# CO-FIRING BIOMASS WITH COAL IN PULVERIZED COAL FIRED BOILERS

Shami Ridma Himanthaka Mudunkotuwa

(118680F)



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

April 2016

# CO-FIRING BIOMASS WITH COAL IN PULVERIZED COAL FIRED BOILERS

Shami Ridma Himanthaka Mudunkotuwa

(118680F)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

April 2016

#### DECLERATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried but research for the Masters thesis theor my Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

### **DEDICATION**

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my mother, father, all teachers who educated me and to my wife.



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I am very grateful to my supervisor, Eng. (Mr.) Anura Wijayapala, for his guidance and support throughout the period of the research. I would also like to thank Dr. Rohan Fernando and Mr. Parakrama Jayasinghe for their time and valuable suggestions given to aid my progress. I would also like to express my great appreciations to the Head of the Department and all the staff of the Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa for their assistance and support. Lastly and the most importantly, I would also like to thank my family for their support and encouragement throughout the entire process.



#### ABSTRACT

Power generation using pulverized coal power technology is a very mature and extremely popular technical trend in the global scenario. The first coal fired power plant complex in Sri Lanka, Lakvijaya Power Station employs the same technology. For a country like Sri Lanka, import of coal will cost a lot of foreign exchange since it has no coal reserves within the country. Also, as a nation, it is strategically advantageous to rely on multiple fuels which reduces energy imports in to the country. Biomass co-firing is successfully being demonstrated around the world. There are several co-firing technologies and the pulverized coal fired plants can retrofit the technology very easily. By doing so, there are many benefits that a nation can achieve. The amount of fuel can be conserved while substituting it with a suitable type of available biomass. Hence, a direct nationwide economic benefit can be achieved. Also, with the global climatic changes, the world is currently looking for way to reduce and compensate to green house gas emissions. Biomass co-firing is also beneficial in that manner since a significant amount of fossil fuels will be substituted with carbon neutral biomass. When infroducing co-fifing technology, there are many Tronic Theses & Dissertations. They are of technical, economical and social of nature, other aspects to be considered. and hence can impact national economy in various ways. As a nation whose future generation plan is coal dominant, it is vital that Sri Lanka consider this particular concept seriously.

In this thesis, glerecedia is considered as the candidate biomass option which will be mixed with coal to be fired within the same boiler. An extensive analysis is carried out and elaborated in this thesis in regard to technical, economical and other concerns arising when co-firing is introduced to an existing pulverized coal fired installation. As a case study Lakvijaya Power Station Complex is considered. It is concluded that the introduction of direct co-firing techniques and subsequently addressing minor concerns related to it, can be demonstrated in a commercial scale successfully. It is recommended to carry out initial trials up to a co-firing ratio of 5%. This report will focus on the design of co-firing arrangement up to a maximum of 5% as it is the globally established benchmark for direct co-firing strategy.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of the candidate & Supervisor			i	
Dedication			ii	
Acknowledgements			iii	
Abstra	act		iv	
Table of content			v	
List of	f Figure	28	vii	
List of Tables			ix	
List of Abbreviations			Х	
List of	f Apper	ndices	xi	
1.	Introd	uction	1	
	1.1	Global Status of Co-firing	2	
	1.2	Properties:Coal vs Biomass	3	
	1.3	Technology Options for Co-firing	4	
		1.3.1 Direct Co-firing University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	4	
In direct Co-firing heses & Dissertations Parallel Confiring t. ac.lk				
	1.6	Co-firing Ratio	9	

### 2. Methodology

2.1	Select	ection of Type of Biomass for Co-firing		
2.2	Pretre	Pretreatment Plant Design		17
2.3	A Tec	chnical Comparison: Selection of Co-firing Technology		23
	2.3.1	Direct Co	p-firing	23
		2.3.1.1	Direct Co-firing : Option 1	23
		2.3.1.2	Direct Co-firing : Option 2	26
		2.3.1.3	Direct Co-firing : Option 3	27
	2.3.2	Indirect C	Co-firing	29
	2.3.3	Parallel Co-firing		30
	2.3.4	Comparis	son and Conclusion	30
2.4	Final Design		36	

	2.4.1	Introduction	36
	2.4.2	Design Aspects	36
		2.4.2.1 Injection Mechanism for Biomass	37
		2.4.2.2 Biomass Feeding and Conveying System Design	44
		2.4.2.3 Control Logic for the System	45
	2.4.3	Design Finalization	48
2.5	Econo	mical Evaluation	49
	2.5.1	Introduction	49
	2.5.2	Capital Cost Components	49
	2.5.3	Operational and Maintenance Costs	51
	2.5.4	Fuel Saving Revenue	53
	2.5.5	Social and Environmental Benefits	55
	2.5.6	Overall Economic Evaluation	56
	2.5.7	Conclusion	56

3. Conclu	isions and	Recommendations	57
Reference List		University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	59
Reference Lis		Electronic Theses & Dissertations	57
		www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	
Appendix A:	Importan	t Parameters Required for Co-firing Fuel Calculations	61

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	5	Page
1.1	Coal Power Plants Experienced Biomass Co-firing	2
1.2	Options Available for Direct co-firing	5
1.3	Schematic Diagram for In-direct co-firing	6
1.4	Schematic Diagram for Parallel co-firing	6
1.5	Typical Arrangement of a Pulverized Coal Fired Power Station	7
1.6	Corner and Multilayer Firing	8
1.7	Tangential Firing	8
2.1	Co-firing Design Process	11
2.2	Ultimate Analysis of Glerecedia Sample (dry basis)	12
2.3	Analysis of Glerecedia Sample (As received)	12
2.4	Chemical Analysis of Glerecedia Sample	13
2.5	Sticks of Glerecedia	14
2.6	Chopped Sticks of Glerecedia	14
2.7	Moisture vs time for Glerecedia Raw Sticks & Husked Sticks University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	14
2.8	Process Flow Diagram for Pretreatment Plant Electronic Theses & Dissertations	17
2.9	Operation of a disc chipper for wood 1k	18
2.10	Operation of a rotary dryer	19
2.11	Dryer Heating Device	19
2.12	Crusher Mill with Airlock and Cyclone Separator	22
2.13	Silo Storage System	22
2.14	Mixing Biomass & coal under 1st Method of Direct Co-firing	24
2.15	1 <sup>st</sup> Method of Direct Co-firing Process Schematic	24
2.16	2 <sup>nd</sup> Method of Direct Co-firing Process Schematic (single layer)	26
2.17	3 <sup>rd</sup> Method of Direct Co-firing Process Schematic	28
2.18	Comparison of all three options (section view of boiler)	31
2.19	Air flow rates in to furnace during all Direct Options	33
2.20	Overall Process Design Schematic	36
2.21	Glerecedia Injection Point	38
2.22	Representation of Velocity Components	39
2.23	Solid Works 3D Flow Analysis Model for Velocity Simulation	40

2.24	Pipe front plane section Results for Velocity Analysis	40
2.25	Velocity Cut Plots along the pipe cross section	41
2.26	Velocity Plots Along the Length of the Pipe	41
2.27	Modified Flow Analysis Injection Model with Expander	42
2.28	Pipe front plane section Results for Velocity Analysis with Expand	er
	(above & Comparison with the case without expander)	42
2.29	Velocity Plots along the Length of the Pipe after adding Expander	43
2.30	Velocity Cut Plots along the pipe cross section with Expander	43
2.31	Silo and Feeding System	44
2.32	Biomass Feeding Tree for Pipelines	45
2.33	Silo and Feeding System	44
2.34	Total Cost of Biomass vs Distance Traversed	54
2.35	Summary : Project Capital, Income and Expenditure over the time	56



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Thermal Properties of Coal and Biomass	3
1.2	Chemical Properties of Coal and Biomass	3
1.3	Co-firing Ratios of Various Pulverized Coal Fired Power Stations	9
2.1	Comparison between Direct Co-firing Options 1 & 2	33
2.2	Costs and Income Components	49
2.3	Cost Breakdown for Pretreatment Plant	50
2.4	Cost Breakdown for Feeding & Injection System	51
2.5	Cost Breakdown for Plant Maintenance	52



#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CFR	Co-firing Ratio
BM	Biomass
GCV	Gross Calorific Value
NCV	Net Calorific Value



#### LIST OF APPENDICES

AppendixDescriptionAppendix APower Plant and Other Data used for Calculations

