EFFECT OF FINE PERCENTAGE ON PROPERTIES OF SUBBASE MATERIAL

I.I.I. Inan

(118855 X)



Master of Engineering in Highway and Traffic Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

September 2015

EFFECT OF FINE PERCENTAGE ON PROPERTIES OF SUBBASE MATERIAL

I.I.I.Inan

(118855 X)



Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

September 2015

Declaration of the Candidate and Supervisor

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning, and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic, or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	D	Date:
The above candidate		
Dissertation under m	iy supervision.	
Signature of the supe	ervisor:	Date:

Effect of Fine Percentage on Properties of Subbase Material

Abstract

With the huge infrastructure development in Sri Lanka, road construction plays a vital role. Massive quantities of construction materials are required for these highway and expressway constructions. Finding Subbase material as per specification is a major issue in most part of the country. Therefore, in some road construction projects, crushed stone is used as an alternative material to replace Subbase material. Due to the scarcity of good quality material, there is a need of research to use marginal materials for sustainable development in the highway industry.

Standard Specification for Construction and Maintenance of Roads and Bridges(SCA/5) (SSCM) (ICTAD,2009) is used as a road construction specification in Sri Lanka. Liquid limit(LL), plastic limit(PL), maximum dry density(MDD), California Bearing Ratio (CBR), and sieve analysis are specified in selection of gravel Subbase material. According to sieve analysis requirements in SSCM, percentage of passing 75µm sieve should be 5-25% by weight. This grading limit for Subbase material was adapted to the specification in second edition of SSCM in 2009. Questionnaire survey conducted among senior engineers has expressed that one of the least important parameters in material selections was grading (84% of the participants) and 16% of the engineers have expressed grading as the most difficult parameter to meet. This study was conducted to evaluate the possibility of relaxing the passing percentage of fine fraction.

Experimental study was conducted by altering the fine fraction of soils, varying from 0-40%. Properties of these samples were tested and it revealed a linear relationship with high correlation factor between fine fraction of the material and its properties (CBR, MDD, OMC). Only three samples out of ten samples were within the grading band requirement and nine samples out of ten samples satisfied CBR requirements. By scrutinizing the findings and available literature, it can be recommended that grading band of No.200 sieve passing can be relaxed up to 35% if soil sample satisfy the specified CBR requirement (30), PI value is less than or equal to 10, and swell percentage is less than 2%. Further, linear regression models were fitted to assess the CBR of material with reference to fine fraction(Percentage passing of 425μm, 300μm, 75μm sieves). Statistical analysis explained that material passing 425μm and retained on 300μm, and 75μm passing percentage are the significant parameters when predicting CBR of the selected soil in this study.

Key words: Subbase Material, Grading Band, Fine Fraction

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, for selecting me to follow the post graduate programme MEng in Highway & Traffic Engineering.

I convey my respectful gratitude to my supervisor, Professor W.K.Mampearachchi, for his guidance, supervision, stimulating motivation, and valuable ideas throughout this research. Without his help this thesis would not been possible.

I extend my thanks to the lectures and staff of Transportation Engineering Division, with special appreciation to Professor J.M.S.J. Bandara, for their thoughts and guidance for completion of this research and thesis.

I am grateful to my employer, Road Development Authority, for the awarded sponsorship to follow the master of engineering programme and granting official leave for studies.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

A special thank is due to my friend Nadeesha Abeysinghe and his staff, for not only providing support to do the experimental laboratory work, but also for valuable comments and suggestions.

Finally, I wish to express my eternal appreciation towards my family, who were always there for me no matter where I am, with unconditional support and patience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of the candidate and Supervisor	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of contents	iv
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix
List of Abbreviations	X
List of Appendices	xi
1. Introduction	
1.1. Introduction University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	1
1.2. Problem Statementronic Theses & Dissertations	2
1.3. Objective www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	3
2. Literature Survey	
2.1. Selection of Soil for Road Construction	4
2.1.1. Soil Classification-Method of Formation	4
2.1.2. Soil Classification-Gradation	5
2.2. Fine Grained Soil-Clays	6
2.2.1. Formation	6
2.2.2. Clay Components and Particles	6
2.2.3. Kaolinite	8
2.2.4. Illite and Montmorillonite	8
2.2.5. Swelling and Expansion	9
2.2.6. Clay Classification	11
2.3. Soil Classification Systems	12

	2.3.1. AASHTO Soil Classification System	13
	2.3.2. USCS Soil Classification System	16
	2.4. Research Findings	20
3.	Subbase Construction Practices in Sri Lanka	
	3.1. Introduction	28
	3.2. First Edition of SSCM 2002	28
	3.3. Second Edition of SSCM 2009	29
	3.4. Quality Tests for Subbase	30
	3.4.1. Introduction	30
	3.4.2. Atterberg Limits of a Soil	31
	3.4.2.1. Determination of the Liquid Limit of a Soil	32
	3.4.2.2. Determination of the Plastic Limit of a Soil	35
	3.4.3. Maximum Dry Density(Modified) Test of a Soil	37
	3.4.4. California Bearing Ratio (CBR) Test of a Soil	41
	3.4.5. Sieve Analysis Test of a Soil University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	45
	3.5. Study on Specification Limits and Properties of Subbase Material	48
4.	Methodology www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	
	4.1. Introduction	49
	4.2. Preparation of Subbase Material	49
	4.3. Test Methods to Find the Properties	51
	4.4. Properties of Prepared Subbase Material	51
	4.5. Preparation of 10 Soil Samples	52
	4.6. Properties of prepared 10 samples	53
5.	Analysis of Test Results	
	5.1. Soil Classification	59
	5.2. Correlation Between Material Properties	60
	5.2.1. Relationship Between CBR vs. Passing Percentages	61
	5.2.2. Relationship Between MDD vs. Passing Percentages	63
	5.2.3. Relationship Between OMC vs. passing percentages	65

5.2.4. Relationship Between CBR vs. MDD	67
5.2.5. Relationship Between CBR vs. OMC	67
5.2.6. Relationship Between MDD vs. OMC	68
5.3. Combined Effect of Fine Fractions on CBR	69
6. Conclusions and Recommendations	71
Reference List	72
List of Appendices	
Appendix: A - Data Analysis	75
Appendix: B - Tests Summary	90
Appendix: C - Soil Classification in Samples	93
Appendix: D - Sample Questionnaire Form & Summary of Survey	96
Appendix: E Urediction of CBR/Iosingute and Gei Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	100

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	The effect of kaolin clay content on CBR	21
Figure 2.2	Relationship between the CBR and the fines content	22
Figure 2.3	Variation of CBR with Fines (%)	23
Figure 2.4	Relationship between CBR and percent passing No. 200 sieve	23
Figure 2.5	Relationship between CBR and percent passing No. 4 sieve	24
Figure 2.6	Relationship between CBR and maximum dry density	24
Figure 2.7	Plasticity index versus CBR for the experimental	
	soil samples at OMC	25
Figure 2.8	Maximum dry density versus CBR for all experimental	
	soil samples at OMC	26
Figure 2.9	Cross section of road showing drainage arrangements	27
Figure 3.1	Atterberg Lymisiand Soil Wolfante relationshipsanka.	32
Figure 3.2	Equid Limit Test apparatus Liquid Limit Test apparatus	33
Figure 3.3	Finding Liquid Limit- water content % at 25 number of Blows	35
Figure 3.4	Plastic Limit Test	37
Figure 3.5	Placing loose soil into the mould	39
Figure 3.6	Immersed Soil Specimens in a water tank	43
Figure 3.7	Data recording in CBR test	44
Figure 3.8	Sieve Analysis Test	47
Figure 4.1	Selected soils for blending	50
Figure 4.2	Grading details of Subbase sample	52
Figure 4.3	No. 40 sieve passing of Type 2 soil	52
Figure 4.4	Particle size distribution of samples	56
Figure 4.5	CBR change according to sieve passing	57
Figure 4.6	MDD change according to sieve passing	58
Figure 4.7	OMC change according to sieve passing	58

Figure 5.1	Relationship between CBR and percent passing	
	through 425µm sieve	61
Figure 5.2	Relationship between CBR and percent passing	
	through 300µm sieve	62
Figure 5.3	Relationship between CBR and percent passing	
	through 75µm sieve	62
Figure 5.4	Relationship between MDD and percent passing	
	through 425µm sieve	63
Figure 5.5	Relationship between MDD and percent passing	
	through 300µm sieve	64
Figure 5.6	Relationship between MDD and percent passing	
	through 75µm sieve	64
Figure 5.7	Relationship between OMC and percent passing University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
1 3	Prough 425 µm sieve Theses & Dissertations	65
Figure 5.8	Relationship between OMC and percent passing	
	through 300µm sieve	66
Figure 5.9	Relationship between OMC and percent passing	
	through 75µm sieve	66
Figure 5.10	Relationship between CBR and MDD	67
Figure 5.11	Relationship between CBR and OMC	68
Figure 5.12	Relationship between MDD and OMC	69

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Ten fine-grained soil components	10
Table 2.2	Expansive potential of a clay	12
Table 2.3	AASHTO Classification of soils and soil aggregate mixtures	15
Table 2.4	USCS Definition of Particle Sizes	17
Table 2.5	Unified Soil Classification System	19
Table 2.6	Comparable Soil Groups in the AASHTO and USCS Systems	20
Table 3.1	Requirements of Upper Subbase-Flexible pavement	30
Table 3.2	Grading requirements for Upper Subbase	31
Table 4.1	Properties of blending soils	49
Table 4.2	Sieve Analysis Details of blending soils	50
Table 4.3	Sieve Analysis Report of Subbase Material	51
Table 4.4	Blending details of prepared 10 samples Sri Lanka.	54
Table 4.5	Particle size analysis of prepared 10 samplestions	55
Table 4.6	Material properties of prepared 10 samples	57
Table 5.1	Summary of soil samples classifications	59

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASTHO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

CBR California Bearing Ratio

CEA Central Environmental Authority
EPL Environmental Protection License

GI Group Index

GSMB Geological Survey and Mines Bureau

ICTAD Institute for Construction Training and Development

IML Industrial Mining License

LL Liquid Limit

MDD Maximum Dry Density

NP Non-Plastic

OMC Optimum Moisture Content

PI Plasticity Index

PL Plastic Liniversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

RDA Flectronic Theses & Dissertations

SSCM Standard Specification for Construction and Maintains of Highways and

Bridges

TRL Transport Research Laboratory

USCS Unified Soil Classification System

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix - A Data Analysis

Appendix - B Tests Summary

Appendix - C Soil Classification in Samples

Appendix - D Sample Questionnaire Form & Summary of Survey

Appendix - E Prediction of CBR Using the Model

