



**ESTABLISHMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL
CHARACTERISTICS OF SOME UNSATURATED SRI
LANKAN RESIDUAL SOILS**

Nanthini Vasanthan

(138829P)

Supervised by Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka

M.Eng. in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

(2013/2014 BATCH)

**ESTABLISHMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL
CHARACTERISTICS OF SOME UNSATURATED SRI
LANKAN RESIDUAL SOILS**

Nanthini Vasanthan

(138829P)

Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2016

**ESTABLISHMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL
CHARACTERISTICS OF SOME UNSATURATED SRI
LANKAN RESIDUAL SOILS**

Nanthini Vasanthan

(138829P)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Engineering in Foundation Engineering and Earth
Retaining Systems

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2016

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning to the best of our knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)

Signature of the candidate:.....

Date:.....

Nanthini Vasanthan

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:.....

Date:.....

Professor S.A.S. Kulathilaka,
B.Sc. Eng. Hons (Moratuwa), Ph.D. (Monash), C.Eng., MIE(SL),
Department of Civil Engineering,
University of Moratuwa,
Sri Lanka.

ABSTRACT

Slope failure in tropical climates frequently occurs due to excessive rainfall. Heavy infiltration causes destruction of matric suctions, development of perched water table conditions and rise of ground water table. Severe erosion and surface destruction will also be caused by the heavy prolonged rainfall. In order to understand the threshold values of rainfall leading to instability it is necessary to model this process with a reasonable accuracy.

Sri Lankan residual soil formations are formed by weathering of the metamorphic parent rock and have inherited significant abrupt variations in engineering characteristics. Basic characteristics of these soil formations such as soil water characteristic curves (SWCCs), variation of permeability with water content and unsaturated shear strength parameters are essential parameters in these analyses. These characteristics have not been established for typical residual soils forming slopes in Sri Lanka.

This thesis highlights the need for detailed experimental studies and presents comprehensive studies that have been conducted at the University of Moratuwa and National Building Research Organization (NBRO) laboratories to establish the fundamental characteristics of unsaturated Sri Lankan residual soils. Undisturbed samples of soil obtained from the failed slope at Welipenna in the Southern Expressway were used in this study.

Direct shear tests were done by modifying the conventional apparatus by incorporating a miniature tensiometer which allows for the simple and direct measurement of soil matric suction during shearing. Soil water characteristic curves (SWCCs) were also established using these apparatus. Alternatively, pressure plate apparatus was also used for this purpose. In addition to that, soil water characteristic curves (SWCCs) were developed from gradation curve also.

Permeability of an unsaturated soil varies considerably with the level of saturation and will make a very significance influence on the infiltration process. Permeability function which defines the variation of permeability with matric suction was investigated on undisturbed samples. The method is based on continuously drying and wetting the soil sample while continuously monitoring the suction gradient and the change in soil mass. The thesis highlights the importance of these studies and presents the procedures that are being used.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents Late Mr.N.Vethasalam and Mrs.V.Sakunthaladevi. They have encouraged me all the time “study, study, study....., then only you will achieve your target”. As they said I have obtained my BSc. Eng. (Civil) in March 2004 and I started my master carrier in May 2013. I have lost my father in March 2015 during my masters. Appa! your dream came true and I know that you are somewhere around here watching our achievements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would first and foremost like to thank my Lord, who has made this dream and all other realities possible.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Athula Kulathilaka, Professor at Civil Engineering Department of University of Moratuwa, for his dedication and keen interest to make success and as well as for setting me the platform to proceed my career in Geotechnical Engineering. I am highly indebted to Prof. Apiniti Jotisankasa, the head of the Geotechnical Engineering section of Kasetsart University, Thailand not only for supplying instruments and giving training on tensiometer at Universities of Kasetsart and Moratuwa but also clarifying the doubts, problems regarding the instruments and research throughout my research carrier. Without their guidance and persistent help this drive would not have been possible.

My sincere gratitude should go to Mr. Narin Hunsachainan, Assistant Lecturer of Civil Engineering Department of Kasetsart University and Mr. Ajintit, Masters Student, Kasetsart University for giving in hand training on installing and handling the tensiometer.

I should really pay my sincere gratitude to Eng. (Dr) Asiri Karunawardena, Director General of National Building Research Organization (NBRO), for his guidance and continuous support throughout the masters. It is my obligation to acknowledge the commitment and the courage given by Mr. K.N Bandara, Director of Geotechnical Engineering Division (GED) and all the other staff of Geotechnical Engineering Division of NBRO. In this regard I should especially acknowledge the assistance given by Mr. Lilanka Kankanamge, Engineer, Ms. Nirmanthi Idirimanne, Engineer and Ms. Chamila Jayasundara, Geologist, NBRO.

The assistance received from Mr.K.R.Pitipanaarachchi, Technical Officer, Mr. D.G.S Vithanage, Technical Officer, Mr. M.A.Ajith Piyasiri, Lab Assistant and Ms. Pradeepa Pieris, M.Eng. Course Assistant in the Soil Mechanics Laboratory, University of Moratuwa and similarly, Mr. Chandima Piumkith, Management Assistant-Technical, Mr.M.Abeysinghe, Management Assistant-Technical during the laboratory testing programme, and all other laboratory staff who have supported conducting all kind of laboratory tests in Geotechnical Engineering Laboratory, NBRO is acknowledged. Also I would like to acknowledge the assistance extended by Mr. U.K.Padmaperuma, Technical Officer, Civil Engineering Workshop and Mr.Yomal Dias, Lecturer (on contract), Civil Engineering Department for fabricating experimental setups successfully.

Last but not least, I would like to personally thank my superb husband Mr.S.Vasanthan, Consultant/Geotechnical Engineer, Resource Development Consultants (RDC) who has given tremendous support not only in the situation where it really matters but also research field as he is also in the same area and my lovely son Master.V.Kirthik, student, Royal College, Colombo-07 who has sacrificed lot of things/benefits for me as I am working woman and master student also.

Nanthini Vasanthan,

9th November 2016.

Table of Contents

1.0.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	SCOPE OF SOIL MECHANICS	1
1.2.	UNSATURATED SOIL MECHANICS	2
1.3.	ROLE OF CLIMATE	2
1.4.	UNSATURATED SOIL IN NATURE AND PRACTICE	4
1.4.1.	Unsaturated soil in hydrologic cycle	4
1.5.	EFFECT OF NEGATIVE PORE WATER PRESSURE ON UNSATURATED SOILS IN SRI LANKA.....	5
1.6.	UNSATURATED SOIL PROPERTIES	6
1.6.1.	Soil water characteristic curve (SWCC)	7
1.7.	THESIS OBJECTIVES.....	9
1.8.	THESIS OUTLINE	10
2.0.	LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1.	PHASES OF SOIL	12
2.1.1.	Capillarity action.....	13
2.1.2.	Capillarity in Soils	13
2.2.	WHAT IS MEANT BY MATRIC SUCTION....?	14
2.3.	MATRIC SUCTION WHY IT IS IMPORTANT?	15
2.4.	STRESS STATE VARIABLES.....	16
2.5.	SHEAR STRENGTH THEORY	18
2.5.1.	Saturated shear strength	18
2.5.2.	Unsaturated soil with a planar modified Mohr-Coulomb envelope	19
2.5.3.	Unsaturated soil with a curved matric suction envelope	20
2.6.	MEASUREMENT OF MATRIC SUCTION	23
2.7.	SOIL WATER CHARACTERISTIC CURVE (SWCC)	23
2.7.1.	The capillary saturation zone (boundary effect zone).....	25
2.7.2.	The desaturation zone (transition zone).....	26
2.7.3.	The residual saturation zone (residual zone)	26
2.7.4.	Air-entry value	26
2.7.5.	Residual water content.....	27

2.7.6.	The inflection point.....	27
2.7.7.	The hysteresis of two curves.....	27
2.8.	MODELLING OF SOIL WATER CHARACTERISTICS CURVE (SWCC)	28
2.9.	ESTABLISHMENT OF SOIL WATER CHARACTERISTIC CURVE (SWCC).....	29
2.9.1.	Using KU tensiometer	29
2.9.2.	Using pressure plate apparatus	35
2.9.3.	Determination of permeability function.....	37
2.9.4.	Estimation of soil water characteristic curve using grain size distribution	41
2.9.4.1.	<i>Pore volume and volumetric water content.....</i>	<i>42</i>
2.9.4.2.	<i>Particle size and pore radius</i>	<i>44</i>
2.9.4.3.	<i>Pore radius and soil water pressure</i>	<i>45</i>
2.10.	ANALYTICAL STUDIES DONE IN SRI LANKA ON INFILTRATION OF RAINWATER	47
3.0.	GEOLOGY AND BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE SOIL TESTED.....	51
3.1.	SITE DESCRIPTION AND COLLECTION OF UNDISTURBED SAMPLE	51
3.2.	GENERAL GEOLOGY OF THE PROJECT AREA	51
3.3.	GEOLOGY OF THE SITE.....	53
3.4.	DETERMINATION OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS ENCOUNTERED ...	53
3.4.1.	Classification of the soil	54
3.4.2.	Determination of saturation period	56
3.4.3.	Saturated permeability of the soil	56
3.4.4.	Consolidation test	58
4.0.	DEVELOPMENT OF SWCC WITH PRESSURE PLATE APPARATUS	61
4.1.	PRESSURE PLATE APPARATUS	61
4.2.	CONCLUDING COMMENTS	66
5.0.	DIRECT SHEAR TESTS	68
5.1.	DIRECT SHEAR TESTS WITHOUT SUCTION MEASUREMENTS	68
5.1.1.	Testing procedure	68
5.1.2.	Assumption of same ϕ' at all levels of saturation	68

5.1.3.	Analysis and results for conventional direct shear test.....	69
5.1.3.1.	<i>Sample under fully saturated condition</i>	69
5.1.3.2.	<i>Sample with approximately 40% (as it is) saturated condition</i>	72
5.1.3.3.	<i>Sample with approximately 65% saturated condition</i>	75
5.1.3.4.	<i>Sample with approximately 83% saturated condition</i>	78
5.1.4.	Variation of apparent cohesion with saturation and volumetric water content (SILTY SAND)	81
5.1.5.	Development of angle of shearing resistance due to suction, ϕ^b using pressure plate apparatus (SILTY SAND).....	82
5.2.	DIRECT SHEAR TEST WITH MATRIC SUCTION MEASUREMENT USING TENSIO METER.....	84
5.2.1.	KU T3 Tensiometer	84
5.2.1.1.	<i>Tensiometer description and data transferring to computer</i>	84
5.2.2.	Water saturation and de-airing process.....	86
5.2.3.	Testing procedure	87
5.2.4.	Assumption of same ϕ' at all levels of saturation	88
5.2.5.	Analysis and results for conventional direct shear test with tensiometer ...	88
5.2.5.1.	<i>Sample under fully saturated condition</i>	89
5.2.5.2.	<i>Sample with approximately 50% saturated condition</i>	93
5.2.5.3.	<i>Sample with approximately 65% saturated condition</i>	94
5.2.5.4.	<i>Sample with approximately 72% saturated condition</i>	99
5.2.5.5.	<i>Sample with approximately 81% saturated condition</i>	105
5.2.5.6.	<i>Sample with approximately 92% saturated condition</i>	111
5.2.6.	Variation of apparent cohesion with saturation and volumetric water content (SANDY SILT)	117
5.2.7.	Development of angle of shearing resistance due to suction, ϕ^b using pressure plate apparatus (SANDY SILT).....	118
5.2.8.	Development of angle of shearing resistance due to suction, ϕ^b using tensiometer apparatus (SANDY SILT)	119
5.3.	CONCLUDING COMMENTS	122
6.0.	DEVELOPMENT OF PERMEABILITY FUNCTION.....	125

6.1.	DRYING METHOD.....	127
6.1.1.	For soil type 01 - SILTY SAND.....	128
6.1.2.	For soil type 02 - SANDY SILT.....	130
6.2.	WETTING METHOD.....	133
6.2.1.	For soil type 01- SILTY SAND.....	134
6.2.2.	For soil type 02 - SANDY SILT.....	136
6.3.	CONCLUDING COMMENTS	141
7.0.	DEVELOPMENT OF SWCC WITH DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES ...	143
7.1.	ESTABLISHMENT OF SWCC USING PRESSURE PLATE APPARATUS	143
7.2.	ESTABLISHMENT OF SWCC USING DIRECT SHEAR TESTS WITH MATRIC SUCTION MEASUREMENT.....	144
7.3.	ESTABLISHMENT OF SWCC USING PERMEABILITY FUNCTION	146
7.4.	DERIVATION OF SWCC USING THE GRADATION CURVES.....	148
7.5.	COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES.....	153
8.0.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	155
8.1.	FUTURE OUTLOOK.....	157
9.0.	REFERENCES	159

List of figures

Figure 2.1: Four phases of unsaturated soils	12
Figure 2.2: Idealization of soil pores as cylindrical capillaries	13
Figure 2.3: Forces due to suction, acting on different surfaces.....	14
Figure 2.4: The stress state variables for an unsaturated soil (Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993).....	17
Figure 2.5: Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope for a saturated soil.....	18
Figure 2.6: Planar modified Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope as a planar surface for an unsaturated soil (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993)	20
Figure 2.7: Shear strength versus matric suction (a) for a compacted glacial till with a net normal stress 72.2kPa (modified after Gan et al. (1988)), (b) for different net-normal stress 120kPa & 600kPa (modified after Escario and Juca (1989)).....	21
Figure 2.8: Non-linear shear strength envelope for an unsaturated soil (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993).....	21
Figure 2.9: Non-planar modified Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope with respect to matric suction for an unsaturated soil (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993).....	22
Figure 2.10: Shear strength versus matric suction for decomposed granite (SM) mixed with different percentages of kaolin at normal stress of 15.5kPa (after Jotisankasa et al., (2010))	23
Figure 2.11: Typical soil water characteristic curves for desorption and adsorption for unsaturated soils (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993).....	26
Figure 2.12: Conceptual soil water characteristic curves (SWCCs) of different soil types (after Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993).....	28
Figure 2.13: Miniature KU tensiometer sensor	29
Figure 2.14: KU tensiometer and its incorporation in direct shear box (modified from Jotisankasa and Mairaing, 2010)	29
Figure 2.15: Experiment setup for the continuous measurement of soil water characteristic curve (SWCC) (after Jotisankasa et al., 2010)	34
Figure 2.16: Drying SWCCs for a silty residual soil (after Jotisankasa et al., 2010)	34
Figure 2.17: Wetting SWCCs for a silty residual soil (after Jotisankasa et al., 2010)	35

Figure 2.18: Pressure plate (5-bar) extractor	35
Figure 2.19: Soil water characteristic curve (SWCC) for Pussellawa landslide soil using pressure plate apparatus (after Tharanganie, 2004)	36
Figure 2.20: Soil water characteristic curve (SWCC) for Kahagalla landslide soil using pressure plate apparatus (after Tharanganie, 2004)	36
Figure 2.21: The variation of apparent cohesion with matric suction for Pussellawa landslide soil (after Tharanganie, 2004)	37
Figure 2.22: The variation of apparent cohesion with matric suction for Kahagalla landslide soil (after Tharanganie, 2004)	37
Figure 2.23: Typical results during drying path for a silty residual soil (after Jotisankasa et al., 2010)	40
Figure 2.24: Typical results during wetting path for a silty residual soil (after Jotisankasa et al., 2010)	40
Figure 2.25: Typical results of permeability function for a silty residual soil (after Jotisankasa et al., 2010)	41
Figure 2.26: Particle size distribution of soil materials on which moisture characteristic data were obtained. Dashed lines indicate extrapolation (after Arya & Paris, 1981)	43
Figure 2.27: Model parameter α as a function of particle size for five soil materials (after Arya & Paris, 1981)	46
Figure 2.28: Cut slope (1:1) geometry, selected sections and boundary conditions .	48
Figure 2.29: Characteristics of residual soils used	48
Figure 2.30: Variation of pore water pressure for rainfall of 5mm/hr (after Sujeevan and Kulathilaka, 2011)	49
Figure 2.31: Variation of pore water pressure for rainfall of 20mm/hr (after Sujeevan and Kulathilaka, 2011)	49
Figure 2.32: Variation of pore water pressure for rainfall of 20mm/hr (after Sujeevan and Kulathilaka, 2011)	49
Figure 2.33: Pore water pressure distribution for 5mm/hr rainfall with vegetation layer of permeability 10^{-7} m/s (Case 1) (after Kulathilaka and Kumara, 2011)	50
Figure 3.1: The research study area	51

Figure 3.2: Simplified geological map of Sri Lanka showing major lithotectonic units (After Cooray, 1994).....	52
Figure 3.3: Particle size distribution for SILTY SAND.....	55
Figure 3.4: Particle size distribution for SANDY SILT.....	56
Figure 3.5: Details of permeability test for SILTY SAND.....	57
Figure 3.6: Details of permeability test for SANDY SILT.....	58
Figure 3.7: The variation of void ratio with stress applied.....	59
Figure 4.1: Typical arrangement of 5-bar pressure plate apparatus used for the research.....	61
Figure 4.2: Cross sectional view of a ceramic pressure plate cell.....	62
Figure 4.3: Soil water characteristic curve (SWCC) for SILTY SAND.....	65
Figure 4.4: Soil water characteristic curve (SWCC) for SANDY SILT.....	66
Figure 4.5: Comparison of soil water characteristic curve (SWCC) for both soil types.....	67
Figure 5.1: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for fully saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	70
Figure 5.2: The variation of volume change with shear strain for fully saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	70
Figure 5.3: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for fully saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	71
Figure 5.4: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for approximately 40% (as it is) saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	73
Figure 5.5: The variation of volume change with shear strain for approximately 40% (as it is) saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	73
Figure 5.6: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for approximately 40% (as it is) saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	74
Figure 5.7: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for approximately 65% saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	76
Figure 5.8: The variation of volume change with shear strain for approximately 65% saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	76

Figure 5.9: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for approximately 65% saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	77
Figure 5.10: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for approximately 83% saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	79
Figure 5.11: The variation of volume change with shear strain for approximately 83% saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	79
Figure 5.12: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for approximately 83% saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	80
Figure 5.13: The variation of apparent cohesion with degree of saturation (SILTY SAND).....	81
Figure 5.14: The variation of apparent cohesion with volumetric water content (SILTY SAND)	82
Figure 5.15: The variation of apparent cohesion with matric suction (SILTY SAND)	83
Figure 5.16: Basic components of KU tensiometer.....	84
Figure 5.17: All components connected for the process of data transferring from tensiometer	85
Figure 5.18: Schematic diagram of data transferring from tensiometer.....	85
Figure 5.19: Multi-meter for snapshot reading of tensiometer.....	86
Figure 5.20: Water saturation and de-airing process in to the vacuum chamber	87
Figure 5.21: Screwing the acrylic tube with O-ring in the sensor body to achieve tight seal.....	87
Figure 5.22: Typical direct shear test at equilibrium stage (without load), consolidation and shearing stages (with load) with tensiometer	88
Figure 5.23: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for fully saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	90
Figure 5.24: The variation of volume change with shear strain for fully saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	90
Figure 5.25: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for fully saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	91

Figure 5.26: Direct shear specimens after the testing for fully saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	92
Figure 5.27: The variation of matric suction with time for approximately 50% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	94
Figure 5.28: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	95
Figure 5.29: The variation of volume change with shear strain for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	95
Figure 5.30: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	96
Figure 5.31: The variation of matric suction with time at equilibrium stage for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	97
Figure 5.32: The variation of matric suction with time at consolidation stage for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	98
Figure 5.33: The variation of matric suction with time at shearing stage for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	98
Figure 5.34: The variation of matric suction with shear strain at shearing stage for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	99
Figure 5.35: Direct shear specimen after the testing for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	99
Figure 5.36: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	100
Figure 5.37: The variation of volume change with shear strain for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	101
Figure 5.38: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	102
Figure 5.39: The variation of matric suction with time at equilibrium stage for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	103
Figure 5.40: The variation of matric suction with time at consolidation stage for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	103

Figure 5.41: The variation of matric suction with time at shearing stage for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	104
Figure 5.42: The variation of matric suction with shear strain at shearing stage for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	104
Figure 5.43: Direct shear specimen after the testing for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	105
Figure 5.44: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	106
Figure 5.45: The variation of volume change with shear strain for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	107
Figure 5.46: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	108
Figure 5.47: The variation of matric suction with time at equilibrium stage for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	109
Figure 5.48: The variation of matric suction with time at consolidation stage for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	109
Figure 5.49: The variation of matric suction with time at shearing stage for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	110
Figure 5.50: The variation of matric suction with shear strain at shearing stage for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	110
Figure 5.51: Direct shear specimen after the testing for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	111
Figure 5.52: The variation of shear stress with shear strain for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	112
Figure 5.53: The variation of volume change with shear strain for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	112
Figure 5.54: The variation of shear stress with normal stress for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	113
Figure 5.55: The variation of matric suction with time at equilibrium stage for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	114

Figure 5.56: The variation of matric suction with time at consolidation stage for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	115
Figure 5.57: The variation of matric suction with time at shearing stage for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	115
Figure 5.58: The variation of matric suction with shear strain at shearing stage for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	116
Figure 5.59: Direct shear specimen after the testing for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	116
Figure 5.60: The variation of apparent cohesion with degree of saturation (SANDY SILT)	117
Figure 5.61: The variation of apparent cohesion with volumetric water content (SANDY SILT)	118
Figure 5.62: The variation of apparent cohesion with matric suction (SANDY SILT)	119
Figure 5.63: The variation of maximum shear strength (at failure) with matric suction for different loading conditions (SANDY SILT).....	121
Figure 5.64: The variation of apparent cohesion with matric suction (SANDY SILT)	121
Figure 6.1: Arrangement of KU tensiometers in permeability function for drying/wetting path test	125
Figure 6.2: Typical arrangement for permeability function test for drying path.....	128
Figure 6.3: The variation of soil mass with time for SILTY SAND (drying path). 128	
Figure 6.4: The variation of matric suction with time for SILTY SAND (drying path).....	129
Figure 6.5: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SILTY SAND (drying path)	129
Figure 6.6: The variation of hydraulic gradient with time for SILTY SAND (drying path).....	130
Figure 6.7: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SILTY SAND (drying path)	130
Figure 6.8: The variation of soil mass with time for SANDY SILT (drying path). 131	

Figure 6.9: The variation of matric suction with time for SANDY SILT (drying path).....	131
Figure 6.10: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SANDY SILT (drying path)	132
Figure 6.11: The variation of hydraulic gradient with time for SANDY SILT (drying path).....	132
Figure 6.12: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SANDY SILT (drying path).....	133
Figure 6.13: Typical arrangement for permeability function test for wetting path. .	133
Figure 6.14: The variation of soil mass with time for SILTY SAND (linear variation due to constant rate of dripping of water-wetting path)	134
Figure 6.15: The variation of matric suction with time for SILTY SAND (wetting path).....	134
Figure 6.16: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SILTY SAND (wetting path).....	135
Figure 6.17: The variation of hydraulic gradient with time for SILTY SAND (wetting path).....	135
Figure 6.18: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SILTY SAND (wetting path).....	136
Figure 6.19: The variation of soil mass with time for SANDY SILT (wetting path)	136
Figure 6.20: The variation of matric suction with time for SANDY SILT (wetting path).....	137
Figure 6.21: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SANDY SILT (wetting path).....	137
Figure 6.22: The variation of hydraulic gradient with time for SANDY SILT (wetting path).....	138
Figure 6.23: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SANDY SILT (wetting path)	138
Figure 6.24: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SILTY SAND (drying path)	139

Figure 6.25: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SANDY SILT (drying path).....	139
Figure 6.26: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SILTY SAND (wetting path).....	140
Figure 6.27: The variation of hydraulic conductivity with matric suction for SANDY SILT (wetting path)	140
Figure 6.28: The incident of water stagnated on the surface of the tested specimen during wetting path.....	141
Figure 7.1: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SILTY SAND	143
Figure 7.2: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SANDY SILT	144
Figure 7.3: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SANDY SILT	145
Figure 7.4: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) during drying path for SILTY SAND	146
Figure 7.5: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) during drying path for SANDY SILT	146
Figure 7.6: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) during wetting path for SILTY SAND	147
Figure 7.7: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) during wetting path for SANDY SILT	147
Figure 7.8: The variation of percentage passing with particle size for SILTY SAND	150
Figure 7.9: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction for SILTY SAND	150
Figure 7.10: The variation of model parameter with particle diameter for SILTY SAND	151
Figure 7.11: The variation of percentage passing with particle size for SANDY SILT	151

Figure 7.12: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction for SANDY SILT	152
Figure 7.13: The variation of model parameter with particle diameter for SANDY SILT.....	152
Figure 7.14: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SILTY SAND for various methods	153
Figure 7.15: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction (SWCC) for SANDY SILT for various methods	153

List of tables

Table 3.1: Summary index property test for soil type 01(SILTY SAND)	55
Table 3.2: Summary index property test for soil type 02 (SANDY SILT)	55
Table 3.3: Specimen details for the consolidation test	59
Table 3.4: Variation of coefficient of consolidation.....	59
Table 4.1: The condition of the specimens used for the series for SILTY SAND	64
Table 4.2: Variation of matric suction with volumetric water content for SILTY SAND	64
Table 4.3: The condition of the specimens used for the series for SANDY SILT	65
Table 4.4: Variation of matric suction with volumetric water content for SANDY SILT.....	66
Table 5.1: The condition of tested specimen for fully saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	69
Table 5.2: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for fully saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	71
Table 5.3: Shear strength parameters for fully saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	72
Table 5.4: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 40% (as it is) saturated condition (SILTY SAND).....	72
Table 5.5: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for approximately 40% (as it is) saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	74
Table 5.6: Shear strength parameters for approximately 40% (as it is) saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	75
Table 5.7: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 65% saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	75
Table 5.8: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for approximately 65% saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	77
Table 5.9: Shear strength parameters for approximately 65% saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	78
Table 5.10: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 83% saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	78

Table 5.11: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for approximately 83% saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	80
Table 5.12: Shear strength parameters for approximately 83% saturated condition (SILTY SAND)	81
Table 5.13: The variation of apparent cohesion with degree of saturation (SILTY SAND).....	81
Table 5.14: The variation of apparent cohesion with volumetric water content (SILTY SAND)	82
Table 5.15: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction and apparent cohesion (SILTY SAND)	83
Table 5.16: The condition of tested specimen for fully saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	89
Table 5.17: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for fully saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	91
Table 5.18: Shear strength parameters for fully saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	92
Table 5.19: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 50% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	93
Table 5.20: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	94
Table 5.21: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	96
Table 5.22: Shear strength parameters for approximately 65% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	97
Table 5.23: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	100
Table 5.24: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	101
Table 5.25: Shear strength parameters for approximately 72% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	102

Table 5.26: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	106
Table 5.27: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	107
Table 5.28: Shear strength parameters for approximately 81% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	108
Table 5.29: The condition of tested specimen for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	111
Table 5.30: Shear stress and strain values at failure with normal stress for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	113
Table 5.31: Shear strength parameters for approximately 92% saturated condition (SANDY SILT)	114
Table 5.32: The variation of apparent cohesion with degree of saturation (SANDY SILT)	117
Table 5.33: The variation of apparent cohesion with volumetric water content (SANDY SILT)	118
Table 5.34: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction and apparent cohesion (SANDY SILT)	119
Table 5.35: The variation of shear strength, normalized shear strength with matric suction for different saturated condition (SANDY SILT).....	120
Table 7.1: The variation of volumetric water content with matric suction for different saturation level and normal stress for before and after the shearing for SANDY SILT (from direct shear test data).....	144
Table 7.2: The parameters used in the Arya & Paris method.....	148

List of symbols

A	- Cross sectional area of the sample
A^*	- Point corresponds to the air-entry value
B^*	- Point corresponds to residual Water Content
c_a	- Apparent cohesion
c^s	- Additional cohesion in unsaturated soil due to matric suction
c'	- Effective cohesion
C_v	- Coefficient of consolidation
dt	- Change of time
dV_w	- Change of volume of water
dz	- Change of elevation head
e	- Void ratio of the soil
e_0	- Initial void ratio
e_f	- Final void ratio
g	- Acceleration due to gravity
G_s	- Specific gravity of the soil
h_i	- Total pore length
h_t	- Total head
H_1	- Height of drainage path for section- 1
H_2	- Height of drainage path for section- 2
i	- Hydraulic gradient
I_r	- Rainfall intensity
k	- Permeability of the soil
m	- Soil mass
m_v	- Coefficient of volume compressibility

n_i	- Number of spherical particles
p	- Normal stress applied for consolidation test
q	- Boundary flux
Q	- Nodal flux
r_i	- Pore radius
R_i	- Mean particle radius
R_s	- Radius of curvature
s	- Matric suction
S_r	- Degree of saturation of the soil
t	- Elapsed time
t_{90}	- Time taken for 90% consolidation
$t_{90,1}$	- Time taken for 90% consolidation for Section-1
$t_{90,2}$	- Time taken for 90% consolidation for Section-2
T_{90}	- Time factor for 90% consolidation
T_s	- Surface tension
u_a	- Pore air pressure
u_w	- Pore water pressure
$(u_a - u_w)_b$	- Matric suction at air-entry value
$(u_a - u_w)_{calc}$	- Matric suction calculated
$(u_a - u_w)_{meas}$	- Matric suction measured
$(u_a - u_w)_r$	- Matric suction at residual water content
v	- Flux or discharge velocity
V	- Volume of soil
V_b	- Sample bulk volume per unit sample mass
V_{pi}	- Total solid volume

V_t	- Voltage at any suction/pressure at the time
V_{vi}	- Pore volume per unit sample mass in i^{th} particle size range
V_w	- Volume of water of soil
V_0	- Voltage at atmospheric pressure
w	- Gravimetric moisture content of the soil
W_i	- Solid mass per unit sample mass in i^{th} particle size range
z	- Elevation head of each tensiometer relative to the base of sample
W_s	- Solid weight of the soil
γ_w	- Unit weight of the water
θ_r	- Residual volumetric water content
θ_s	- Saturated volumetric water content
θ_w	- Volumetric water content
θ	- Contact angle
$(\sigma_n - u_a)$	- Net normal stress
τ	- Shear stress
ϕ'	- Effective internal angle of friction
σ_n	- Normal stress
$\sigma', (\sigma_n - u_w)$	- Effective stress
τ_{max}	- Shear strength at failure
ϕ^b	- Angle of shearing resistance due to suction
σ_x	- Total normal stress in the x-direction (or on the x-plane)
σ_y	- Total normal stress in the y-direction (or on the y-plane)
σ_z	- Total normal stress in the z-direction (or on the z-plane)
$(\sigma_x - u_a)$	- Net normal stress in the x-direction
$(\sigma_y - u_a)$	- Net normal stress in the y-direction

$(\sigma_z - u_a)$	- Net normal stress in the z-direction
τ_{xy}	- Shear stress on the x-plane in the y-direction
τ_{xz}	- Shear stress on the x-plane in the z-direction
τ_{yx}	- Shear stress on the y-plane in the x-direction
τ_{yz}	- Shear stress on the y-plane in the z-direction
τ_{zx}	- Shear stress on the z-plane in the x-direction
τ_{zy}	- Shear stress on the z-plane in the y-direction
ρ_p	- Particle density
θ_{vi}	- Volumetric water content i^{th} particle size range
θ_{vi}^*	- Average volumetric water content represent by mid-point of the i^{th} particle size range
θ_{vi+1}	- Volumetric water content $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$ particle size range
π	- Mathematical constant (Pi)
α	- Model parameter
ρ_w	- Density of water
ρ_d	- Dry density of soil
ρ_w	- Density of water
ψ	- Soil water pressure head

List of abbreviations

Al	- Aluminum
Al ₂ O ₃	- Aluminum Oxide
ATM	- Atmospheric pressure
ATT	- At The Time
BSCS	- British Soil Classification System
Cr	- Chromium
E01	- Express way No.1
Fe	- Iron
Fe ₂ O ₃	- Ferric Oxide
GED	- Geotechnical Engineering Division
GIL	- Geotechnical Innovation Laboratory
HC	- Highland Complex
KC	- Kadugannawa Complex
KU	- Kasetsart University
KU T1	- Kasetsart University Tensionmeter type 1
KU T2	- Kasetsart University Tensionmeter type 2
KU T3	- Kasetsart University Tensionmeter type 3
KU T4	- Kasetsart University Tensionmeter type 4
LCD	- Liquid Crystal Display
MEMs	- Micro Electro Mechanical System
Mn	- Manganese
MH	- SANDY elastic SILT
MS	- SANDY SILT
NBRO	- National Building Research Organization

Ni	- Nickel
PVC	- Poly Vinyl Chloride
SD	- Secure Digital
SM	- SILTY SAND
SWCC	- Soil Water Characteristic Curve
VC	- Vijayan Complex
WC	- Wannu Complex
1-D	- One Dimensional

Annexures

Annex 1: Particle size distribution test results.....	164-165
Annex 2: Direct shear test results.....	166-175
Annex 3: Matric suction results during direct shear tests.....	176-177
Annex 4: Permeability function results.....	178-179
Annex 5: Arya & Paris method results.....	180-182