

**FEASIBILITY OF USING COLD FORMED STEEL
SECTIONS FOR MEDIUM SPAN PORTAL FRAMES
IN SRI LANKA**

M.A.M. Ziyath



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Degree of Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering Design

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

January 2013

Declaration

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).”

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Date

Abstract

Cold formed and thin walled steel (CFS) sectional members are used in building industry in many fields around the world. CFS sectional members are combined and used as a primary load bearing members on medium span structures. However use of combined CFS members on medium span portal frames in Sri Lanka is limited due to lack of knowledge.

This research is to design and analyze the feasibility of using back to back CFS lipped channels on industrial portal framed building. There were 16 nos. models selected with varying spans of 6m, 9m, 12m and 15m, heights 4m and 6m and bay distance 4m and 6m. The models were first analyzed with computer analysis software called PROKON and optimum sections of back to back combined CFS lipped channels were selected so that it's serviceability conditions were satisfied. Further the selected section sizes were revised until it's combined moment and compression overall buckling criteria

$\left(\frac{F}{P_c}\right) + \left(\frac{M}{M_c}\right) \leq 1.0$ is satisfied. The major feasibility was carried through cost analysis with compared to hot rolled sectional portal frames are being constructed in Sri Lanka's western region. Therefore the wind speed kept as constant of 140 km/hr (Maximum wind speed in western region). Out of 16 nos. models 14 nos. models shows cost effective ad saving on cost varied from 14% to 21.5% and an average of 12.5%. 4m bay distance is economical than 6m bay distance. 12m span is found to be the most economical span in these models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. (Mrs.) M.T.P. Hettiarachchi. Her wide knowledge and logical way of thinking have been of great value for me. Her patience, understanding, encouraging and personal guidance have provided a good basis for the present thesis as well as in my past undergraduate research done on “use of tapered steel sections in pitched portal frames”.

I am also grateful to the course coordinator Dr. (Mrs.) D. Nanayakkara, research coordinator Dr. K. Baskaran, teachers and head of Department of Civil Engineering at University of Moratuwa for all kind of support and help.

I wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to Eng. M.A.R.M. Abdul Jabbar for his valuable advices and friendly help. The extensive discussions around my work and often very useful insights have been of great value in this research.

My warm thanks are due to Mr. Sanjeev Jayasinghe and Mr. Asela Perera who are pioneers in steel construction in Sri Lanka for their encouragement to choose this subject, giving opportunity to express my knowledge in various types of steel structures in Sri Lanka and explore the structural steel world.

My sincere thanks are due to Eng. Ravindra Meewaddana for his support in comparing with existing hot rolled steel frames and enable this research to end up with efficient results.


Special thanks are due to my wife Fouzana Ziyath for the support and encouragement provided over the last three years since I first set my foot in M.Eng, Structural Design. I did not think it would end up here, but you surely did.

I wish to express my gratitude to all those people who have not been mentioned but helped in the realization of the thesis in various ways.

Contents

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Contents	iv
Notations	viii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
Chapter 1	
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Scope of work	3
1.3. Methodology	4
Chapter 2	
2.0 Field Survey	6
2.1.1. CFS members in Sri Lanka	6
2.1.2 Shapes and sizes of CFS	9
2.1.3 Available sizes of lipped channels in Sri Lanka	10
2.1.4 Materials in CFS	11



2.1.5 Combined and back to back members in CFS	12
2.2 Case Studies	12
2.2.1 Introduction	12
2.2.2 Objective of the case study	13
2.2.3 Case study-1	13
2.2.3.1 Cost analysis	14
2.2.3.2 Technical review	15
2.2.3.3 Conclusion and recommendations	18
2.2.4 Case study-2	19
2.2.4.1 Conclusion and recommendations	23
Chapter 3	
	
3.0 Literature Review	24
3.1. Main Characteristics of Cold-formed Members	24
3.2. Mode of Design Failures of CFS Sections	25
3.2.1. Lateral Buckling (Lateral-torsional Buckling)	26
3.2.2 Distortional Buckling	26
3.2.3 Flexural Torsional Buckling	27
3.2.4 Local Buckling	27
3.3 Other Investigation Projects on CFS Sections as Primary Structural Members	28

3.4 Applicable standards and design methods	30
3.5. Back to back design rules for CFS	31
Chapter 4	
3.0 Modeling and Analysis of CFS portal frames	32
4.1 Determination of roof loads	32
4.2 Determination of roof design loads	32
4.3 Determination of wind forces	33
4.4. Tabulation of results	40
4.4.1 Member sizes and deflections	40
4.5 Wind bracing design	46
Chapter 5	
5.0 Results	48
5.1. Cost variation of CFS frames	48
5.2. Cost variation of hot rolled frames and comparison with CFS	49
Chapter 6	
6.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	57
References	59
Appendix A Costing of CFS frames	62
Appendix B Costing of HRS frames	78
Appendix C	94



C.1. Specimen calculation for section properties and design	
strength of back to back CFS lipped channel	94
C.2 Specimen calculation for bending design	96
C.3. Specimen calculation for compression design	97



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

NOTATIONS

f_{yb}	- Yield strength of cold formed steel coils
f_u	- Tensile strength of cold formed steel coils
s	- Spacing between two interconnections
r_{cy}	- Radius of gyration of one channel
F_s	- Shear force on interconnections
Q	- 2.5% of design axial force plus any load due to self weight or wind load
L_E	- Effective length of compound member
r_1	- Radius of gyration of compound section about the axis parallel to web
G_k	- Dead load
Q_k	- Live load
W_k	- Wind Load
W	- Design load on frames
V	- Wind Speed
V_s	- Design Wind Speed
S_1	- Topography Factor
S_2	- Ground roughness and building size factor
S_3	- Statistical concept factor
q	- Wind pressure
I_x, I_y	- Second moment of area of single cross section about x and y axes respectively
I_{xx}, I_{yy}	- Second moment of area of combined cross section about x and y axes respectively
Z_x, Z_y	- Elastic modulus of single cross section about x and y axes respectively
Z_{xx}, Z_{yy}	- Elastic modulus of combined cross section about x and y axes respectively
Z_c	- Compression modulus of section in bending
\underline{x}	- Distance from the shear centre to centroid of the combined half section measured along axis of symmetry

r_x, r_y	- Radii of gyration of single section about the x and y axes respectively
r_{xx}, r_{yy}	- Radii of gyration of combined section about the x and y axes respectively
r_{cy}	- Radius of gyration of a channel about its centroidal axis parallel to the web
e	- Distance between a load and a reaction
L_E	- Effective length of a member
Y_s	- Nominal yield strength of steel
Y_{sa}	- Average yield strength of a cold formed section
Y_{sac}	- Modified average Yield Strength
N	- No. of full 90 bends with radius $< 5t$
p_y	- Design Strength of steel
U_s	- Nominal ultimate tensile strength of steel
p_0	- Limiting compressive stress in a flat web
D_w	- Equivalent depth of a stiffened web
M_c	- Moment capacity of a cross-section
P_v	- Shear capacity or shear buckling resistance of a member
M_E	- Elastic lateral buckling moment of a beam
E	- Modulus of elasticity of steel
D	- Overall web depth
C_b	- Coefficient defining the variation of moments on a beam
t	- Net material thickness
M_Y	- Yield moment of a section
\cap	- Perry coefficient
p_{cr}	- Local buckling stress of an element
P_{cs}	- Short strut capacity
P_E	- Elastic flexural buckling load (Euler load) for a column
A	- Cross sectional area of section
A_{eff}	- Effective area
x_o	- Distance from the shear centre to the centroid of a section measured along the x axis of symmetry

r_o	- Polar radius of gyration of a section about the shear centre
β	- Ratio of end moments in a beam or Constant
P_{EX}, P_{EY}	- Elastic flexural buckling load (Euler load) for a column about x and y axes respectively
C_W	- Warping constant of a section
d	- Flat depth of a section
b_L	- Lipped height
H_p	- Heated perimeter



List of Tables

Table 2.1 Available Sizes of Lipped Channels in Sri Lanka	10
Table 2.2 Materials used for cold form operation in Sri Lanka	11
Table 2.3 Costing of proposed factory building for Roofmart (pvt) ltd.	15
Table 4.1 Summary of wind forces	35
Table 4.2 PROKON input coordinates	37
Table 4.3 Member Forces from analysis models	40
Table 4.4 Design capacity calculation summary	41
Table 4.5 Moment and Compression Capacity of Columns with Overall Buckling Criteria	43
Table 4.6 Moment and Compression Capacity of Rafters with Overall Buckling Criteria	44
Table 4.7 Optimum Member sizes and deflection for bay distance = 4m	45
Table 4.8 Optimum Member sizes and deflection for bay distance = 6m	45
Table 5.1 Cost variation of CFS Frames	48
Table 5.2 Frame sizes of Hot rolled Portal frames for bay Distance 4m	50
Table 5.3 Frame sizes of Hot rolled Portal frames for bay Distance 6m	50
Table 5.4 Cost variation of Hot Rolled Frames	51
Table 5.5 Cost comparisons of HRS & CFS Frames	52

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Galvanized steel cold formed raw steel coils	7
Figure 2.2 Mild steel cold formed raw steel coils	7
Figure 2.3 Typical cold formers	8
Figure 2.4 Cold former in Sri Lanka	8
Figure 2.5 Basic shapes of CFS sections available in Sri Lanka	9
Figure 2.6 Cold formed lipped channels in Sri Lanka	9
Figure 2.7 Basic shapes of combined thin walled sections	12
Figure 2.8 A factory building constructed by CFS section in Sri Lanka	14
Figure 2.9 Proposed eave connections	16
Figure 2.10 Proposed ridge connections	16
Figure 2.11 Section X-X (Typical member)	16
Figure 2.12 Modified eave connections with additional props	17
Figure 2.13 Modified ridge connections with additional haunch	18
Figure 2.14 Proposed eave connections for research model	19
Figure 2.15 Proposed ridge connections for research model	19
Figure 2.16 Proposed mezzanine floor layouts	20
Figure 2.17 Proposed mezzanine decks	21
Figure 2.18 Lateral failures of lipped channels	22
Figure 2.19 Lateral restrains to floor joist	23
Figure 3.1 Lateral buckling failure modes	26
Figure 3.2 Lateral distortional buckling failure modes	26
Figure 3.3 Flexural torsional buckling failure modes	27
Figure 3.4 Local buckling failure modes	27
Figure 4.1 Wind force coefficients	34

Figure 4.2 Deflected Shape of Analysis model for span=12m, Height=3m and Bay Distance=4m	38
Figure 4.3 Maximum Moment on Column for Load Combination-3	38
Figure 4.4: Maximum Moment on Rafters for Load Combination-1	39
Figure 4.5 Wind bracing arrangement	46
Figure 5.1 Graphical Representation of Cost variation of CFS frames	49
Figure 5.2 Cost comparisons of frames for 3m height	53
Figure 5.3 Cost comparisons of frames for 6m height	53
Figure 5.4 Moment variation on columns for 3m height	54
Figure 5.5 Moment variation on columns for 6m height	55
Figure 5.6 Moment variation on rafters for 3m height	55
Figure 5.7 Moment variation on rafters for 6m height	55
Figure 5.8 Utilization of steel per unit building area	56