

MODELLING THE FIELD APPLICATION OF **ELECTRO-OSMOTIC CONSOLIDATION** TO IMPROVE ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF **SOFT PEATY CLAYS**

MIT OF MORATURA, SEE LATE

D.K.N.S. SAGARIKA BSc Eng. (Hons.)

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

in Geotechnical Engineering

624.1(043)

Supervised by

Dr. S.A.S. KULATHILAKA

UM Thesis coll

Department of civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2004

University of Moratuwa

82427

DECLARATION

The work included in this thesis in part or whole has not been submitted for any other academic qualification at any institution.

UOM Verified Signature

Acknowledgement

The continuing support and the facilities provided by the University of Moratuwa to carry out this research is acknowledged with gratitude. I like to acknowledge the assistance provided by the Asian Development Bank and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Sri Lanka by providing me a scholarship to do this research study. The support and the guidance given in every aspect by the project supervisor, Dr. S.A.S. Kulathilake is gratefully acknowledged. I express my sincere thanks to Dr. U.G.A. Puswewala and Dr. S. Thilakesiri for their valuable instructions and support.

My profound thanks go to Mr. K.R. Pitipanarachchi, Mr. D.G.S. Vithanage, Technical officers of the soil mechanics laboratory of the University of Moratuwa for their assistance given during the laboratory-testing period. I would like to acknowledge the assistance extended by Mr. J.M. Gunasekara, technical officer civil engineering workshop, in fabricating the testing apparatus.

The support received from Miss. W.G.S Sarojini is highly acknowledged. Finally, I would like to acknowledge colleagues Amaranath, Madunoraj, Tharanganie, and Madurapperuma for their support given throughout the research period.

Sagarika D.K.N.S. (30 September 2004)

Table of Content

Ackno	Acknowledgementi				
Table	Table of contentsii				
List of	Figures	vii			
List of	Tables.	xvi			
Abstra	ct	xix			
Chapte	er 1				
Introd	luction				
	1.0	Introduction1			
	1.1	Problems due to peaty clays in Sri Lanka			
	1.2	Basis for selecting the most appropriate method			
	1.3	Methods for ground improvement			
	1.3.1	Replacement3			
	1.3.2	Preconsolidation by preloading			
	1.3.3	Preloading by vacuum5			
	1.3.4	Deep mixing method6			
	1.3.5	Improvement by Electro-osmosis			
	1.4	Objectives and scope of the current research7			
	1.5	Arrangement of thesis			
Chapte	er 2				
A Rev	iew Of	Studies On Electro-Osmotic Consolidation			
	2.1	Electro-kinetic processes			
	2.2	Electro-osmosis process			
	2.3	Electro-chemical effects			
	2.4	Laboratory studies on Electro-osmotic consolidation			
	2.5	Field applications of Electro-osmosis			

Chapte	r 3		
Use of	Electro	o-osmotic consolidation technique on Sri Lankan peaty Clays	
	3.1	Introduction	52
	3.2	Test series performed	55
	3.3	Findings of the one-dimensional Electro-osmotic consolidation tests	58
	3.3.1	Reductions in primary consolidation characteristics	58
	3.3.2	Improvement of secondary consolidation characteristics	63
	3.3.3	Improvement in undrained shear strength	64
	3.3.4	Variation of water content and PH	65
	3.4	Concluding comments from one-dimensional tests	66
Chapte	er 4		
Electro	o-Osmo	tic Consolidation of Peaty Clay Under Three Dimensional Conditions	
	4.1	Introduction	68
	4.2	Formulation of the test programme.	68
	4.3	Test series 1	.74
	4.3.1	Test procedure	.74
	4.3.2	Observations during the test	74
	4.3.3	Testing of specimens of treated peat	76
	4.3.4	Evaluation of improvements in compressibility through specimen of	
		treated peaty clay	.83
	4.3.4.1	Influence on coefficient of volume compressibility	.83
	4.3.4.2	Reduction of void ratios and C _c	.84
	4.3.4.3	Influence on the coefficient of Secondary consolidation, C_{α}	.86
	4.3.5	Reduction in moisture content	87
	4.3.6	Improvement in shear strength	87
	4.3.7	Variation of pH	88
	4.3.8	Variation of Liquid limit	.88
	4.3.9	Concluding comment on results of Test Series 1	
	4.4	Test Series 2	.89

4.4.1	Test Procedure.	89
4.4.2	Observations during the test	89
4.4.3	Testing of specimens of Treated peat	.90
4.4.4	Evaluation of improvements in compressibility through specimen of	
	treated peaty clay	95
4.4.4.1	Influence on coefficient of volume compressibility	95
4.3.4.2	Influence on void ratios and C _c	. 96
4.4.4.3	Reduction in the coefficient of Secondary consolidation, C_{α}	97
4.4.5	Reduction in moisture content	. 98
4.4.6	Improvement in shear strength	99
4.4.7	Variation of pH	100
4.4.8	Deterioration of the electrodes	.100
4.4.9	Increase in Liquid limit	101
4.3.10	Concluding comment on results of Test Series 1	101
4.5	Test Series 3	.102
4.5.1	Test Procedure	102
4.5.2	Observations during the test	103
4.5.3	Testing of specimens of Treated peat.	103
4.5.4	Evaluation of improvements in compressibility through specimen of	
	treated peaty clay	108
4.5.4.1	Influence on coefficient of volume compressibility	108
4.3.4.2	Reduction of void ratios and C _c	109
4.4.4.3	Reduction in the coefficient of Secondary consolidation, C_{α}	110
4.5.5	Reduction in moisture content	111
4.5.6	Improvement in shear strength	111
4.5.7	Variation of pH	.102
4.5.8	Increase in Liquid limit	102
4.5.9	Concluding comment on results of Test Series 1	102
4.6	Test Series 4	.113
4.6.1	Test Procedure	113
4.6.2	Observations during the test	114



4.6.3	Testing of specimens of Treated peat	114
4.6.4	Evaluation of improvements in compressibility through specimen of	
	treated peaty clay.	122
4.6.4.1	Influence on coefficient of volume compressibility	122
4.6.4.2	Influence on void ratios and C _c	123
4.6.4.3	Reduction in the coefficient of Secondary consolidation, C_{α}	.120
4.6.5	Reduction in moisture content	125
4.6.6	Improvement in shear strength	127
4.6.7	Variation of pH	.127
4.6.8	Increase in Liquid limit	128
4.6.9	Concluding comment on results of Test Series 4.	128
4.7	Test Series 5	.129
4.7.1	Test Procedure	129
4.7.2	Observations during the test.	129
4.7.3	Testing of specimens of Treated peat	130
4.7.4	Evaluation of improvements in compressibility through specimen of	
	treated peaty clay	136
4.7.4.1	Influence on coefficient of volume compressibility	136
4.7.4.2	Influence on void ratios and C _c	.137
4.7.4.3	Influence on the coefficient of Secondary consolidation, C_{α}	138
4.7.5	Reduction in moisture content	139
4.7.6	Improvement in shear strength	140
4.7.7	Variation of pH	.140
4.7.8	Increase in Liquid limit	141
4.7.9	Concluding comment on results of Test Series 5	141
4.8	Test Series 6	.142
4.8.1	Test Procedure	142
4.8.2	Observations during the test	142
4.8.3	Testing of specimens of Treated peat	143
4.8.4	Evaluation of improvements in compressibility through specimen of	

	treated peaty clay	150
4.8.4	.1 Influence on coefficient of volume compressibility	150
4.8.4	.2 Influence on void ratios and C _c	151
4.8.4	.3 Influence on the coefficient of Secondary consolidation, C_{α}	152
4.8.5	Reduction in moisture content	153
4.8.6	Improvement in shear strength	153
4.8.7	Concluding comment on results of Test Series 5	154
Chapter 5		
Developmen	t of An Experimental Set Up to Measure the Electro-Osmotic	
Permeabilit	y	155
Chapter 6		
Two Dimen	sional Modeling of Electro-osmotic Consolidation	
6.1	Introduction	160
6.2	Two dimensional electro-osmotic consolidation	161
6.2.1	Average degree of consolidation and settlement	164
6.3	Two dimensional electro-osmotic consolidation in combination with	
	applied loading	166
6.3.1	Average degree of consolidation	168
6.4	Modeling of the 2-D Electro-osmotic consolidation with and without	
	surcharge load using a EXCEL programme.	. 169
Chapter 7		
Conclusion		
7.1 S	ummery of Results	180
7.2 M	Tain concluding points	183
7.3 R	ecommendations	184
References	••••••	185



List of Figures

Figure 1.1	General arrangement of preloading with vertical drains and	
	field monitoring arrangements	4
Figure 1.2	Vaccum consolidation arrangement (After Shang et al. 1998)	5
Figure 2.1	Different types of Electro-kinetic phenomena (After Mitchell, 1991)	10
Figure 2.2	Diffuse double layer	. 12
Figure 2.3	Variation in ion concentration with distance from the clay particle	
	surface	12
Figure 2.4	Electro-osmotic flow in capillaries (after Casagrande)	. 13
Figure 2.5	Simplified representation of hydrated cations	13
Figure 2.6	Schematic arrangement of Reuss' experiment [Reuss(1809)]	. 16
Figure 2.7	Sketch of apparatus for investigations on parallel flow	
	(Casagrande, 1949)	. 16
Figure 2.8	Electro-osmotic oedometer (Banerjee & Vitayasupakorn, 1984)	
Figure 2.9	Electro-osmotic oedometer (Derek V. Morris, 1984)	18
Figure 2.10	Electro-osmotic cell (Lo et al.,1991a)	. 18
Figure 2.11	Electro-osmotic cell (Shang et al., 1996)	. 21
Figure 2.12	Schematic diagram of Rowe cell modified for Electro-osmosis	
	(Nayar, 1997)	21
Figure 2.13	Large Consolidometer (Patawaran, 1998)	. 23
Figure 2.14	Modified oedometer cell for Electro-osmosis (Chaudhary, 1998)	24
Figure 2.15	Electro-osmotic testing experimental set up (Abiera et al., 1998)	24
Figure 2.16	Small cylindrical cell (Dinoy, 1998)	. 25
Figure 2.17	Settlement vs. time curve for undisturbed sample (Small cylinder cell)	26
Figure 2.18	Model tank (S. Micic, 2001)	. 26
Figure 2.19	Modified oedometer for Electro-osmosis (T.M. Ling, 2000)	. 28
Figure 2.20	Figure 2.20: Modified electro-kinetic cell (Mohameldehaasan, 2001)	29
Figure 2.21	Variation of pH values of water sample collected from cathode	
	with treatment time (Lo et al., 1991)	. 32

Figure 2.22	Effect of salt concentration on Atterberg limits	33
Figure 2.23	Boundary conditions with corresponding steady state pore pressure	
	(Hausmann, 1990)	35
Figure 2.24	Variation of excess pore water pressure along sample length	36
Figure 2.25	Development of Electro-osmotic pore pressure	37
Figure 2.26	Effects of Electro-osmotic treatment on properties of Quick	
	clay at As, Norway (Bjerrum et al., 1967)	41
Figure 2.27	Penetration resistance of a model pile for tests with and without	
	Electro-osmosis	42
Figure 2.28	Cross sectional v iew of the Electrode arrangement, Trondheim Harbor	43
Figure 2.29	Field installation of electrodes, Trondheim Harbor Project	43
Figure 2.30	Increase in shear strength after field test, Oslo project	13
Figure 2.31	Decrease in sensitivity after Field Test, Gloucester Test Fill Site	45
Figure 2.32	Effective stress envelop Field Test, Gloucester Test Fill Site	45
Figure 2.33	Pictorial representation of electrically conductive geosynthetic drain	45
Figure 2.34	Field installation of electrically conductive geosynthetic drain	46
Figure 2.35	Use of electro-conductive PVD in Singapore	46
Figure 2.36	Details of Electrode for Electro-osmosis	.50
Figure 2.37	Photograph showing water flowing from the cathode	.50
Figure 3.1	Newly developed experimental set up	53
Figure 3.2	Arrangement of one setup	53
Figure 3.3	Cross sectional view	.54
Figure 3.4	Electrical circuit	.54
Figure 3.5	Electrodes	.54
Figure 3.6	Comparisons of m _v vs. log(σ) – series 1	.58
Figure 3.7	Comparisons of m _v vs. log(σ) – series 2- (Stainless steel electrode)	. 59
Figure 3.8	Comparisons of m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ – series 2- (Brass electrode)	.59
Figure 3.9	Comparisons of e vs. $\log(\sigma)$ – series 2- (Stainless steel electrode)	.60
Figure 3.10	Comparisons of void ratio vs. log(σ) plots in series 4	61
Figure 3.11	Comparison of void ratio vs. log (σ) plots in series 5	.62
Figure 3.12	Comparison of C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ – series 2 (Stainless steel electrode)	.63

Figure 3.13	Comparison of C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ – series 2 (Brasselectrode)	64
Figure 4.1	Electro-osmotic testing apparatus	.69
Figure 4.2	View of a line of electrodes	.69
Figure 4.3	Plan view of electrodes	70
Figure 4.4	The electrical circuit	70
Figure 4.5	Location map of the sample collection area in CKE site	. 72
Figure 4.6	Location map of the Southern Highway site	73
Figure 4.7	Water discharged out vs. time plot	.75
Figure 4.8	H ₂ gas evolving around cathode	. 75
Figure 4.9	Crack pattern after two days	76
Figure 4.10	Crack pattern at the end of the test	.76
Figure 4.11	Locations of sampling	77
Figure 4.12(a)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 1- Anode Line 1	. 79
Figure 4.12(b)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 1- Cathode Line 2	79
Figure 4.12(c)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 1- Untreated peat	.79
Figure 4.12(d)	Void ratio vs. time plot- Test Series 1- Untreated peat	. 79
Figure 4.13(a)	Void ratio vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Anode Line 1(C1)	. 80
Figure 4.13(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Anode Line 1(C1)	80
Figure 4.13(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Anode Line 1(C1)	. 80
Figure 4.14(a)	Void ratio vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Cathode Line 2(C2)	80
Figure 4.14(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Cathode Line 2(C2)	80
Figure 4.14(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Cathode Line 2(C2)	80
Figure 4.15(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 1- Anode Line 3(C3)	81
Figure 4.15(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 1- Anode Line 3(C3)	81
Figure 4.15(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Anode Line 3(C3)	81
Figure 4.16(a)	Void ratio vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Cathode Line 4(C4)	81
Figure 4.16(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Cathode Line 4(C4)	81
Figure 4.16(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Cathode Line 4(C4)	81
Figure 4.17(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 1- Untreated peat	. 82

Figure 4.17(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Untreated peat	82
Figure 4.17(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 1- Untreated peat	82
Figure 4.18	Comparison of m _v values – Test series 1	84
Figure 4.19	Comparison of Void ratios – Test series 1	85
Figure 4.20	Comparison of C_{α} values – Test series 1	86
Figure 4.21	Water discharged out vs. time plot	90
Figure 4.22	Crack pattern and the locations of sampling	.90
Figure 4.23(a)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 2- Anode Line 2	92
Figure 4.23(b)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 2- Cathode Line 3	92
Figure 4.23(c)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 2- Untreated peat	92
Figure 4.23(d)	Void ratio vs. time plot- Test Series 2- Untreated peat	92
Figure 4.24(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 2- Anode Line 2(C1)	93
Figure 4.24(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 2- Anode Line 2(C1)	93
Figure 4.24(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 2- Anode Line 2(C1)	93
Figure 4.25(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 2- Cathode Line 3(C2)	93
Figure 4.25(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 2- Cathode Line 3(C2)	93
Figure 4.25(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 2- Cathode Line 3(C2)	93
Figure 4.26(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 2- Untreated peat	94
Figure 4.26(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 2- Untreated peat	94
Figure 4.26(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 2- Untreated peat	94
Figure 4.27	Comparison of m _v values – Test series 2	95
Figure 4.28	Comparison of Void ratios vs. log(σ) plots – Test series 2	97
Figure 4.29	Comparison of C_{α} values – Test series 2	98
Figure 4.30	Lines of anodes at the end of the test	100
Figure 4.31	Lines of cathodes at the end of the test	100
Figure 4.32(a)	Electrode arrangement in stage 1	103
Figure 4.32(b)	Electrode arrangement in stage 2	103
Figure 4.33	Locations of sampling	104
Figure 4.34(a)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 3- Cathode Line 1	05

Figure 4.34(b)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 3- Anode Line 2	105
Figure 4.34(c)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 3- Untreated peat	105
Figure 4.34(d)	Void ratio vs. time plot- Test Series 3- Untreated peat	105
Figure 4.35(a)	Void ratio vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Cathode Line 1(C1)	106
Figure 4.35(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Cathode Line 1(C1)	106
Figure 4.35(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Cathode Line 1(C1)	106
Figure 4.36(a)	Void ratio vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Anode Line 2(C2)	106
Figure 4.36(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Anode Line 2(C2)	106
Figure 4.36(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Anode Line 2(C2)	106
Figure 4.37(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 3- Untreated peat	107
Figure 4.37(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Untreated peat	107
Figure 4.37(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 3- Untreated peat	107
Figure 4.38	Comparison of m _v values – Test series 3	108
Figure 4.39	Comparison of Void ratios – Test series 3	109
Figure 4.40	Comparison of C_{α} values – Test series 3	110
Figure 4.41	Cross sectional view of test arrangement	113
Figure 4.42	Top surface of the peat mass at the end of the test	114
Figure 4.43	Locations of sampling	115
Figure 4.44(a)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2V)	116
Figure 4.44(b)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3V)	116
Figure 4.44(c)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2H)	116
Figure 4.44(d)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3H)	116
Figure 4.44(e)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 4- Untreated peat	116
Figure 4.44(f)	Void ratio vs. time plot- Test Series 4- Untreated peat	116
Figure 4.45(a)	Void ratio vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 1(C1V)	118
Figure 4.45(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 1(C1V)	118
Figure 4.45(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 1(C1V)	118
Figure 4.46(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2V)	118
Figure 4.46(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2V)	118
Figure 4.46(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2V)	118

Figure 4.47(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3V)	.119
Figure 4.47(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3V)	.119
Figure 4.47(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3V)	.119
Figure 4.48(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 4(C4V)	119
Figure 4.48(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 4(C4V)	119
Figure 4.48(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 4(C4V)	119
Figure 4.49(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2H)	120
Figure 4.49(b)	m_v vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2H)	120
Figure 4.49(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Cathode Line 2(C2H)	120
Figure 4.50(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3H)	.120
Figure 4.50(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3H)	.120
Figure 4.50(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Anode Line 3(C3H)	.120
Figure 4.51(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Untreated peat	121
Figure 4.51(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 4- Untreated peat	121
Figure 4.51(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 4- Untreated peat	.121
Figure 4.52	Comparison of m _v values – Test series 4	.122
Figure 4.53	Comparison of m _v values – Test series 4	.122
Figure 4.54	Comparison of Void ratios – Test series 4	.124
Figure 4.55	Comparison of C_{α} values – Test series 4	.125
Figure 4.56	Moisture content variation between electrodes lines	.126
Figure 4.57	Moisture content variation between cathode-Line2 and anode-Line 3	126
Figure 4.58	Water discharged out vs. time plot	130
Figure 4.59	Crack pattern and Locations of sampling	130
Figure 4.60(a)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 5- Cathode Line 1(C1V)	.132
Figure 4.60(b)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 5- Anode Line 4(C4V)	132
Figure 4.60(c)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 5- Anode Line 2(C2H)	.132
Figure 4.60(d)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 5- Cathode Line 3(C3H)	.132
Figure 4.60(e)	Settlement vs. time plot- Test Series 5- Untreated peat	132
Figure 4.60(f)	Void ratio vs. time plot- Test Series 5- Untreated peat	.133
Figure 4.61(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Cathode Line 1(C1V)	.133

Eigure 4 61(h)	Ind(a) what That Coming 5 Cothering 1 (C1V)	122
	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Cathode Line 1(C1V)	
	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Cathode Line 1(C1V)	
Figure 4.62(a) Void	ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Anode Line 4(C4V)	133
Figure 4.62(b) m _v vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Anode Line 4(C4V)	133
Figure 4.62(c) C_{α} vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Anode Line 4(C4V)	133
Figure 4.63(a) Void r	ratio vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 5- Anode Line 2(C2H)	134
Figure 4.63(b) m _v vs.	$log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 5- Anode Line 2(C2H)	134
Figure 4.63(c) C_{α} vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Anode Line 2(C2H)	134
Figure 4.64(a) Void r	ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Cathode Line 3(C3H)	134
Figure 4.64(b) m_v vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Cathode Line 3(C3H)	134
Figure 4.64(c) C_{α} vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Cathode Line 3(C3H)	134
Figure 4.65(a) Void r	ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Untreated peat	135
Figure 4.65(b) m _v vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Untreated peat	135
Figure 4.65(c) C_{α} vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 5- Untreated peat	135
Figure 4.66 Comp	arison of m _v values – Test series 5	136
Figure 4.67 Comp	arison of Void ratios vs. log(σ) plots– Test series 5	138
Figure 4.68 Comp	arison of C_{α} values – Test series 5	139
Figure 4.69 Water	discharged out vs. time plot	143
Figure 4.70 Crack	pattern and Locations of sampling	143
Figure 4.71(a) Settler	ment vs. time plot- Test Series 6- Anode Line 1(C1)	145
Figure 4.71(b) Settler	ment vs. time plot- Test Series 6- Cathode Line 2(C2)	145
Figure 4.71(c) Settler	ment vs. time plot- Test Series 6- Anode Line 3(C3)	145
Figure 4.71(d) Settler	ment vs. time plot- Test Series 6- Untreated peat (Conv. 1)	146
Figure 4.71(e) Void r	ratio vs. time plot- Test Series 6- Untreated peatb (Conv. 1)	146
Figure 4.71(f) Settler	ment vs. time plot- Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 2)	146
Figure 4.71(g) Void r	ratio vs. time plot- Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 2)	146
Figure 4.72(a) Void r	ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Anode Line 1(C1)	147
Figure 4.72(b) m _v vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Anode Line 1(C1)	147
Figure 4.72(c) C_{α} vs.	log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Anode Line 1(C1)	147
Figure 4.72(a) Void r	ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Cathode Line 2(C2)	147

Figure 4.72(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Cathode Line 2(C2)147
Figure 4.72(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 6- Cathode Line 2(C2)147
Figure 4.73(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Anode Line 3(C3)148
Figure 4.73(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Anode Line 3(C3)148
Figure 4.73(c)	C_{α} vs. $log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 6- Anode Line 3(C3)148
Figure 4.73(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 1)148
Figure 4.73(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 1)
Figure 4.73(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 1)148
Figure 4.74(a)	Void ratio vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 2)149
Figure 4.74(b)	m _v vs. log(σ) plot – Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 2)149
Figure 4.74(c)	C_{α} vs. $\log(\sigma)$ plot – Test Series 6- Untreated peat(Conv. 2)
Figure 4.75	Comparison of m _v values – Test series 6
Figure 4.76	Comparison of Void ratios vs. log(σ) plots—Test series 6
Figure 4.76	Comparison of C_{α} values – Test series 6
Figure 5.1	Permeability measuring apparatus156
Figure 5.2	Typical electrodes for the electro kinetic permeability test
Figure 5.3	Coefficient of Electro-kinetic permeability measuring apparatus
	(taken while proceeding the test)
Figure 6.1	Idealized configuration of two dimensional Electro-osmotic consolidation161
Figure 6. 2	Combined action of Electro-osmosis and surcharge preloading166
Figure 6.3	Variation of excess pore water pressure with time at a point
	at mid depth near the anode - Test series 5
Figure 6.4	Variation of excess pore water pressure with time at a point at mid way
	between anode and cathode - Test series 5170
Figure 6.5	Variation of Excess pore water pressure with depth from the top surface
	(At anode) – Test Series 5
Figure 6.6	Variation of Excess pore water pressure with depth from the top surface
	(At mid way between anode and cathode) – Test Series 5
Figure 6.7	Variation of excess pore pressure with horizontal distance from the
	cathode to anode (at mid depth)

List of Figures

Figure 6.8	Variation of average degree of consolidation with time (near anode)-	
	Test series 51	72
Figure 6.9	Variation of surface settlement with time (at anode) - Test series 517	73
Figure 6.10	Variation of surface settlement with time (at midway between anode	
	and cathode) - Test series 5	13



Abstract

In Sri Lanka, there are large areas underlain by soft peaty clays in and around Colombo and its suburbs. Soft peaty clay deposits are highly compressible and have very low shear strength. High primary and secondary consolidation settlement problems are associated with the low shear strength. Due to scarcity of land with good subsoil condition, Geotechnical engineers are compelled to use these grounds for new development works. Therefore, it is needed to improve the properties of peaty clay deposits before doing any construction works on them. As such, there is a need to find cost effective and efficient ground improvement techniques. The potential of electro-osmotic consolidation as a technique for improvement of Sri Lankan peaty clay was studied in this project. There are records in literature to indicate that this technique was successful with soft inorganic clays. But there are no records of the use of the method in organic soils. Sri Lankan peaty clays have very low organic contents in the range of 20% to 30%. The effectiveness of electro-osmotic consolidation technique with Sri Lankan peaty clay was studied at the University of Moratuwa first by performing a series of one dimensional electro-osmotic consolidation tests and the method was found to be quite successful.

In the field, electro-osmotic consolidation is done by driving parallel lines of electrodes, and by applying direct current potential difference and pumping from the cathode. This configuration cannot be considered as one-dimensional and this would be essentially three-dimensional. In this research, the field arrangement of electro-osmotic consolidation was closely simulated in a model tank filled with remoulded peaty clay and a series of tests were performed with the objective of understanding the aspects of electro-osmotic consolidation technique under three dimensional conditions.

Specimens were taken from the remoulded peat mass after it was subjected to consolidation tests and shear strength tests. For comparison purposes, tests were done on untreated peaty clay remoulded in the same manner.

The level of improvement achieved in compressibility characteristics in three-dimensional condition is less than that achieved with one-dimensional condition. But it shows a preconsolidation effect especially near anodes. A significant reduction in water content and significant increase in shear strength were observed near anode compared to near the cathode. pH tests confirm that electro-chemical changes take place in the soil. pH values increased at cathode and decreased at anode. Electro-osmosis treatment has caused an increase in the liquid limit. The coefficient of electro-osmotic permeability of Sri Lankan peaty clay found to be in the range of 1*10-9 to 1*10-8 m²/sec.-V, which was within the range suggested by Mitchell (1991) for fine-grained soils.