use Case Realization of Resolve the Incident | 58 |

| Figure 7.2.6 Use Case Realization of Escalate to L2 or L3 for resolution | 59 |
|--|----|
| Figure 7.2.7 Use Case Realization of Check the status of incidents | 59 |
| Figure 7.2.8 Use Case Realization of Update incident Status | 59 |
| Figure 7.2.9 Use Case Realization of Help Desk Manager – Performance | 60 |
| Figure 7.2.10 Use Case Realization of L2 and L3 Operators Check incident whether correctly assigned or classified | 60 |
| Figure 7.2.11 Use Case Realization of Perform investigation and diagnosis | 61 |
| Figure 7.2.12 Use Case Realization of Perform Problem by L3 and raise CR if not resolve | 61 |
| Figure 7.2.13 Use Case Realization of Perform Change request to realize | 62 |
| Figure 7.3 Entity Relation of the design | 62 |
| Figure 7.5.1 System Login Screen | 63 |
| Figure 7.5.2 Caller Verification Screen | 63 |
| Figure 7.5.3 Concern Entry Screen | 64 |
| Figure 7.5.4 Complaint Entry Screen | 64 |
| Figure 7.5.5 Service Request Entry Screen | 65 |
| Figure 7.5.6 Incident Entry Screen | 65 |
| Figure 7.5.7 Problem Entry Screen | 66 |
| Figure 7.5.8 Change Request Entry Screen | 66 |
| Figure 7.5.9 Systems and MTCE details Entry Screen | 67 |
| Figure 7.5.10 Vendor details Entry Screen | 67 |
| Figure 7.5.11 User details and Work group defining Screen | 68 |
| Figure 7.5.12 Employee details entry Screen | 68 |
| Figure 7.6.1 Project Plan | 69 |

List of Tables

Page No

| Table 2.2.1 - Maturity Assessment of Current IT Service Desk process | 06 |
|---|----|
| Table 2.2.2 - Maturity Assessment of Current Incident Management process | 07 |
| Table 2.2.3 - Maturity Assessment of Problem Management process | 08 |
| Table 2.2.4 - Maturity Assessment of Change Management process | 09 |
| Table 2.2.5 - Maturity Assessment of Capacity and availability Management process | 10 |
| Table 2.2.6 - Maturity Assessment of Service Level Management process | 11 |
| Table 2.2.7 -Maturity Assessment of IT Service Continuity Management process | 12 |
| Table 2.3.1 - Weaknesses of Current Service Desk | 13 |
| Table 2.3.2 - Weaknesses of Current Incident management | 14 |
| Table 2.3.3 - Weaknesses of Current Problem management | 15 |
| Table 2.3.4 - Weaknesses of Current Change management | 16 |
| Table 2.3.5 - Weaknesses of Current Capacity and availability management | 16 |
| Table 2.3.6 - Weaknesses of Current Service level management | 17 |
| Table 2.3.7 - Weaknesses of current IT service continuity management | 17 |
| Table 2.4.1 - Identified solutions for each Weak points of Service Desk | 18 |
| Table 2.4.2 - Identified solutions for each Weak points of Incident management | 19 |
| Table 2.4.3 - Identified solutions for each Weak points of Problem management | 19 |
| Table 2.4.4 - Identified solutions for each Weak points of Change management | 19 |
| Table 2.4.5 - Identified solutions for each Weak points of Capacity and availability management | 22 |
| VIII | |
| | |



| Table 2.4.6 - Identified solutions for each Weak points of Service level management | 23 |
|--|----|
| Table 2.4.7 - Identified solutions for each Weak points of Service Des IT service continuity management. | 23 |
| Table 3.3.1 – agreed solution | 26 |
| Table 4.1 Key Process Activities of Service Desk | 32 |
| Table 4.2 Key Process Activities of Problem management | 34 |
| Table 4.3 Key Process Activities of Incident management | 38 |
| Table 4.4 Key Process Activities of Change management | 41 |
| Table 5.1 Responsibilities of Roles within ITGSD | 48 |
| Table 5.2 Responsibilities among ITGSD, L2 and L3 | 50 |
| Table 5.3 Service Levels for ITGSD | 50 |
| Table 5.4. Responsibilities between ITGSD, L2 and L3 for Problem Management | 51 |

Acronyms and Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|---|
| BCP | Business Continuity Plan |
| BPR | Business Process Re-engineering |
| BSS | Billing Support System |
| CAB | Change Advisory Board |
| CARR | Capacity, Availability and Recovery Review |
| CIO | Chief Information Officer |
| CMDB | Change Management Data Base |
| CR | Change Request |
| DGM | Deputy General Manager |
| DR | Disaster Recovery |
| ER | Entity Relation |
| FCR | First Contact Resolution |
| GM | General Manager |
| GUI | Graphical User Interface |
| HIT | High Impact Training |
| HPIM | High Priority Incident Management |
| IT | Information Technology |
| ITGSD | IT Group Service Desk |
| ITIL | Information Technology Infrastructure Library |
| ITSM | IT Service Manager |
| LI | Level 1 |
| L2 | Level 2 |
| L3 | Level 3 |
| MTCE | Maintenance |
| NW&EUS | Net Work and End User Support |
| OLA | Operational Level Agreements |
| OSS | Operation Support System |
| PLC | Public Listed Company |
| RFC | Request for Change |
| RPO | Recovery Point Objective |
| RTO | Recovery Time Objective |
| SD | Service Desk |
| SLA | Service Level Agreement |
| SLT | Sri Lanka Telecom |
| UAT | User Acceptance Test |
| WSRM | Weekly Stability Review Meeting |

х

Acknowledgments

This Project was supported in part of IT MSc program in the Faculty of IT, University of Moratuwa. It is my duty to give my acknowledgements to all who supported, guided, instructed and advised me to bring this project success.

Prof. A.S. Karunananda was the key stake holder in my IT MSc and of this project who was dean of the faculty. With his initial project workshop and his publications support me to take the project easy and methodically. I thank him as the first person of my thanking list.

Mr. D.K. Withanage who is dean of the faculty now and guided me on various subject on this project as well as in the cause is taking my second thank of the acknowledgement. My next thanking is to Mr. Savinda Premarathne who was the cause coordinator and also my supervisor on this project. I thank him selecting me under his supervision and guide me during the assignment.

Ms. Niranjala is the coordinator in communication among us and I thank her also. I thank other academic staffs such as Dr. Prasad Wimalaratne, Dr. Chaminda and instructors who support me on my way to complete the project.

It is my responsibility to thank to SLT all officers and other staffs on providing details and on supporting to do analysis the requirement, testing and giving comments on the system delivered.