

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN UPPER-LIMB POWER-ASSIST
EXOSKELETON ROBOT TO GENERATE HUMAN
LIKE MOTION**

Jasinge Malin Pamuditha Gunasekara

118018 K



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Degree of Master of Philosophy

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2014

DEVELOPMENT OF AN UPPER-LIMB POWER-ASSIST EXOSKELETON ROBOT TO GENERATE HUMAN LIKE MOTION

Jasinge Malin Pamuditha Gunasekara

118018 K



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Philosophy

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2014

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as article or books).

Date: 22/07/2014

Signature... **UOM Verified Signature**

The above candidate has carried out research for the M.Phil thesis under our supervision



Supervisors

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Dr. R.A.R.C Gopura
Senior Lecturer
Department of Mechanical Engineering
University of Moratuwa

Date: 22/07/2014

Signature... **UOM Verified Signature**

Dr. T.S.S Jayawardena
Senior Lecturer
Department of Textile and Clothing Technology
University of Moratuwa

Date: 22/07/2014

Signature... **UOM Verified Signature**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

An achievement of a success after hard work and commitment is always accompanied with the support of many individuals. I would like take this opportunity to thank them all who were with me during last three years and share all moments throughout this journey.

My first responsibility is to thank my thesis supervisor, Dr. Ruwan Gopura to giving all the guidance and support throughout the research work as well as encouraging for good research work. He also provided many research opportunities for me to expand my experience in this area and I must thank it too. Further, my co-supervisor, Dr. Sanath Jayawardena also gave valuable suggestions, comments, and ideas to improve the content of my research work. Moreover, I got an opportunity to get their expertise knowledge to improve the content of my thesis, research work, publications, and presentations in different ways and I honestly thank them for their cooperative support.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Also, I should thank my progress review panel members, Dr. Koliya Pulasinghe (chairman) and Dr. Palitha Dasanayake (member) for their valuable suggestions and comments to improve the content of my research work. Despite to the busy schedule, I am appreciating the commitment given by Dr. Koliya Pulasinghe to conduct my progress reviews in planned time schedule.

I started my research work during last three years of my probationary period. Therefore, my head of division, Mr. K.M Ranasiri, Directress (ITUM), Mrs. Priyangani Samarasekara they all encouraged me and supported in many ways to complete the research work prior to end of probationary period. Also my colleague staff members gave free time for me to concentrate to research work. I must thank them all for their support.

During this research work, I got an opportunity to make close contacts with researches in ResearchGate too. Even though they are not physically present, I

gained contribution from them to improve my research work. It is my duty to mention their support at this moment.

Also I would like to extend my appreciation to my co-authors, Prof. Mann, Mr. Sanjaya, and Mr. Thilina for their suggestions to improve the research content of my work. Further, Prof. Mann gave his knowledge to improve the research content of my work. He also helped me to find valuable research papers to my studies too. I am appreciating his support at this moment.

Without any doubt, I must thank my wife, Vinidu, for her endless dedication to allowing free time for me to concentrate on my research activities. She provided all software requirements as well as printed materials for my research. During most difficult situations, I got mental relax from my little one. His smile and loving words really help me to keep research stuff away from me for a while.

Last but not least, my warm thank is given to my loving mother and father, for all their love and encouragement, and supported me in all my pursuits.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

J. Malin Pamuditha Gunasekara
malingunasekara@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Weakness is inherently associated with ageing society. In fact, Exoskeleton robotic technology can be used to provide assistance for age society to perform activities of daily living (ADL) without depending on others.

Upper limb exoskeleton robots are much suitable to perform ADL. Typically, upper limb exoskeleton robot consists of number of joints and links which are corresponding to joints and limbs of human upper limb. Further, exoskeleton robots differ from other conventional robots due to present of close interaction with wearer. In general, two types of interaction can be seen in exoskeleton robots: physical human robot interaction (pHRI) and cognitive human robot interaction (cHRI). Strengthening features of cHRI can be seen on recent developments of upper limb exoskeleton robots. However, there exists a vacuum to identify aspects of pHRI relating to performance of exoskeleton robots.

The research work of this thesis is focused to design an upper limb exoskeleton robot for motion assist taking effect of kinematic redundancy. The proposed exoskeleton robot (6-REXOS) has four active degree of freedom (DOF) and two passive DOF in its kinematic chain. Two passive DOF are provided to 6-REXOS by means of flexible bellow coupling and those are positioned at wrist and elbow joint of the 6-REXOS to keep their axes parallel to each other. This configuration enhances kinematic redundancy in 6-REXOS. The effect of redundancy is verified with respect to dexterity measures, such as manipulability index, minimum singular value, and condition number. Further, manipulation of end-effector of 6-REXOS due to kinematic redundancy in operational space is presented base on manipulability ellipsoids.

4DOF kinematic model for human lower arm is proposed in thesis. Manipulability measure of human kinematic model is used to benchmark the performance of 6-REXOS. Different measures are taken into account in design of 6-REXOS to ensure smooth pHRI. Passive compliance of bellow coupling in order to reduce kinematic discrepancy as well as improve the manipulation of 6-REXOS is highlighted in this thesis.

Key words: Exoskeleton robot, Redundancy, Compliance, Manipulability index, Minimum singular value.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iv
Table of content	v
List of figures	x
List of tables	xiv
List of abbreviations	xv
List of appendices	xvi
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	2
1.1.1 Life expectation of world population	2
1.1.2 Kinematics of upper limb exoskeleton robot	3
1.1.3 Redundancy in exoskeleton kinematic chain	4
1.1.4 The effect of compliance in exoskeleton robot	5
1.2 Motivation	5
1.3 Contribution of the thesis	7
1.4 Overview of the thesis	7
Chapter 2 Upper Limb exoskeleton - A literature review	10
2.1 History of exoskeleton system	10
2.2 Biomechanics of human upper limb	12
2.2.1 Wrist Joint	13
2.2.2 Elbow Joint	15
2.3 Kinematics of Human Upper Limb	17

2.4	Human-Robot Interaction: pHRI and cHRI	17
2.4.1	Physical human robot interaction (pHRI)	17
2.4.2	Cognitive human robot interaction (cHRI)	22
2.5	Control methods for upper limb exoskeleton robots	23
2.5.1	Control methods based on EMG	23
2.5.2	Control methods based on non-EMG	24
2.6	Kinematic performances of exoskeleton robots	26
2.6.1	Manipulability index	26
2.6.2	Manipulability Ellipsoid	27
2.6.3	Singular value	27
2.6.4	Condition number	28
2.6.5	Kinematic Redundancy	29
2.7	Present developments of upper limb exoskeleton robots	30
2.7.1	Seven DOF upper limb exoskeleton robot: CADEN – 7	30
2.7.2	Robotic exoskeleton manipulator with parallel mechanism (BONES)	31
2.7.3	Wearable upper limb exoskeleton WOTAS	31
2.7.4	Active soft orthotic system for shoulder rehabilitation	32
2.7.5	Elbow powered exoskeleton for rehabilitation: NEUROExos	32
2.7.6	7DOF upper limb exoskeleton for motion assist: SUEFUL -7	33
2.7.7	Cable-Driven Arm Exoskeleton (CAREX) for rehabilitation	33
2.7.8	7DOF upper limb exoskeleton robot	34
2.7.9	Hybrid 7-DOF rehabilitation robot	34
2.8	Other Exoskeleton Robots	34
2.9	Classification of exoskeleton robotic system	36

Chapter 3	6 DoF redundant exoskeleton robot	39
3.1	Redundancy in the 6-REXOS	39
3.2	Overall design of 6-REXOS	40
3.3	Elbow flexion-extension motion unit	41
3.4	Forearm supination-pronation motion unit	42
3.5	Wrist ulnar-radial deviation motion unit	44
3.6	Wrist flexion-extension motion unit	45
3.7	Actuation method	47
3.8	Wrist axis off-set	48
3.9	Prismatic joint – flexible bellow coupling	49
3.10	Range of motion of 6-REXOS	50
3.11	Safety in 6-REXOS	52
3.12	Finite element results of 6-REXOS	52
Chapter 4	Kinematic analysis of 6-REXOS	54
4.1	Kinematic analysis of 4DOF exoskeleton robot	54
4.1.1	4DOF exoskeleton kinematic chain in RTB	57
4.1.2	Joint trajectory of 4 DOF exoskeleton robot in operational space	58
4.1.3	Jacobian of 4 DOF exoskeleton robot	59
4.1.4	Manipulability measure of 4 DOF exoskeleton robot	60
4.1.5	Manipulability index for 4 DOF over entire workspace	61
4.1.6	Minimum singular value of Jacobian	62
4.1.7	Condition number in 4 DOF exoskeleton robot	63
4.1.8	Manipulability ellipsoid	64
4.2	Kinematic analysis 6-REXOS	65
4.2.1	Kinematic redundancy in 6-REXOS	66

4.2.2	Passive compliance in 6-REXOS	67
4.3	Kinematic chain of 6-REXOS	67
4.3.1	Kinematic analysis of 6-REXOS in Matlab/RTB	69
4.3.2	Manipulability index in 6-REXOS	70
4.3.3	Manipulability index: entire workspace of 6-REXOS	71
4.3.4	Minimum singular value in 6-REXOS	72
4.3.5	Variation of condition number in 6-REXOS	73
4.3.6	Manipulability ellipsoids	73
Chapter 5	Proposed kinematic model human lower arm	75
5.1	Kinematic models of human upper limb	75
5.2	Wrist axis offset	76
5.3	Proposal of a 4DOF kinematic model for human lower arm	77
5.4	Manipulability measure	79
5.5	Sensitivity analysis	81
5.6	Manipulability ellipsoid	83
Chapter 6	Performance of 6-REXOS	84
6.1	Kinematic performance of 6-REXOS	84
6.1.1	Comparison of manipulability indices	84
6.1.2	Comparison of minimum singular value	85
6.1.3	Comparison of manipulability indices over entire workspace	86
6.1.4	Comparison of manipulability ellipsoids	86
6.1.5	Comparison of condition number	87
6.2	Performance of 6-REXOS	88
6.2.1	Effect of Redundancy	88
6.2.2	Passive compliance at wrist joint of 6-REXOS	90

6.2.3	Adaptability in 6-REXOS	91
6.2.4	Reach envelopes	92
6.2.5	Human like motion generation of 6-REXOS	92
Chapter 7 Discussion		96
Chapter 8 Conclusion and future directions		102
References		104
Publications		117
Appendix A: Engineering drawings of the 6-REXOS		118
Appendix B: Matlab/RTB functions		130
Appendix C: Numerical description for figures		135



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1: Life expectancy at birth in the world from 1950 – 2050	2
Figure 2.1: Early stage of exoskeleton manipulator	11
Figure 2.2: Anthropomorphic structure of exoskeleton	11
Figure 2.3: Human upper limb anatomy and motions	13
Figure 2.4: Wrist flexion extension	13
Figure 2.5: Position of wrist flexion extension axes	14
Figure 2.6: Wrist ulnar-radial deviation	14
Figure 2.7: Position of Capitate in wrist	15
Figure 2.8: Elbow flexion extension motion generating double conic frustum	15
Figure 2.9: Elbow motion	16
Figure 2.10: Forearm motions	16
Figure 2.11: 7 DOF kinematic model of human upper limb	17
Figure 2.12: Joint configurations at different part of human upper limb anatomy	19
Figure 2.13: Translation of the instantaneous centre of rotation at shoulder	20
Figure 2.14: EMG based proportional controller in NEUROExos	24
Figure 2.15: Architecture of fuzzy-neuro controller	25
Figure 2.16: Manipulability ellipsoid	27
Figure 2.17: Present developments in upper limb exoskeleton robots	35
Figure 2.18: Other different exoskeleton robots	36
Figure 2.19: Classification of exoskeleton robots	37
Figure 2.20: Classification of control method based on type of input signal	38
Figure 3.1: Overall view of the 6-REXOS	40
Figure 3.2: Elbow joint arrangement	41

Figure 3.3: Elbow flexion-extension	42
Figure 3.4: Connection of distal link to forearm motion fixed plates	42
Figure 3.5: Forearm motion unit	43
Figure 3.6: Configuration of forearm motion fixed plates	43
Figure 3.7: Power transmission on forearm supination-pronation	44
Figure 3.8: Forearm supination-pronation in 6-REXOS	44
Figure 3.9: Wrist ulnar-radial deviation axis in 6-REXOS	45
Figure 3.10: Wrist flexion-extension motion unit with bellow coupling	46
Figure 3.11: Cross-sectional view of arrangement of bellow coupling	46
Figure 3.12: Position of wrist flexion-extension motor	47
Figure 3.13: Variation of joint actuator torques of the 6-REXOS	48
Figure 3.14: Wrist axis offset in 6-REXOS	49
Figure 3.15: Flexible bellow coupling	49
Figure 3.16: Misalignments in bellow coupling	50
Figure 3.17: Position of bellow coupling in 6-REXOS	50
Figure 3.18: ROM of 6-REXOS in Matlab (based on robotic toolbox)	51
Figure 3.19: Mechanical stoppers in 6-REXOS	52
Figure 4.1: D-H frame assignment for 4 DOF exoskeleton robot	55
Figure 4.2: D-H table in robotic toolbox	58
Figure 4.3: 4DOF exoskeleton in initial pose (RTB view)	58
Figure 4.4: 4DOF exoskeleton in final pose (RTB view)	58
Figure 4.5: Joint trajectory in operational space (RTB view)	59
Figure 4.6: Joint angle variation for 4DOF exoskeleton robot	59
Figure 4.7: Variation of manipulability index over joint trajectory	61
Figure 4.8: Variation of manipulability index over entire workspace	62

Figure 4.9: Variation of minimum singular value for 4 DOF exoskeleton robot	63
Figure 4.10: Variation of condition number for 4DOF exoskeleton robot	64
Figure 4.11: 3D view of manipulability ellipsoids	65
Figure 4.12: D-H frame assignment for 6-REXOS	67
Figure 4.13: Screen shot of D-H table defined in robotic toolbox for 6-REXOS	69
Figure 4.14: Variation of manipulability index in 6-REXOS	70
Figure 4.15: Variation of manipulability index over entire workspace	72
Figure 4.16: Variation of minimum singular value in 6-REXOS	72
Figure 4.17: Variation of condition number over joint trajectory	73
Figure 4.18: Manipulability ellipsoid for 6-REXOS	74
Figure 5.1: Upper limb kinematic models,	76
Figure 5.2: Wrist axes offset	77
Figure 5.3: Position of head of capitata	77
Figure 5.4: Proposed 4DOF kinematic model for human lower arm	78
Figure 5.5: Range of motion of proposed human kinematic model	79
Figure 5.6: Manipulability index for human kinematic model	80
Figure 5.7: Manipulability variation due to different wrist parameters	81
Figure 5.8: Sensitivity of manipulability measure against to a_2	82
Figure 5.9: Sensitivity of manipulability measure against to d_3	82
Figure 5.10: Manipulability ellipsoids of hand model	83
Figure 6.1: Comparison of manipulability index	85
Figure 6.2: Comparison of minimum singular value	86
Figure 6.3: Comparison of manipulability index in entire workspace	86
Figure 6.4: Comparison of manipulability ellipsoids	87
Figure 6.5: Comparison of condition number	87

Figure 6.6: Comparison of manipulability measures	88
Figure 6.7: Manipulability ellipsoids of hand and 6-REXOS	90
Figure 6.8: Passive compliance of bellow coupling at wrist joint of 6-REXOS	91
Figure 6.9: Adaptability of 6-REXOS	91
Figure 6.10: Reach envelopes	92
Figure 6.11: Robotic toolbox GUI for motion generation	93
Figure 6.12: Joint space configuration of 6-REXOS	95
Figure A-1: Components of 6-REXOS	118



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1: Motor specifications in 6-REXOS	48
Table 3.2: Manufacturer specifications on bellow coupling	51
Table 3.3: Range of motion	51
Table 3.4: Strength analysis and maximum displacement of the 6-REXOS	53
Table 4.1: D-H parameters for 4DOF exoskeleton robot	55
Table 4.2: Joint configuration for 4DOF exoskeleton robot	57
Table 4.3: Manipulability index in 20 steps of joint trajectory	60
Table 4.4: D-H parameters for 6-REXOS	68
Table 4.5: Initial and final pose in generated joint trajectory	69
Table 5.1: D-H for kinematic model of lower arm	78
Table 5.2: Range of motion	79
Table 5.3: Percentage variations for a_2 and d_3	81
Table 6.1: Maximum and minimum manipulability indices	85
Table 6.2: Variations in Cartesian coordinates	92
Table 6.3: Joint space configuration of 6-REXOS	94
Table A-1: Description of components used in the 6-REXOS	118
Table C-1: Numerical description for figures	135

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ADL	Activities of Daily Living
pHRI	Physical Human Robot Interaction
DOF	Degree of Freedom
cHRI	Cognitive Human Robot Interaction
RTB	Robotic Tool Box



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix – A	Engineering Drawings of 6-REXOS	118
Appendix – B	Matlab/Robotic Tool Box functions for 4DOF, 6-REXOS and hand model	130
Appendix – C	Numerical description for figures	135



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk