ASSESMENT OF FACTORS AFFECTING SOLAR IRRADIANCE AND DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL FOR PREDICTIONS

D. H. K. Kushal

09/8664



Degree of Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2014

ASSESMENT OF FACTORS AFFECTING SOLAR IRRADIANCE AND DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL FOR PREDICTIONS

Diyogu Hannadi Kalanka Kushal

09/8664

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

Dissertation submitted by partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

Supervised by: Eng. W.D.A.S.Wijayapala

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2014

DECLARATION

"I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)".

	ersity of Moratuwa ronic Theses & Di		
Signature of the candidate (D.H.K.Kushal)	lib.mrt.ac.lk	Date:	
The above candidate has	carried out research for	the Masters Dissertation	on under my

supervision.

Signature of the supervisor Date:
(Eng. W.D.A.S.Wijayapala)

ABSTRACT

In Sri Lanka Ceylon Electricity Board plays the role of the system controller by dispatching the energy generated from different power plants to meet the energy demand. Power generation from renewable energy sources such as wind, mini-hydro, solar etc is becoming increasingly popular due to environmental concerns. With the introduction of the net metering system a large number of rooftop solar power systems are getting connected to the national grid.

However, it is not possible to predict the energy generation of solar power plants in advance. Therefore, the system controller has no information about the tomorrow's possible energy availability from these non-dispatchable power plants. Then the only option left with the system controller is to reserve the costly thermal power plants in order to meet the future energy demand. The outcome of this research enables the system controller to predict the possible energy generation from solar power plants based on the weather forecasts. This will provide the system controller with predictions on energy generation and capacity of solar power plants connected to the grid. These predictions will enable to prepare the dispatch schedules accordingly.

In this study, the effect of the geographical and meteorological parameters for predicting daily global solar radiation in Sooriyawewa, Hambantota is investigated. A multiple linear regression was applied to explain the relationship among solar radiation and identified geographical and meteorological parameters such as cloud cover, sunshine duration, predipitation, open air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, gust speed, and wind of declination angle. Variables in these equations were used to estimate the global solar radiation. Values calculated from models were compared with the actual measurements to validate the model. The application of the model to other geographical locations should be carried out as a further study when sufficient data is available for such locations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I pay my sincere gratitude to Engineer W.D.A.S. Wijayapala who encouraged and guided me to conduct this investigation and on preparation of final dissertation.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my sincere thanks to Mr. O.K. Dissanayake, Chairman (SEA), Mr. A. Jayathunga, Director (SEA), Mr. K. Guanalingnam, Former AGM (CEB), Mr. B.W.N. Rupasinghe, Former General Manager (CECB), Mrs. T.N. Thewarapperuma, DGM (CECB), Mr. A.K. Karunanayake, Deputy Director (Department of Meteorology), Mr. J.D. Nuwanpriya, Meteorological Observer (Department of Meteorology), Mr. A.P. Mapa, Technical Officer (SEA), Mr. D.G. Wickramarathne, Technical Officer (SEA), Mr. W.S. Kumara, Technical Officer (SEA), Mr. T.A.I. Madushanka, Technical Officer (SEA) who gave their co-operation to conclude in a material of the conclude in

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

It is a great pleasure to remember the kind co-operation extended by the colleagues in the post graduate programme, friends who helped me to continue the studies from start to end.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

De	eclaration	i
	ostract	ii iii
	Acknowledgement Table of contents	
	st of Figures	iv vi
	st of Tables	viii
Lis	st of Abbreviations	ix
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Importance of the model for predictions	2
	1.3 Motivation	3
	1.4 Objectives of the study	3
	1.5 Methodology	4
2.	Background study	5
	2.1 Background	5
	2.1. Solar irradiancesity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	5
	2. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	5
	2.1.3 Solar insolation www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	7
	2.1.4 Average daily solar radiation	7
	2.1.5 Direct, Diffuse and Global solar irradiance	7
	2.2 Available models to estimate solar radiation	7
	2.3 Factors affecting the solar radiation reaching the earth's surface	8
3.	Proposing a model	10
	3.1 Data sources	10
	3.1.1 Meteorological Department	10
	3.1.2 Sooriyawewa solar power plant	11
	3.2 Data collection	11
	3.2.1 Cloud cover	12
	3.2.2 Sunshine hour	12
	3.2.3 Precipitation	13

3.2.4 Open air temperature	14
3.2.5 Sine of declination angle	14
3.2.6 Relative humidity	15
3.2.7 Wind speed	15
3.2.8 Gust speed	16
3.3 The method to propose the model	16
3.4 The proposed models	17
3.5 Comparison techniques	19
3.5.1 The Mean Bias Error	19
3.5.2 The Mean Percentage Error	20
3.5.3 The Root Mean Square Error	20
3.5.4 The Nash-Sutcliffe Equation	20
3.5.5 t-Statistic test	21
3.6 Comparison of models	21
4. Validating the proposed models University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	30
4.1 Validating the model by rolling method Dissertations	30
4.2 Comparing the estimated and measured values	33
5. Conclusion and Recommendation	38
Reference List	39
Appendix A: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, p, Ta, Sin δ, r, W, G	41
Appendix B: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, p, Ta, Sin δ, r, W	43
Appendix C: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, p, Ta, Sin δ, r	45
Appendix D: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, p, Ta, Sin δ, W	47
Appendix E: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, Ta, Sin δ, r, W	49
Appendix F: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, p, Ta, Sin δ	50
Appendix G: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, Ta, Sin δ, r	51
Appendix H: Regression Analysis: H versus C, n, Ta, Sin δ	52
Appendix I: Data Set	53

LIST OF FIGURES

		page
Figure 2.1	Solar declination angle, δ	6
Figure 2.2	Solar declination angle vs day of the year	6
Figure 3.1	AWS network of meteorological department	10
Figure 3.2	Monthly change of cloud cover	12
Figure 3.3	Monthly change of Sunshine hours	13
Figure 3.4	Monthly change of Precipitation	13
Figure 3.5	Monthly change of Ambient temperature	14
Figure 3.6	Monthly change of sine of Declination angle	14
Figure 3.7	Monthly change of Relative humidity	15
Figure 3.8	Monthly change of Wind Speed	15
Figure 3.9	Monthly change of maximum Gust speed	16
Figure 3.10	Distribution of errors of model no. 1	22
Figure 3.11	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Pistribution of errors of model no. 1 Electronic Theses & Dissertations	22
Figure 3.12	Distribution of errors of enodel no. 2	23
Figure 3.13	Distribution of errors of model no. 2	23
Figure 3.14	Distribution of errors of model no. 3	24
Figure 3.15	Distribution of errors of model no. 3	24
Figure 3.16	Distribution of errors of model no. 4	25
Figure 3.17	Distribution of errors of model no. 4	25
Figure 3.18	Distribution of errors of model no. 5	26
Figure 3.19	Distribution of errors of model no. 5	26
Figure 3.20	Distribution of errors of model no. 6	27
Figure 3.21	Distribution of errors of model no. 6	27
Figure 3.22	Distribution of errors of model no. 7	28
Figure 3.23	Distribution of errors of model no. 7	28
Figure 3.24	Distribution of errors of model no. 8	29

		page
Figure 3.25	Distribution of errors of model no. 8	29
Figure 4.1	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 1	33
Figure 4.2	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 2	33
Figure 4.3	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 3	34
Figure 4.4	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 4	34
Figure 4.5	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 5	35
Figure 4.6	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 6	35
Figure 4.7	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 7	36
Figure 4.8	The comparison of measured and simulated values of model no. 8	36



LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	List of parameters	9
Table 3.1	The results of the statistical analyses of the models	21
Table 4.1	Validation of the model no. 1 with eight parameters	30
Table 4.2	Validation of the model no. 2 with seven parameters except G	30
Table 4.3	Validation of the model no. 3 with six parameters except G, W	31
Table 4.4	Validation of the model no. 4 with six parameters except G, r	31
Table 4.5	Validation of the model no. 5 with six parameters except G, p	31
Table 4.6	Validation of the model no. 6 with five parameters except G, r, W	32
Table 4.7	Validation of the model no. 7 with five parameters except Gpiwrsity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	32
Table 4.8	Electronic Theses & Dissertations Validation of the model no. 8 with four parameters except Gypyw,ib.mrt.ac.lk	32

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

AWS Automatic Weather Station

CEB Ceylon Electricity Board

MBE Mean Bias Error

MPE Mean Percentage Error

NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory

NSE Nash Sutcliffe Equation

RMSE Root Mean Square Error

SEA Sustainable Energy Authority

