ENERGY ANALYSIS OF MINI HYDRO SCHEMES USING PLANT PERFORMANCE DATA

NuwanDarshanaPremadasa

(128366H)

Degree of Master of Engineeringin EnergyTechnology

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

15TH OF DECEMBER 2017

ENERGY ANALYSIS OF MINI HYDRO SCHEMES USING PLANT PERFORMANCE DATA

NuwanDarshanaPremadasa

(128366H)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

15TH OF DECEMBER 2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	Date:
Mr. N. D. Premadasa	
The above candidate has carried out research	for the Master's Thesis under my
supervision	
Signature:	Date:
Dr. R. A. C. P. Ranasinghe	
Senior Lecturer,	
Department of Mechanical Engineering,	
University of Moratuwa.	

ABSTRACT

In this research project, characteristics of mini hydro power schemes which affect to the performance of the scheme were analyzed. The main objective of the project was to develop an analytical criterion to estimate the variations of the energy associated with small hydropower schemes which plays a major role in feasibility of the mini hydro scheme while fulfilling the other objectives of defining site specific efficiency factors associated with each mini hydro power scheme. For the present model of forecasting annual energy variations, the factors being used for computing anticipated energy losses in and during the sections of weir entrance, head race canal, forebay tank, penstock line, hydraulic turbines and electricity generator are not sitespecific and technology specific. Therefore an experimental approach was used in combination with statistical data analysis to develop a relationship between stream flows with scheme characteristics. Electrical power generation, rainfall of the nearby meteorological data measuring stations, plant maintenance records were analyzed and several catchment runoff calculation methods were studied. Four consecutive operational mini hydro schemes were selected and relationships among their operational characteristics were studied during the analysis.

The results of the study show that performance data of adjacent operational power schemes can be used to predict energy potential of a downstream scheme which is situated within 5 km distance from the operational plant. By the proposed model energy potential of a selected mini hydro scheme can be estimated very accurately for the first five months of the year with a slight deviation varies in the range 2% to 11%. But this model cannot be recommended to estimate energy potentials of upstream to the considered operational scheme. This can be used as a simple hydrological resource as this model can forecast energy potentials without using current hydrological data as it associates only performance data of mini hydro power plants.

Key words: mini hydro power scheme, flow duration curve, performance characteristics, turbine efficiency

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. R.A.C.P. Ranasinghe, Senior Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka for the continuous support of my MEng research for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge.

Besides my advisor, I would like to express my special gratitude to Mr. H. Wickremasinghe, Deputy Director General (Strategy), Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority for directing me to do the research on mini hydro power sector. His insightful comments, encouragement and hard questions incented me to widen my research from various perspectives.

My sincere thanks also goes to Mr S. Kithsiri, Director (Renewable Energy), SLSEA, who facilitated me in data collection, Mr. Sunith Fernando (Energy Consultant, Resource Management Associates), Ms. N. Kavirathna, GIS specialist, SLSEA, for her support in hydrological data collection and GIS modeling and Mr. P. V. S. Shantha, Assistant Director (Environmental Impact Assessments), CEA, for his support in data acquisition.

Without their precious support it would not be possible to conduct this research. Finally, I would appreciate everybody, who helped me in numerous ways at different stages of the research which was of utmost importance in bringing out this effort a success.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECL	ARATION	. i
ABST	RACT	ii
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	. ii
TABL	E OF CONTENTS	. iv
LIST (OF FIGURES	V
LIST (OF TABLES	V
LIST (OF ABBREVIATIONS	. vii
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	Background	1
1.2.	Motivation	2
1.3.	Aim and objectives of the research	5
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1.	Performance of a mini hydro power scheme	<i>6</i>
2.2.	Basic definitions regarding energy potential of a mini hydro power plant	6
2.3.	Hydrological assessment of a mini hydro catchment	. 12
	Energy potential of mini hydro power scheme	
3.	METHODOLOGY	. 21
3.1.	Introduction	. 21
3.2.	Procedure for data analysis	. 22
4.	ANALYSIS	. 23
4.1.	Introduction	. 23
4.2.	Forecasting energy generation of downstream scheme by performance data upstream schemes	
4.3.	Forecasting energy generation of upstream scheme by performance data of downstream scheme	
4.4.	Head flow product of the scheme	. 32
5.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	. 34
5.1.	Present energy estimation criteria	. 34
5.2.	Forecasted river flows	. 34
5.3.	Actual vs forecasted energy	. 39
6.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 50
REFEI	RENCES	.52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Electricity generation in Sri Lanka	1
Figure 1.2: Small hydro power capacities in Sri Lanka	2
Figure 1.3: Forecasted Vs actual annual energy generations of mini hydro power	
plants in Sri Lanka	4
Figure 2.1: Schematic of a mini hydro scheme	7
Figure 2.2: Typical efficiency as a function of percentage of the rated discharge for	
several types of turbines	
Figure 2.3: Example of hydro turbine range applications	
Figure 2.4: Typical power conversion scheme of hydro power plant	
Figure 3.1: Selected mini hydro power plants	21
Figure 4.1: Actual energy generation and predicted energy generation by current model for MH1	24
Figure 4.2: Actual energy generation and predicted energy generation by current	
model for MH2	24
Figure 4.3: Actual energy generation and predicted energy generation by current	
model for MH3	25
Figure 4.4: Actual energy generation and predicted energy generation by current	
model for MH4	25
Figure 4.5: predicted energy generation for MH2	
Figure 4.6: cumulative energy generation for MH2	
Figure 4.7: predicted energy generation for MH3	
Figure 4.8: cumulative energy generation of MH3	
Figure 4.9: predicted energy generation for MH4	
Figure 4.10: cumulative energy generation of MH4	
Figure 4.11: predicted energy generation for MH3	
Figure 4.12: cumulative energy generation for MH3	
Figure 4.13: predicted energy generation for MH2	
Figure 4.14: Cumulative energy generation of MH2	
Figure 4.15: Predicted energy generation for MH1	
Figure 4.16: Cumulative energy generation of MH1	
Figure 4.17: Head flow product of the schemes	
Figure 5.1: Flow duration curve and design power curves of MH1 catchment	
Figure 5.2: Design flow vs design power of MH1	
Figure 5.3: Flow duration curve and design power curves of MH2 catchment	36
Figure 5.4: Design flow vs design power of MH2	37
Figure 5.5: Flow duration curve and design power curves of MH3 catchment	
Figure 5.6: Design flow vs design power of MH3	
Figure 5.7: Flow duration curve and design power curves of MH4 catchment	
Figure 5.8: Design flow vs design power of MH4	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Application range of turbines	9
Table 4.1: Project characteristics	23
Table 5.1: Energy comparison of MH1 with criteria-1	39
Table 5.2: Energy comparison of MH1 with criteria-2	40
Table 5.3: Energy comparison of MH1 with criteria-3	41
Table 5.4: Energy comparison of MH1 with criteria-4	41
Table 5.5: Energy comparison of MH2 with criteria-1	42
Table 5.6: Energy comparison of MH2 with criteria-2	42
Table 5.7: Energy comparison of MH2 with criteria-3	43
Table 5.8: Energy comparison of MH2 with criteria-4	
Table 5.9: Energy comparison of MH3 with criteria-1	44
Table 5.10: Energy comparison of MH3 with criteria-2	45
Table 5.11: Energy comparison of MH3 with criteria-3	46
Table 5.12: Energy comparison of MH3 with criteria-4	46
Table 5.13: Energy comparison of MH4 with criteria-1	47
Table 5.14; Energy comparison of MH4 with criteria-2	48
Table 5.15: Energy comparison of MH4 with criteria-3	
Table 5.16: Energy comparison of MH4 with criteria-4	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

PPP Private Power Producers

NRE New Renewable Energy

SLSEA Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority

MHPP Mini Hydro Power Project

GIS Geographical Information System

MH Mini Hydro