LB/DON/23/2015 DCE 23/97

SIGNIFICANCE OF SOLAR RADIATION ON CONCRETE WATER RETAINING STRUCTURES

Annesley Erwin Barthelot

(09/8905)

UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA MORATUWA

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Master of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

108909

Department of Civil Engineering

624 "15"

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

108909 t co-ROM

January 2015

MEng ên Structural Engêneesêng Design

108909

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any

other University or institute of higher learning to the best of my knowledge and

believe it does not contain any material previously published or written by another

person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce

and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I

retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or

books).

UOM Verified Signature

Signature:

Date: 30/01/2015

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters' thesis under my

supervision.

UOM Verified Signature

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 05 . 02 . 2015

Abstract

According to the codes of practice for design of concrete structures, the effect of solar radiation has to be given due consideration. However, it is not specifically incorporated in the structural design. Often providing expansion or sliding joints is the common practice to accommodate the effect of solar radiation. The need to provide reinforcement bars at tensile stress regions where thermal stresses may develop within the design life of the structure is currently an undocumented fact.

Therefore the main aim of this research was to investigate the significance of solar radiation effect on concrete water retaining structures and to highlight the occurrence of high stress zones that need attention. The investigation was performed using a finite element model with gathered solar radiation data. The model was simulated with probable thermal properties of concrete and environmental conditions including solar heat flux, to identify the governing parameters.

In order to process large amounts of data and for economic reasons, a finite element method of analysis using ANSYS software was considered. This provided the robustness and contributed to focus on the sensitivity of the parameters under investigation. Further, several simplified models were verified with numerical calculations and compared with the finite element model. Characteristic points on stress response curve from the finite element analysis were compared with the numerical results. Comparisons were made with respect to the accuracy and reliability of using the finite element model for the analysis.

Based on the verified modeling approach, a typical underground water tank was investigated for sliding and fixed roof slab to wall connectivity. The temperature variation and corresponding stress variations were obtained.

It was found that significantly high tensile stresses are developed at the soffit level of the roof slab near the column heads, where normally only compressive stresses are expected. This occurs for both fixed and sliding roof slab-to-wall connections. Also at the mid spans, as the top surface of the roof slab was being compressed due to thermal expansion, the bottom surface was not being compressed due to the comparatively low temperature than the top surface (i.e. the temperature gradient). Therefore the bottom fibers developed tensile stresses, which was significantly more than the tensile stress due to selfweight only.

It is conclusive that the solar radiation is significant on concrete water retaining structures and the use of sliding or fixity conditions between the roof slab and wall is not effective in minimizing the tensile stresses due to solar heat gain;

Keywords: solar radiation, stresses in concrete, transient thermal analysis, element overlay, ANSYS

Acknowledgments

This research was performed under the supervision of Prof. S.M.A. Nanayakkara. I am extremely grateful for the guidance provided; knowledge given; understanding; motivation and numerous hours spent helping me to complete this research.

My extended thanks also go to Aruna Karunaratna and Prof. Nanayakkara for providing me with the solar radiation and the ambient temperature variation data that was used for this research.

Appreciation is also extended to the research coordinator, Dr. Baskaran for organizing review presentations from which it helped me to get a feedback on my research. Appreciation extends to the lab staff for their support too.

Finally, I am grateful to my family for their understanding and encouragement extended to me during this period.

Contents

D	eclarat	ion	i	
	Acknowledgmentsiii			
		igures		
		ables		
		ablesbbreviations (Nomenclature)		
ட 1		pter 1 - Introduction		
1	1.1	General		
	1.1	Research Objectives		
	1.2	Organization of the Thesis		
2		pter 2- Literature Review		
7	2.1	Introduction		
	2.2	Recommendations for Effect of Solar Radiation Given in Codes of		
	2.3	Effect of Thermal Loads in Concrete Structures		
	2.4	Temperature Rise due to Solar Radiation		
	2.5	Modes of Heat Transfer		
	2.5.			
	2.5.			
	2.5.			
	2.6	Numerical Equations for Heat Transfer	13	
	2.7	Numerical Equations for Thermal Stresses	14	
	2.8	Thermal Properties of Concrete	16	
	2.8.	1 Specific Heat Capacity	16	
	2.8	2 Conductivity of Concrete	17	
	2.9	Uncoupled Thermal and Mechanical Analysis	18	
	2.10	Initial Temperature of the Structure	18	
	2.11	Use of ANSYS for Finite Element Analysis and Element Selection	19	
	2.12	Solar Radiation	20	
	2.1	2.1 Radiation Spectrum	20	
	2.1	2.2 Time and Orientation for Maximum Solar Radiation	21	

	2.12	2.3 Ground Measurements of Solar Radiation	24
	2.12	2.4 Generalized Solar Energy Studies for Sri Lanka	26
	2.13	Solar Radiation Absorption in Concrete	28
	2.14	Studies on Ambient Temperature	30
	2.15	Summary of Literature Review	32
3	Cha	pter 3 – Finite Element Analysis	.34
	3.1	Introduction	.34
	3.2	Modeling	.34
	3.3	Selection of Element Type	.34
	3.4	Degrees of Freedom	.37
	3.5	Material Setup	.37
	3.6	Real Constants	.38
	3.7	Surface overlaying	.38
	3.8	Numbering Controls	.39
	3.9	Physical Environments	.39
	3.10	Use of Arrays to Store Data	.40
	3.11	Load Assignment	.41
	3.1	1.1 Solar Radiation as Heat Flux	.41
	3.1	1.2 Heat Convection	.42
	3.12	Temperature Offset	.42
	3.13	Boundary Conditions	42
	3.14	Analysis Setup	43
	3.15	Solution Controls	43
	3.1	5.1 Time Control	43
	3.1	5.2 Time at End of Time Step	43
	3.1	5.3 Transient Solution Controls	44
	3.1	5.4 Solution Options	45
	3.1	5.5 Nonlinear Options	46
	3.1	5.6 Convergence Criteria	46
	3.1	5.7 Advanced NL	47
	3 16	Reference Temperature	47

4	Cha	apter	4 – Model Verification	.48
	4.1	Intr	oduction	.48
	4.2	Mo	del Verification Methodology	.48
	4.3	Hea	at Transfer by Conduction	.48
	4.3	.1	Numerical Idealization	.48
	4.3	.2	Numerical Solution	.49
	4.3	.3	ANSYS Solution	.50
	4.3	.4	Comparison Between Numerical and ANSYS Solution	.51
	4.4	Hea	at Transfer by Convection and Conduction	.53
	4.4	.1	Numerical Solution	.53
	4.4	.2	ANSYS Solution for Heat Transfer by Convection and Conduction.	.55
	4.4	.3	Comparison with FE model	.56
	4.5	The	ermal Stresses	57
	4.5	.1	Specimen calculation for stress calculation	58
5	Ch	apter	5 – Sensitivity Analysis	62
	5.1	Det	fault Parameters	62
	5.2	An	alysis and Results	64
	5.2	.1	Solar Heat Flux	64
	5.2	2	Sensitivity to Ambient Temperature	66
	5.2	3	Effect of Initial Temperature	68
	5.2	2.4	Effect of Convection-Radiation Coefficient	70
	5.2	2.5	Effect of Specific Heat Capacity	72
	5.2	2.6	Effect of Thermal Conductivity	73
	5.2	2.7	Member Thickness	74
	5.2	2.8	Sensitivity of Parameters	77
6	Ch	apter	r 6 – Case Study	79
	6.1	Mo	odel Description	79
	6.2	Ide	ealization of the Tank for Analysis	80
	6.3	Во	undary Conditions	81
	6.4	Inp	outs for Analytical Model	82
	6.5	Th	ermal Boundary Conditions for the Model	83

6	.6 Res	ults	84
	6.6.1	Slab Monolithically Cast With Walls and Column Heads (Case 1)	84
	6.6.2	Sliding on Walls and Monolithic at Column Heads (Case 2)	85
7	Chapter	6 – Conclusions and Recommendations	87
8	Bibliogr	aphy	88
AP	PENDIX	A	91
AP	PENDIX	В	98
AP	PENDIX	C	104

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Representation of temperature profile	6
Figure 2.2: Test model with thermal load used by Vecchio and Sato	7
Figure 2.3: Transient condition after application of thermal load [3]	8
Figure 2.4: Diurnal variation of roof panel temperature [4]	9
Figure 2.5: Cross-section of a member subjected to a rise of temperature	.15
Figure 2.6: Solar radiation spectrum	.20
Figure 2.7: Average solar insolation for Colombo, Sri Lanka	
Figure 2.8: Solar declination angle	
Figure 2.9: Variation of declination angle	23
Figure 2.10: Solar irradiance on Horizontal Plane [24]	23
Figure 2.11: Solar irradiance on Vertical Plane [24]	24
Figure 2.12: Generic spectrum for a silicon PV cell [27]	25
Figure 2.13: Total and IR radiation variation during the day [19]	26
Figure 2.14: Albedo values of Concrete [36]	29
Figure 2.15 : Ambient Temperature Variation during a day	31
Figure 3.1: 3D Couple Field Solid – SOLID5	35
Figure 3.2: Thermal Shell Element - SHELL57	
Figure 3.3: 3-D 4-Node CONTA173	
Figure 3.4: 3-D Target Element – TARGE170	36
Figure 3.5: Solid element overlaid with Surface element (conceptual)	39
Figure 3.6: Flow Chart - Thermal and Structural Analysis	40
Figure 3.7: Heat Flux stored in an Array	41
Figure 3.8: Solution Control – Basic	44
Figure 3.9: Solution Control - Transient	45
Figure 3.10: Solution Control – Solution Options	45
Figure 3.11: Solution Control – Nonlinear	46
Figure 3.12: Solution Control – Convergence Criteria	46
Figure 3.13: Solution Control – Advanced NL	47
Figure 4.1: Comparison of surface temperature – Convection and Conduction	57
Figure 4.1: Comparison Stress - Analytical methods and ANSYS FEA solution	61

Figure 5.1: Normalized Heat Flux variation Indented on Horizontal Plane	63
Figure 5.2: Normalized ambient temperature variation	63
Figure 5.3: Top surface temperature variation due to factored heat flux	64
Figure 5.4: surface temperature variation vs. factored heat flux	64
Figure 5.5: Temperature difference with varying heat flux	65
Figure 5.6: Surface temperature vs. solar radiation absorption factor	65
Figure 5.7: Derived factored ambient temperature variations	66
Figure 5.8: Top surface temperature variation due to factored ambient temp	67
Figure 5.9: Surface temperature vs. factorized norm. ambient temp	67
Figure 5.10: Temperature difference due to factorized ambient temperature	68
Figure 5.11: Top surface temperature due to initial temperature	69
Figure 5.12: Top surface temperature vs. factored initial temperature	69
Figure 5.13: Temperature difference due to factored initial temperature	70
Figure 5.14: Surface temp. variation due to coefficient of convection-radiation	71
Figure 5.15: Temp. difference due to coefficient of convection-radiation	71
Figure 5.16: Peak temperature vs. convection-radiation coefficient	72
Figure 5.17: Top surface temperature due to specific heat capacity	72
Figure 5.18: Effect of specific heat capacity on surface temperature	73
Figure 5.19: Temperature difference vs. Specific heat capacity	73
Figure 5.20: Effect of Conductivity on Top Surface Temperature	74
Figure 5.21: Effect of conductivity on temperature difference	74
Figure 5.22: Temperature vs. Depth for different member thicknesses	75
Figure 5.23: Maximum surface temperature vs. Member thickness	75
Figure 5.24: Temperature difference vs. Member thickness	76
Figure 5.25: Temperature difference vs. ratio of depth/thickness	76
Figure 5.26: Sensitivity graph for top surface temperature	77
Figure 5.27: Sensitivity graph for temperature difference	78
Figure 6.1: Underground Reservoir - Plan	79
Figure 6.2: Underground Reservoir – Section on grid 2-2	79
Figure 6.3: Underground Reservoir – Section on grid C-C	80
Figure 6.4: Idealized strip	80
Figure 6.5: Finite element model (perspective view)	81

Figure 6.6: (Continuous) monolithically east on column heads and walls	.81
Figure 6.7: (Sliding) monolithically cast over columns but sliding on walls	.82
Figure 6.8: Region of Convective Surface	.83
Figure 6.9: Convective surfaces indicated (Perspective view)	.83
Figure 6.10: Stresses due to Selfweight + Soil pressure (Case 1)	.85
Figure 6.11: Stresses due to Selfweight + Soil pressure + Thermal Load (Case 1)	.85
Figure 6.12: Stresses due to Selfweight + Soil pressure (Case 2)	.86
Figure 6.13: Stresses due to Selfweight + Soil pressure + Thermal Load (Case 2)	.86

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Extract of Table 5.2 of Eurocode1- Part 5	7
Table 2.2: Typical specific heat capacity of concrete	16
Table 2.3: Conductivity of concrete	17
Table 2.4: Effect of aggregate type and dry density on conductivity	17
Table 2.5: Effect of moist density on conductivity	18
Table 2.6: Solar Radiation at Coconut Research Institute, Sri Lanka	27
Table 2.7: Comparison between reported solar energy data	27
Table 2.8: Ambient temperature variation for each zone	30
Table 2.9: Average and maximum temperature for 50 year return period	31
Table 3.10: Material Properties	37
Table 4.1: Input Parameters	49
Table 4.2: Numerical solution for heat transfer by conduction	50
Table 4.3: ANSYS solution for heat transfer by conduction	51
Table 4.4: Comparison of temp. due to heat transfer by conduction at 3600s	52
Table 4.5: Comparison of temp. due to heat transfer by conduction at 12000s	52
Table 4.6: Numerical solution for convection and conduction	55
Table 4.7 ANSYS solution due to Convection and Conduction	56
Table 4.8 Comparison of Results on Convection and Conduction	56
Table 4.9 Temperature along slab depth	58
Table 4.10 Thermal stresses using analytical methods	60
Table 4.11 Thermal Stresses from ANSYS solution	61
Table 5.1: Material and thermal Property	62
Table 5.2: FEM Arrangement	62
Table 5.3: Paired cases for convection-radiation coefficients	70
Table 6.1: Input parameters	82
Table 6.2: Stress at end of column head - Continuously Cast Slab (Case 1)	84
Table 6.3: Stress at first mid span	84
Table 6.4: Stress near column head	85
Table 6.5: Stress at first mid span	86

List of Abbreviations (Nomenclature)

3D three dimensional

A cross sectional area

AM1.5 Air Mass number = 1.5 atmosphere thickness,

corresponding to a solar zenith angle of $z=48.2^{\circ}$.

c specific heat capacity, J/kg/K

DOF Degrees of freedom

FEM Finite element model

FEA Finite element analysis

HFLUX, q Solar Heat Flux, (W/m²)

h convective heat transfer coefficient, W/m²/K

h_w convective heat transfer coefficient, W/m²/K

k thermal conductivity, W/m/K

I_h Solar radiation on horizontal surface, W/m²

L Length, heat flow path length of interest

Q rate of heat transfer (W)

q Surface flux (power per unit area)

T temperature, K or °C

T_{max} maximum shade air temperature with an annual

probability of being exceeded of 0.02

T_{air} air temperature

T_s surface temperature

ΔT Temperature difference, (°C, K)

t time (s)

ρ mass density, kg/m³

pc specific heat