# PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF POWER DISTRIBUTION SECTOR OF SRI LANKA BASED ON DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS

#### K.V.R.Perera

#### 109241R



Degree of Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2015

## PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF POWER DISTRIBUTION SECTOR OF SRI LANKA BASED ON DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS

#### Kankanamalage Varuni Randima Perera

#### 109241R



Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree Master of Science

Supervised by: Dr.K.T.M.Udayanga Hemapala

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2015

#### **DECLARATION**

"I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)".

(K.V.R.Perera)	udidate University of Mora Electronic Theses & www.lib.mrt.ac.lk			
The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.				
Signature of the sur	oervisor	D	Date:	
(Dr. K.T.M. Udaya		D	· u.c.	

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I pay my sincere gratitude to Dr. K.T.M. Udayanga Hemapala who encouraged and guided me to conduct this investigation and on perpetration of final dissertation.

I extend my sincere gratitude to Prof. M. P. Dias, Head of the Department of Electrical Engineering, Prof.J.Rohan Lucas and all the lectures and visiting lectures of the Department of Electrical Engineering for the support extended during the study period.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my sincere thanks to Mr. K.K.A.C.Samarasinghe, Deputy General Manager (WPN),Mr. R.R. Jayarathna, Chief Engineer (Gampaha), Mr. K.A.D. Subasinghe, Chief Engineer (Planning & Development-WPN), Mr. A.G.D.P. Jayasekara, Planning Engineer (WPN), Miss.D.Mayadunne, Accountant,Expenditure (WPN), Mrs.S.S.Welivitage, Accountant, Revenue (WPN), Mrs.M.Dissanayake, Electrical Engineer, Planning & Development (DD2) and all the Office Staff of Western Province North of Ceylon Electricity Board who gave their co-operation to conduct my research successfully. University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

It is a great pleasure to remember the wind co-operation extended by the colleagues in the post graduate programme, afriends and specially my husband Asanka Amarasinghe who helped me to continue the studies from start to end.

#### **ABSTRACT**

## Performance Evaluation of Power Distribution Sector of Sri Lanka Based on Data Envelopment Analysis

Performance benchmarking is very important for any type of organization. Results of such benchmarking studies allow the organization or the unit to compare themselves with the best organization or unit and to develop strategic plans for improvements in their performance. There are several methods and techniques for the measurement of the relative efficiency of organizations or units in relation to an efficient frontier or best practice. Each technique is either based on linear programming or econometrics. Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), Parametric Programming Analysis (PPA), Partial Factor Productivity (PFP), Corrected Ordinary Least Squares (COLS) and Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA)

The algorithm which can be followed by top managers of any organization to evaluate and improve relative performance is discussed. Relative performance of 20 areas within Distribution Division 02 (DD2) of Ceylon Electricity Board is evaluated using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). Relative efficiency scores and methods to improve efficiencies can be identified for each area.

This paper studies how to carry out DEA analysis to evaluate CRS, VRS and scale efficiency scores and slack analysis in order to find efficient input targets and output targets. Then DEA analysis was carried out with different models and you time the selected base model for the analysis. This paper also discusses the classification of DMUs according to the sensitivity analysis.

WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Generally, the study concludes that DEA analysis can be carried out to evaluate the performance of an organization, department or branch whether it is a public sector or private sector. The evaluation can be carried out once a year or once in two years in order to identify their position and utilized resources can also be reduced according to the results of the analysis.

Key words: Data Envelopment Analysis, Performance, Electricity Distribution Sector, Efficiency Score

### **Table of Contents**

DECLA	RATION	i
ACKNO	OWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTR	ACT	iii
Table of	f Contents	iv
List of I	Figures	vii
List of 7	Γables	ix
List of A	Abbreviations	X
List of A	Appendicies	xi
1 IN	TRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	MonvationUniversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka	3
1.3	Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	
1.4	Methodology	3
2 DA	ATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS	4
2.1	Introduction to DEA	4
2.2	The Mathematical Formulation of DEA	5
2.3	Orientations in DEA	7
2.4	Returns to Scale Versions	9
2.5	Basic DEA Model Classifications	10
3 AL	GORITHM FOLLOWED	11
4 EV	ALUATING DEA EFFICIENCY SCORES	14
4.1	Selection of Input & Output Variables	14
4 1	1 Introduction	14

	4.1	.2	Correlation analysis	5
	4.1	.3 In	put and output variables used in literature2	0.
	4.1	.4	Selection of input and output variables for the analysis	1
	4.1	.5	Selection of DMUs	2
	4.2	DE	A Analysis2	4
	4.2	.1	Input oriented CRS efficiency score	:4
	4.2	2	Efficiency reference set	:7
	4.2	3	VRS efficiency score	8
	4.2	.4	Slack analysis	0
	4.2	5	Efficiency targets for inputs & outputs	2
	4.2	6	Scale efficiency	3
	4.2	7	Summary of results and recommendations	4
5	DE		NALYSIS WITH DIFFERENT MODELS	9
	5.1	Pre	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Paration of Different Models	9
	5.2	100,4632	ciency Scores, for Different Models	9
	5.2	.1	CRS efficiency score	9
	5.2	2	VRS Efficiency Score	0
	5.3	Ana	alysis With Base Model & Different Models4	-2
	5.3	.1	Efficiency scores of base model and model 2	-2
	5.3	.2	Efficiency scores for base model and model 3	.4
	5.3	.3	Efficiency scores of model 4 and model 5	6
	5.3	.4	Efficiency scores for base model, model 6 & 12	.9
	5.3	.5	Analysis with different models upon exclusion of variables from bas	se
	mo	del	53	
	5.4	Cor	nclusion of DEA Analysis With Different Models5	6
	5.5	Just	tification of The Selected Base Model5	7

6	SE	NSITIVITY BASED CLASSIFICATION OF DMUS	58
6.1 Introduction		ntroduction	58
	6.2	Classification of DMUs Based On Sensitivity Analysis	58
	6.3	Results and Discussion	59
7	CC	ONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS	66
	7.1 C	onclusion	66
	7.2	Recommendations	69
R	Referen	ce List:	72
Α	npend	ix A: Data Utilized for the Study	74



## **List of Figures**

Figure 2.1 Projection of an inefficient unit on the frontier	8
Figure 2.2 Effect of scale assumption	9
Figure 2.3 Basic models based on returns to scale and model orientation	10
Figure 3.1 Algorithm followed	12
Figure 4.1 Correlated input output variables	19
Figure 4.2 Distribution Division 02	23
Figure 4.3 CRS efficiency score plot	26
Figure 4.4 VRS and Scale efficiency score plot	35
Figure 5.1 CRS efficiency scores of base model and model 2	42
Figure 5.2 Percentage difference of model 2 with base model -CRS	42
Figure 5.3 VRS efficiency scores of base model and model 2	43
Figure 5.4 Percentage difference of model 2 with base model -VRS	43
Figure 5.5 CRS efficiency scores of base model and model 3	44
Figure 5.6 Percentage difference of model 3 with base model -CRS	45
Figure 5.7 VRS efficiency scores of base model and model 3	45
Figure 5.7 VRS efficiency scores of base model and model 3.  University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Figure 5.8 Percentage difference of model 3 with base model -VRS.  Liectronic Theses & Dissertations	45
Figure 5.9 CRS efficiency scores of model 4 and model 5.	47
Figure 5.10 Percentage difference of model 4 with model 5 -CRS	47
Figure 5.11 VRS efficiency scores of model 4 and model 5	48
Figure 5.12 Percentage difference of model 4 with model 5 -VRS	48
Figure 5.13 CRS efficiency scores of base model, model 06 & 12	49
Figure 5.14 Percentage difference of model 6 &12 with base model -CRS	50
Figure 5.15 VRS efficiency scores of base model, model 6 & 12	50
Figure 5.16 Percentage difference of model 6 & 12 with base model –VRS	51
Figure 5.17 CRS efficiency scores of different models upon exclusion of va	riables
from the base model	53
Figure 5.18 Percentage differences of models upon exclusion of variables from	m base
model - CRS	53
Figure 5.19 VRS efficiency scores of different models upon exclusion of va	riables
from the base model	54

Figure 5.20 Percentage differences of models upon exclusion of variable	s from base
model - VRS	54
Figure 6.1 Sensitivity profile of robustly efficient DMUs	60
Figure 6.2 Sensitivity profile of marginally efficient DMUs	61
Figure 6.3 Sensitivity profile of marginally inefficient DMUs	62
Figure 6.4 Sensitivity profile of significantly inefficient DMUs	62
Figure 6.5 Sensitivity profile of distinctly inefficient DMUs	63
Figure 6.6 Plot of amount of DMUs for each category	64



### **List of Tables**

Table 1.1 Overview of benchmarking methods	2
Table 4.1 Input output variables	15
Table 4.2 Guideline to correlation analysis	16
Table 4.3 Results of correlation analysis	16
Table 4.4 Input output variables used in literature	21
Table 4.5 Selected input output variables for the analysis	21
Table 4.6 Selected DMUs for the analysis	24
Table 4.7 Efficiency scores for input oriented CRS model	25
Table 4.8 Ranks of DMUs	27
Table 4.9 ERS values of DMUs	28
Table 4.10 VRS efficiency scores	29
Table 4.11 Input and output slack values	31
Table 4.12 Efficient input and output targets	32
Table 4.13 Summary of efficiency scores	34
Table 4.14 Summary of recommendation	36
Table 4.14 Summary of recommendation.  University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Table 5.1 Different DEA models. Theses & Dissertations	39
Table 5.2 CRS efficiency scores for different models	40
Table 5.3 VRS efficiency scores for different models	41
Table 5.4 Justification of the selected base model	57
Table 6.1 Results of sensitivity analysis	60
Table 6.2 Classification of DMUs	64

#### **List of Abbreviations**

Abbreviation Description

CEB Ceylon Electricity Board

CP Central Province

CRS Constant Returns to Scale

DD2 Distribution Division 02

DEA Data Envelopment Analysis

DMU Decision Making Unit

DRS Decreasing Return to Scale

EP Eastern Province

ERS Efficiency Reference Set

IRS Increasing Return to Scale

LV Low Voltage

MV University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

NIRS ENon-Increasing Return to Scalertations

O&M Woperation and Maintenance

RTS Return To Scale

SAIDI System Average Interruption Duration Index

SAIFI System Average Interruption Frequency Index

VRS Variable Returns to Scale

WPN Western Province North

## **List of Appendicies**

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix A	Data utilized for the study	74

