

LB/DON/64/2012

THE RESPONSE OF CONVENTIONAL STRUCTURES IN SRILANKA FOR EARTHQUAKE

THIS IS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING IN STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DESIGNS



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

By

Navaratnarajah Sudesan

University of Moratuwa



102867

Supervised By

Prof.M.T.R.Jayasinghe

Professor

624^{"12"}
624.01(043)

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA**


102867

JANUARY 2012

102867

DECLARATION

I, Navaratnarajah Sudesan, hereby declare that the content of this thesis is the output of original research work carried out at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa. Whenever the work done by others was used, it was mentioned appropriately as a reference.


Eng.N.Sudesan



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am immensely grateful to my supervisor, Prof.M.T.R.Jayasinghe, Professor in Civil Engineering at the Department of Civil Engineering for his invaluable guidance and support throughout my research period which helped to make this research a successful one. He not only provided direction and guidance during this research study, but also encouraged me to really learn and understand structural Engineering.

I would like to thank all the other lecturers in the department of civil Engineering at the University of Moratuwa for the positive attitude they adopted in promoting this research project.

I wish to thank Eng.Mr.Mangala Silva ,Director,Synergo Consultants (PVT)Ltd, for his valuable assistance provided to me to complete this research successfully.

I wish to acknowledge General Manager, Addl.GM (WSP) and DGM (PC) who helped me for sponsoring by National Water Supply & Drainage Board and grant duty leave to attend the classes as per the schedule

The assistance given by Mr. Husain attached to Synergo consultants (PVT) ltd is also appreciated.

Last but not least a special gratitude to my wife for bearing with me in making this study a success.

ABSTRACT

Ground water reservoirs are commonly used in this country for storing 200m³ to 2000m³ water capacities when the area needed water supply having an elevated area (which elevation is sufficient for the head required) and this reservoir can be built on this. In Sri Lanka ground reservoirs are designed as per BS8007 and this design does not cover for earthquakes. However, it seems that no detail investigation has been carried out for response of these ground reservoirs for dynamic loads such as earthquakes.

This research work concentrates on detail dynamic analysis of existing cylindrical ground reservoirs. The results reflect that existing cylindrical ground reservoirs are not sufficiently adequate to withstand even minor earthquakes. This implies that they must be analyzed for earthquakes since their natural period of vibration give high response for earthquakes which could even trigger structural failure.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1	General	1
1.2	Objectives	3
1.3	Methodology	3
1.4	Main Findings	4
1.5	Arrangement of thesis	4

CHAPTER 2

Literature Review

2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Spring mass model for seismic analysis	7
2.3	Dynamic Model	9
2.4	Response spectrum concept	12
2.5	Dynamic Lateral Forces and Total Base shear	14
2.6	Moments at Base	19
2.7	Shear Transfer	21

CHAPTER 3

Existing structures & Computer Modeling

3.1	Existing structures	24
3.2	Computer modeling	24
3.2.1	Modeling for tank full, 86%fill, 65%fill, 43%fill and 22%fill Conditions	

CHAPTER 4

Analysis of structures

4.1	Analysis of 650 m ³ tank for full, 86%fill, 65%fill, 43%fill and 22%fill Conditions	26
4.1.1	Analysis for Earthquakes	

CHAPTER 5

Results

5.1	Introduction	31
5.2	Ground reservoir with different water levels of storage	31
5.3	Natural period of Vibration	34
5.3	Comments on results	35

CHAPTER 6

Conclusion & Future works

6.1	Conclusions	36
6.2	Future Works	36

References



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

37

Annex 1

Modeling and Analysis of Tank for 100% of Water filling	40
---	----

Annex 2

Modeling and Analysis of Tank for 86%, 65%, 43%, 22% of water filling	49
---	----

Annex 3

SAP Results of Computer Modeling for 100%, 86%, 65%, 43%, 22% of water filling.	69
---	----

List of Figures

Figure No 1.1	Photograph showing permanent deformation in a Water storage tank in California	2
Figure No 2.1	Flexible, Non flexible base connections	6
Figure No 2.2	Qualitative description of hydrodynamic pressure distribution on tank wall and base.	8
Figure No 2.3	Dynamic model of liquid containing tank rigidly supported On the ground	11
Figure No 2.4	Normalised response spectra	15
Figure No 2.7(a)	Hydrodynamic pressure distribution in tank walls	22
Figure No2.7(b)	Membrane shear transfer at the base of circular tanks	22
Figure No 3	Cross section of 650m ³ Ground reservoir	30
Figure No 4	SAP model for 100% tank fill condition	44
Figure No 5	Detail of wall and base	48
Figure No 06	SAP model for 22% tank fill condition	65
Figure No 07	SAP model for 43% tank fill condition	66
Figure No 08	SAP model for 65% tank fill condition	67
Figure No 09	SAP model for 86% tank fill condition	68

List of Tables

Table No 1	Acceleration coefficient for major centers	16
Table No 2	Importance Factor	16
Table No 3	Structural Response factor for non building structures	17
Table No 4	Response modification Factor	17
Table No 5	Site factors for various soil profiles	28
Table No 6	Variation of base shear with different height of water filling	31
Table No 7	Variation of base moment with different height of water filling	32
Table No 8	Natural period of vibration	34
Table No 9	Values of design concrete shear stress	50
Table No 10	Values of W_i and W_e for different water filling	53
Table No 11	Values of h_i and h_c for different water filling	54
Table No 12	Values of soil pressure and water pressure for different water filling	55
Table No 13	P_i , P_w and P_r for different water filling for soil type 1($s=1.0$)	56
Table No 14	P_c for different water filling for soil type 1($s=1.0$)	57
Table No 15	Base shear for different water filling for soil type 1($s=1.0$)	58
Table No 16	M_i for different water filling for soil type 1($s=1.0$)	59
Table No 17	Base moment for different water filling for soil type 1($s=1.0$)	60
Table No 18	P_i , P_w and P_r for different water filling for soil type 3($s=1.5$)	61
Table No 19	Base shear for different water filling for soil type 3($s=1.5$)	62
Table No 20	P_i , P_w and P_r for different water filling for soil type 1($s=1.5$)	63
Table No 21	Base moment for different water filling for soil type 1($s=1.5$)	64

List of Graphs

Graph No 1	Impulsive and convective mass factors VS D/H_L ratio	15
Graph No 2	Impulsive and convective height factors VS D/H_L ratio	20
Graph No 3	Variation of base shear with Different height of water filling	32
Graph No 4	Variation of base moment with different height of water filling	32



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

NOTATIONS

- C_c, C_i and C_t = Period-dependent seismic response coefficients defined in 9.4 and 9.5
- C_l, C_w = Coefficients for determining the fundamental frequency of the tank -liquid system refer to Eq.(9-24) and Fig.9.3.4 (b))
- D = Inside diameter of circular tank, ft (m)
- h_c = height above the base of the wall of to the centre of gravity of the case including base pressure (EBP), ft (m)
- h'_i = height above the base of the wall to the centre of gravity of the convective lateral force for the case including base pressure (EBP), ft (m)
- h_i = height above the base of the wall to the centre of gravity of the convective lateral force for the case including base pressure (EBP), ft (m)
- h'_i = height above the base of the wall to the centre of gravity of the convective lateral force for the case including base pressure (EBP), ft (m)
- h_r = height above the base of the wall to the centre of gravity of the tank roof, ft (m)
- h_w = height above the base of the wall to the centre of gravity of the tank shell, ft (m)
- H_L = Design depth of stored liquid, ft (m)
- H_w = Wall height (inside dimension), ft (m)
- I = Importance factor, from Table 4.1.1 (a)
- m = Total mass per unit width of a rectangular wall = $m_i + m_w'$ lb-s²/ft per foot of wall width (kg per meter of wall width)
- m_i = Impulsive mass of contained liquid per unit width of a rectangular tank wall, lb-s²/ft per foot of wall width (kg per meter of wall width)
- m_w = Mass per unit width of a rectangular tank wall, lb-s²/ft per foot of wall width (kg per meter of wall width)
- M_b = Bending movement on the entire tank cross section just above the base of the tank wall, ft-lb (kN-m)
- M_c = Bending movement on the entire tank cross section just above the base of the tank wall (FBP) due to the convective force P_c , ft-lb
- M'_c = Overturning movement at the base of the tank, including the tank bottom and supporting structure (IBP), due to the convective force P_c , ft-lb (kN-m)
- M_i = Bending movement on the entire tank cross section just above the base of the tank wall (FBP) due to the convective force P_c , ft-lb (kN-m)
- M'_i = Overturning movement at the base of the tank, including the tank bottom and supporting structure (IBP), due to the convective force P_c , ft-lb (kN-m)

- M_o = Overturning movement at the base of the tank, including the tank bottom and supporting structure (IBP), ft-Ib (kN-m)
- M_r = Bending movement on the entire tank cross section just above the base of the tank wall (FBP) due to the convective force P_c , ft-Ib (kN-m)
- M_w = Bending movement on the entire tank cross section just above the base of the tank wall (FBP) due to the wall inertia force P_w , ft-Ib (kN-m)
- P_c = Total lateral convective force associated with W_c , Ib (kN)
- P_i = Total lateral impulsive force associated with W_i , Ib (kN)
- P_r = Lateral inertia force of the accelerating roof W_r , Ib (kN)
- P_w = Lateral inertia force of the accelerating wall W_w , Ib (kN)
- r = Inside radius of circular tank, ft (m)
- R = Response modification factor, a numerical coefficient representing the combined effect of the structure's ductility, energy-dissipating capacity, and structural redundancy (R_c for the convective component of the accelerating liquid; R_i for the impulsive component) from Table 4.1.1 (b)
- W_c = Equivalent weight of the convective component of the stored liquid, Ib (kN)
- W_i = Equivalent weight of the impulsive component of the stored liquid, Ib (kN)
- W_L = Total equivalent weight of the stored liquid, Ib (kN)
- W_w = Equivalent weight of the tank wall (shell), Ib (kN)
- Y_c = Density of concrete, [150 lb/ft³ (kN/m³) for standard - weight concrete]
- Y_L = Density of contained liquid lb/ft³ (kN/m³)
- Y_w = Density of water, 62.43 lb/ft³ (9.807 kN/m³)
- ϵ = Effective mass coefficient (ratio of equivalent dynamic mass of the tank shell to its actual total mass), Eq (9-44) and (9-45)
- θ = Polar coordinate angle, degree
- ω_c = Circular frequency of oscillation of the first (convective) mode of sloshing, radian/s
- ω_i = Circular frequency of the impulsive mode of vibration, radian/s
- $SD1$ = Design spectral response acceleration, 5% damped, at a period of 1 second as defined in 9.4.1, expressed as a fraction of the acceleration due to gravity g
- T_w = Average wall thickness, in, (mm)
- T_c = Natural period of the first (convective) mode of sloshing, s
- T_i = Fundamental period of oscillation of the tank (plus the impulsive component of the contents), s
- T_s = $SD1 / SD5$