

ACTIVE VIBRATION SUPPRESSION WITH DISTURBANCE FORCE ESTIMATION

Wickaramaarachchi Abeysiriwardhana Shanaka Prageeth
Abeysiriwardhana

(148031V)



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

September 2015

ACTIVE VIBRATION SUPPRESSION WITH DISTURBANCE FORCE ESTIMATION

Wickaramaarachchi Abeysiriwardhana Shanaka Prageeth
Abeysiriwardhana

(148031V)



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of
Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

September 2015

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

Wickramaarachchi Abeywardhana Shanaka Prageeth Abeywardhana
 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

The above candidate has carried out research for Masters Dissertation under my supervision. I endorse the declaration by the candidate.

Dr. A.M.Harsha S.Abeykoon

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis is a partial requirement for the completion of Master of Science degree in University of Moratuwa. This text is a compilation of research work that has been carried out at the Control and Robotics Laboratory, Electrical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. This research was supported by University of Moratuwa Senate Research Grant no: SRC/ Cap/14/14.

This research was performed under the supervision of **Dr. A.M.Harsha S. Abeykoon**. I would like to show him my gratitude for his continuous guidance and support to fulfill this task.

Many thanks and gratitude to **Professor Sudath Rohan Munasinghe, Dr. W. D. Asanka S. Rodrigo** Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa. As the review panel, their valuable comments, encouragements and discussions in the progress review meetings helped me to achieved this task

I deeply thank Mr. Viraj Muthugala and R.M.M. Ruwanthika, Postgraduate students, Electrical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

All members of Electrical Engineering Department, University of Moratuwa are also gratefully acknowledged. They constantly helped me during my research activity.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have assisted me in my life specially my parents. Last but not least, I should thank Dr.A.M.Harsha S.Abeykoon for his guidance.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to the successful realization of thesis, as well as expressing my apology that I could not mention personally one by one.

Wickramaarachchi Abeysiriwardhana Shanaka Prageeth Abeysiriwardhana

University of Moratuwa

September 2015

ABSTRACT

Vibration is a basic phenomenon that has attracted control engineering's attention for many decades. Vibration rejection in control systems is implemented with passive, semi active and active vibration suppression systems. These methods commonly use multiple redundant sensors. Multiple sensor usage and complex control has implemented the cost of operation and complexity. Minimal sensor usage to provide vibration suppression within the commonly used acceleration or deflection observation could be used to reduce the complexity and cost of the system. This dissertation proposes a novel methods which uses either the acceleration or motor deflection measurement based disturbance force observers for vibration observations and to suppress the vibrations with active vibration suppression. The proposed system is capable of estimating the disturbances and compensate disturbances using the only a acceleration or suspension deflection sensory data. Proposed system still could work as a traditional vibration suppression system in case of a failure to active system. Active force to be injected is calculated based on the disturbance forces acting on the sprung mass. A novel method is proposed for spring and damper parameter measurement with electromagnetic actuators which enhance the overall system performance. A Quarter car model is used to illustrate the adaptability, robustness, and the vibration suppression capabilities of the system. Performance of the active vibration suppressor and disturbance observer is measured using system simulations and practical results. Simulation and practical system responses provide evidence of robust vibration suppression capabilities of the proposed method under different conditions.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Keywords:

Active Suspension, Active Vibration Suppression, Disturbance Observer, Reaction Force Observer, Disturbance Rejection.

Table of Contents

DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Vibration	2
1.3 Vibration suppression and measurement.....	2
1.4 Objectives and Contribution.....	4
1.5 Thesis Content.....	5
2 Literature Review.....	7
2.1 Introduction to Vibration Suppression	7
2.2 Passive Vibration Suppression.....	8
2.3 Semi Active Vibration Suppression.....	9
2.4 Active Vibration Suppression.....	10
2.5 Actuators and Sensors	12
2.6 Quarter Car Model	13
3 Disturbance Observer Based Control.....	17
3.1 Linear Motor Model	17
3.2 Disturbance Force Measurement.....	19
3.3 Free moving Linear Motor Model.....	21
3.4 Application of DOB in Active Suspension	22
3.5 Proposed Disturbance Force Based Vibration Suppressor Performance	24
3.5.1 Simulation Results	24
4 Virtual Spring Damper Vibration Suppressor	29
4.1 Virtual Spring Damper Motor Model.....	29

4.2	Disturbance Force Measurement.....	30
4.3	Virtual Spring Damper Controller.....	31
4.4	Proposed Virtual Spring Damper Vibration Suppression Performance.....	32
4.4.1	Simulation Results	32
5	Parameter Estimation And Effects.....	36
5.1	Passive Spring Damper System Model	36
5.2	Spring Damper Parameter Estimation.....	37
5.3	Effects of Parameters on Measurement and Control.....	39
5.4	Results.....	40
5.5	Conclusion.....	42
6	Experimental System	43
6.1	System Design.....	43
6.1.1	Electrical.....	43
6.1.2	Mechanical.....	46
6.2	Mechanical Design.....	47
6.2.1	Encoder Assembly	48
6.3	Auxiliary systems.....	49
6.3.1	Power Supply	49
6.3.2	Pole sensing	51
6.4	Hardware implementation.....	52
6.4.1	Disturbance profile generator.....	52
6.4.2	Mechanical assembly	54
6.4.3	Circuit Implementation	55
6.5	Software implementation	59
6.5.1	Software and hardware description language (HDL) coding.....	59
6.5.2	Design stages	64



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
 Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

	6.5.3 Mbed microprocessor software.....	65
7	Conclusion & Results	66
	7.1 Experimental Results of DOB based disturbance estimation.....	66
	7.2 Experimental Results of spring damper based disturbance estimation	68
	7.3 Conclusion.....	69
8	References.....	70
9	Appendix.....	74



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Conventional Passive Suspension [43]	8
Figure 2.2: Read Head Vibration Suppression [44]	8
Figure 2.3: Sky hook damper model [55]	9
Figure 2.4: Semi active suspension system performance [56]	9
Figure 2.5: Multi Objective Active Vibration Suppression System Performance [57]	11
Figure 2.6: Parallel Actuator Arrangement	11
Figure 2.7: Series Actuator Arrangement	11
Figure 2.8: Variable Geometry Suspension	11
Figure 2.9: Electromagnetic Actuator Performance [59]	12
Figure 2.10: Observable Suspension Parameters [61]	12
Figure 2.11: Quarter Car Model	14
Figure 3.1: Linear Motor Design	17
Figure 3.2: Linear Motor Electrical Model	18
Figure 3.3: Simplified Model of a Linear Motor	19
Figure 3.4: Disturbance observer model	20
Figure 3.5: Modified DOB Estimation	20
Figure 3.6: Linear Motor with a Moving Forcer	22
Figure 3.7: Active Suspension System Forces	21
Figure 3.8: Active Vibration Measurement DOB/RFOB	24
Figure 3.9: Active Vibration Suppression System Model	24
Figure 3.10: Passive Suspension Response	25
Figure 3.11: Active Suspension Response	25
Figure 3.12: Pole Zero Diagram of Active Suspension System	26
Figure 3.13: System Response for Filter Gains	26
Figure 3.14: Step Response of the System	26
Figure 3.15: System Response for Sinusoidal Vibration	27
Figure 3.16: Disturbance and RFOB forces	27
Figure 3.17: System Response for sinusoidal sprung mass variation with disturbance	27
Figure 3.18: System response for complex sinusoidal disturbance	28
Figure 4.1: Spring Damper Based Disturbance Observer	30
Figure 4.2: Virtual Spring Damper Controller	32
Figure 4.3: Passive Suspension Frequency Response	33
Figure 4.4: Active Suspension Frequency Response	33
Figure 4.5: Active Suspension System Pole Zero Diagram	34
Figure 4.6 : Fuzzy, SMC frequency response [56]	34
Figure 4.7: Proposed system frequency response	35
Figure 4.8: Lead Screw system frequency response	35
Figure 4.9: Proposed system frequency response	36
Figure 4.10: Multi objective suspension performance	36
Figure 4.11: Proposed system performance	36
Figure 5.1: Illustration of Suspension System under Dynamic Loads	36
Figure 5.2: Velocity and Position Control Loops	38
Figure 5.3: Spring coefficient variation with deflection	40
Figure 5.4: damping coefficient variation with deflection	40
Figure 5.5: Measurement with negative C_s error	41
Figure 5.6: Measurement with positive C_s error	41

<i>Figure 5.7: Measurement with negative Ks error</i>	41
<i>Figure 5.8: Measurement with positive Ks error</i>	41
<i>Figure 6.1: Overall hardware interconnection</i>	44
<i>Figure 6.2: Forcer and shaft assembly of linear motor [69]</i>	44
<i>Figure 6.3: Structure of linear shaft motor [70]</i>	45
<i>Figure 6.4: Mechanical Structure</i>	47
<i>Figure 6.5: Encoder motor shaft assembly (a)</i>	49
<i>Figure 6.6: Encoder motor shaft assembly (b)</i>	49
<i>Figure 6.7: Permanent magnet poles and the windings [81]</i>	51
<i>Figure 6.8: Pattern of the locations of the pole sensors, pole sensor signals and the wiring diagram [82]</i>	51
<i>Figure 6.9: Internal circuit of the hall element [83]</i>	52
<i>Figure 6.10: Basic hardware assembly of the disturbance profile generator</i>	52
<i>Figure 6.11: The cam profile and the displacement diagram</i>	53
<i>Figure 6.12: Two different disturbance profiles generated by the cam for different angles of rotation</i>	53
<i>Figure 6.13: The position control block diagram</i>	54
<i>Figure 6.14: Implemented Quater Car Model</i>	54
<i>Figure 6.15: Schematic diagram of the circuit layout of the power supply unit and the rotary encoder interface</i>	55
<i>Figure 6.16: Schematic diagram of pole sensor and the linear encoder interface</i>	57
<i>Figure 6.17: Mbed interface circuit layout</i>	59
<i>Figure 6.18: MOVO SRI current command wave form and connection</i>	62
<i>Figure 6.19: Trapezoidal BLDC current command and torque output</i>	62
<i>Figure 7.1: System response for 1.5Hz vibration</i>	67
<i>Figure 7.2: System response for 3Hz vibration</i>	67
<i>Figure 7.3: System response for 4Hz vibration</i>	68
<i>Figure 7.4: System response for 6Hz vibration</i>	67
<i>Figure 7.5: Passive and active experimental acceleration variations</i>	67
<i>Figure 7.6: Sprung mass Deflection with frequency</i>	68
<i>Figure 7.7: Sprung mass deflection for increasing vibration</i>	68
<i>Figure 7.8: Average deflection of the active controller for 3.5cm Deflection level at different frequencies</i>	69

TABLE OF TABLES

<i>Table 3.1: Simulation Parameters</i>	25
<i>Table 4.1: System Parameters</i>	32
<i>Table 6.1: Rated voltage levels of the different components</i>	50

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
DOB	Disturbance Observer
RFOB	Reaction Force Observer
DOF	Degree of Freedom
BLDC	Brushless Direct Current
SPI	Serial Peripheral Interface
QEI	Quadrature Encoder Interface
ppr	pulses per revolution
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Array



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk