

**MODELLING OF RENEWABLE ENERGY BASED
EMBEDDED GENERATION IN LONG TERM
PLANNING**

A SRI LANKA CASE STUDY

A dissertation submitted to the
Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa
in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Science



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

NUWAN ANURADHA MUDANNAYAKE

Supervised by:

Prof. J. Rohan Lucas

Dr. Tilak Siyambalapitiya

Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

February 2011

96807



96807

621.3 "11"

621.3(043)

Declaration

The work submitted in this dissertation is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

It has not already been accepted for any degree, and it also not being concurrently submitted for any other degree.

UOM Verified Signature

.....

N. A. Mudannayake

Date: 03/02/2011.....



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

We/I endorse the declaration by the candidate.

UOM Verified Signature

.....

Prof. J.R. Lucas 07/02/2011

UOM Verified Signature

.....

Dr. T. Siyambalapitiya

Contents

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Declaration | i |
| Contents | ii |
| Abstract | iv |
| Acknowledgement | v |
| List of Figures | vi |
| List of Tables | viii |
| List of Annexes | ix |
| Abbreviations | x |
| 1.0 Background and Scope | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Objectives | 3 |
| 1.3 Methodology..... | 4 |
| 1.4 Literature Survey | 4 |
| 1.5 Constraints Identified in NCRE Modelling..... | 6 |
| 2.0 Mini Hydro Model | 8 |
| 2.1 Mini Hydro Development..... | 8 |
| 2.2 Data Collection and Processing | 8 |
| 2.3 Predictability..... | 13 |
| 2.4 Adaptability of the Model in WASP | 14 |
| 3.0 Wind Plant Model | 15 |
| 3.1 Wind Power Development..... | 15 |
| 3.2 Hambantota Pilot Wind Project..... | 15 |
| 3.3 Preliminary Study | 17 |
| 3.4 Data Analysis..... | 18 |
| 3.5 Modelling Techniques | 21 |
| 3.6 Modified LDC method | 21 |
| 3.7 ROR Type Hydro Equivalent Wind Model | 24 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----------|
| 3.8 | Model Selection..... | 25 |
| 3.9 | Biomass Plant Model..... | 26 |
| 3.9.1 | Background and Input Data..... | 26 |
| 4.0 | Model Compatibility in Long Term Generation Expansion Studies..... | 28 |
| 4.1 | Software Tool WASP..... | 28 |
| 4.2 | System Demand Data..... | 29 |
| 4.3 | Existing and Committed Plants..... | 30 |
| 4.4 | Candidate Plants..... | 33 |
| 4.5 | Other Input Data Used for the WASP Studies..... | 35 |
| 4.6 | Scenario Studies..... | 36 |
| 4.7 | Energy Policy Scenario..... | 37 |
| 4.7.1 | Study Results..... | 39 |
| 4.8 | NCRE Trend Scenario..... | 40 |
| 4.8.1 | Study Results..... | 41 |
| 4.9 | Restricted Least Cost Expansion Scenario..... | 41 |
| 4.9.1 | Study Results..... | 42 |
| 4.10 | Post Results Analysis..... | 43 |
| 4.10.1 | Breakeven Capital Cost..... | 43 |
| 4.10.2 | Levelised Cost of Generation..... | 43 |
| 4.10.3 | Levelised CO ₂ Emission..... | 44 |
| 4.10.4 | Avoided Cost of Generation..... | 44 |
| 5.0 | Conclusion and Recommendations..... | 46 |
| 5.1 | Conclusions..... | 46 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations..... | 47 |
| | References..... | 49 |
| | Annexes..... | 50 |

Abstract

The share of Non-Conventional Renewable Energy (NCRE) penetration in Sri Lanka has been increased to a significant level in the recent past. As at 31st of August 2010, around 215 MW of NCRE based power plants were connected to the Sri Lanka national grid. This accounts to 6% of total energy and 7.8% percent of total capacity of the system. According to the Energy Policy – 2006, the government’s endeavour is to reach 10% of total energy in the national grid from NCRE by 2015. As a result, modelling and studying of NCRE is vital especially in generation planning. Though the present practice of using lumped NCRE thermal equivalent model is simple, it can cause a lot of inaccuracies owing to the volatile nature of wind and hydro sectors.

The issue of non-availability of suitable NCRE models was addressed by this research and separate NCRE models were prepared for each of the three major types of NCRE technologies; mini hydro, wind and biomass power plants, in Sri Lanka. Each NCRE technology was separately treated and modelled considering the seasonal variation of energy availability. Models were tested in generation planning software, Wien Automatic System Planning (WASP) to ascertain the accurate functioning of models. The mini hydro model prepared has five stage probabilistic distributions on a monthly basis. Past generation data of about 74 existing plants were used to validate the model. Predictability and adaptability of the model with WASP were tested and accurate dispatch of mini hydro power plants by the model was confirmed. Due to unavailability of reliable and continuous long term historic generation data, the wind model was prepared on measured wind speed data. After refinement of data, two modelling approaches were initially considered namely, a Run-of-River (ROR) type hydro equivalent wind model and the Modified Load Duration Curve method. Based on the results of error vs commitment analysis, a five-stage probabilistic ROR type hydro equivalent wind model was selected as the best fitted model for the study. Biomass power plants were modelled as a conventional thermal equivalent plant.

NCRE models prepared were then used for three scenario studies to examine their adaptability in both committed and least cost basis. Various methods to interpret results of scenarios were conducted to verify that there is no curtailment of results due to the new NCRE models. The breakeven cost and avoided cost of generation of each NCRE technology were also calculated.

Acknowledgement

I regard myself as having being extremely fortunate to gain the influence of my project supervisors, Professor Rohan Lucas and Dr. Tilak Siyambalapitiya who have supported me by stimulating suggestions and encouraging throughout my thesis with their patience and knowledge. Also my thanks should go to the academic staff of the Department of Electrical Engineering of University of Moratuwa, and members of the presentation evaluation panel for progress reviews who guided me with constructive and valuable suggestions & comments.

I express my deepest gratitude to Mr. Sunith Fernando who taught me the basic concepts of wind power generation and his kind generosity to allow me to interrupt him at any time for clarifications. Also I must be thankful to Mr. Ajith Alwis the Director (Economic Affairs) of Sustainable Energy Authority and to Mr. Noel Priyantha, Chief Engineer, Energy Purchases Branch of CEB for providing me all the necessary information and data for this research.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

I express my utmost gratitude and appreciation to my parents for their understanding, commitment, motivation and patience during this endeavour.

Lastly, I would like to extend my gratitude for those not mentioned by their names but uplifted by encouraging me with full confidence. Their close and constant association helped me to bring out this project in its present form.

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: NCRE Project Development from 1996 to 31/08/2010..... | 2 |
| Figure 2: Sample Variation in Generation of Pattern-1(1998 to 2009)..... | 9 |
| Figure 3: Sample Variation in Generation of Pattern-2 (2001 to 2009)..... | 9 |
| Figure 4: Sample Variation in Generation of Pattern-3 (2001 to 2009)..... | 10 |
| Figure 5: Variation of Energy and the Average Capacity | 12 |
| Figure 6: Concept of Energy Addition on Top of the Previous Year Account | 13 |
| Figure 7: Model Error Compared to Actual Figures | 13 |
| Figure 8: Monthly Plant Factor Variation of Hambantota Wind Power Plant | 16 |
| Figure 9: Annual Plant Factor Variation of Hambantota Wind Power Plant | 17 |
| Figure 10: Simulated Wind Power Generation Data at Narakkaliya..... | 22 |
| Figure 11: Different Load Duration Curves Generated by 2009 System Demand | 23 |
| Figure 12: Variation of ROR hydro equivalent wind model and MLDC model..... | 25 |
| | |
| Figure D4- 1: Variation of Installed Capacity and Peak Demand (Scenario-1)..... | 68 |
| Figure D4- 2: Share of Capacity of Different Technologies (Scenario-1) | 69 |
| Figure D4- 3: Capacity Share of Major Resources (Scenario-1)..... | 69 |
| Figure D4- 4: Renewable Capacity Penetration (Scenario-1) | 70 |
| Figure D4- 5: Energy from Different Technologies (Scenario-1)..... | 71 |
| Figure D4- 6: Share of Energy from Different Technologies (Scenario-1) | 71 |
| Figure D4- 7: Energy Share of Major Resources (Scenario-1) | 72 |
| Figure D4- 8: Renewable Capacity Penetration (Scenario-1) | 72 |
| Figure D4- 9: Energy Diversification (Scenario-1)..... | 73 |
| Figure D4- 10: Capacity Diversification (Scenario-1) | 73 |
| Figure D4- 11: Expected Fuel Requirement for the Planning Period (Scenario-1)..... | 74 |
| Figure D4- 12: Variation of Reserve Margin and LOLP (Scenario-1)..... | 74 |
| Figure D4- 13: Expected Emission Levels of CO ₂ and Particulate (Scenario-1) | 75 |
| Figure D4- 14: Per Capita Emission and Rate of Increment (Scenario-1) | 75 |
| Figure D4- 15: Investment Requirement (with IDC) 2011 – 2025 (Scenario-1) | 76 |
| | |
| Figure E4- 1: Variation of Installed Capacity and Peak Demand (Scenario-2) | 82 |
| Figure E4- 2: Share of Capacity Different Technologies (Scenario-2) | 83 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure E4- 3: Capacity Share of Major Resources (Scenario-2)..... | 83 |
| Figure E4- 4: Renewable Capacity Penetration (Scenario-2)..... | 84 |
| Figure E4- 5: Energy Generation from Different Technologies (Scenario-2)..... | 84 |
| Figure E4- 6: Share of Energy from Different Technologies (Scenario-2)..... | 85 |
| Figure E4- 7: Energy Share of Major Resources (Scenario-2)..... | 85 |
| Figure E4- 8: Renewable Capacity Penetration (Scenario-2)..... | 86 |
| Figure E4- 9: Energy Diversification (Scenario-2) | 86 |
| Figure E4- 10: Capacity Diversification (Scenario-2)..... | 87 |
| Figure E4- 11: Expected Fuel Requirement for the Planning Period (Scenario-2)..... | 87 |
| Figure E4- 12: Variation of Reserve Margin and LOLP (Scenario-2) | 88 |
| Figure E4- 13: Expected Emission Levels of CO2 and Particulate (Scenario-2)..... | 88 |
| Figure E4- 14: Per Capita Emission and Rate of Increment (Scenario-2)..... | 89 |
| Figure E4- 15: Investment Requirement (with IDC) 2011 – 2025 (Scenario-2)..... | 89 |
| | |
| Figure F4- 1: Variation of Installed Capacity and Peak Demand (Scenario-3)..... | 95 |
| Figure F4- 2: Share of Capacity Different Technologies (Scenario-3) | 96 |
| Figure F4- 3: Capacity Share of Major Resources (Scenario-3)..... | 96 |
| Figure F4- 4: Renewable Capacity Penetration (Scenario-3)..... | 97 |
| Figure F4- 5: Energy Generation from Different Technologies (Scenario-3)..... | 97 |
| Figure F4- 6: Share of Energy from Different Technologies (Scenario-3) | 98 |
| Figure F4- 7: Energy Share of Major Resources (Scenario-3)..... | 98 |
| Figure F4- 8: Renewable Capacity Penetration (Scenario-3)..... | 99 |
| Figure F4- 9: Energy Diversification (Scenario-3)..... | 99 |
| Figure F4- 10: Capacity Diversification (Scenario-3)..... | 100 |
| Figure F4- 11: Expected Fuel Requirement for the Planning Period (Scenario-3) ... | 100 |
| Figure F4- 12: Variation of Reserve Margin and LOLP (Scenario-3) | 101 |
| Figure F4- 13: Expected Emission Levels of CO2 and Particulate (Scenario-3)..... | 101 |
| Figure F4- 14: Per Capita Emission and Rate of Increment (Scenario-3)..... | 102 |
| Figure F4- 15: Investment Required (with IDC) 2011 – 2025 (Scenario-3)..... | 102 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1: NCRE Project Development | 2 |
| Table 2: Technical Wind Electric Potential (on land only) by Province..... | 5 |
| Table 3: Hydrological Conditions and Respective Weighting Indexes..... | 11 |
| Table 4: Monthly Plant Factor Variation of Hambantota Pilot Wind Project..... | 16 |
| Table 5: Key Characteristics of Wind Data Used for the Analysis..... | 18 |
| Table 6: Correction Factors Used for the Analysis | 21 |
| Table 7: Five Wind Conditions and Respective Weighing Factors..... | 24 |
| Table 8: Details of 2 x 10 MW Wind Generations..... | 25 |
| Table 9: Technical Details Used for Biomass Plant Model..... | 27 |
| Table 10: Economic Details Used for biomass Plant Model..... | 27 |
| Table 11: Forecasted Load Factor Variation | 29 |
| Table 12: Major Dispatchable Hydro Potential..... | 31 |
| Table 13: Details of Committed Hydro Plants | 31 |
| Table 14: Details of Existing Thermal Plant Data (CEB and IPP)..... | 32 |
| Table 15: Details of Committed Thermal Plants..... | 33 |
| Table 16: Candidate Thermal Plant..... | 33 |
| Table 17: Candidate Hydro Plants..... | 34 |
| Table 18: Details Used for the Pump Storage Plants..... | 35 |
| Table 19: Key Information Pertaining to Demand Forecast..... | 37 |
| Table 20: Summary of NCRE Development Potential as at 31st of August 2010..... | 38 |
| Table 21: NCRE Penetration Used for Scenario_1 | 39 |
| Table 22: NCRE Penetration Used for Scenario_2 | 40 |
| Table 23: Least Cost NCRE Penetration Obtained from Scenario_3..... | 42 |
| Table 24: Breakeven Costs for Three NCRE Options..... | 43 |
| Table 25: Calculated Levelised Cost for Each Scenario | 44 |
| Table 26: Calculated Levelised CO2 Emission for Each Scenario | 44 |
| Table 27: Avoided Cost of Generation of Each NCRE Category (Scenario – 3)..... | 45 |

List of Annexes

| | |
|---|----|
| Annex A1: Status of Projects as at 31st of August 2010..... | 50 |
| Annex B1: Mini Hydro Plant List | 51 |
| Annex B2: Mini Hydro Model Output | 52 |
| Annex C1: NREL Wind Resource Map | 53 |
| Annex C2: Results of Analysed Wind Data | 54 |
| Annex C3: List of Wind Turbines | 58 |
| Annex C4: Load Factor Variations..... | 59 |
| Annex C5: Wind Model Output | 60 |
| Annex C6: Flow Chart of the Wind Power Model..... | 61 |
| Annex D1: Scenario_ 1 Dispatch Schedule (2011 – 2030)..... | 63 |
| Annex D2: Capacity Balance of Scenario – 1 | 64 |
| Annex D3: Energy Balance of Scenario – 1 | 66 |
| Annex D4: Study Result for Scenario - 1 | 68 |
| Annex E1: Scenario_ 2 Dispatch Schedule (2011 – 2030)..... | 77 |
| Annex E2: Capacity Balance of Scenario - 2 | 78 |
| Annex E3: Energy Balance of Scenario - 2 | 80 |
| Annex E4: Study Results for Scenario - 2 | 82 |
| Annex F1: Scenario_ 3 Dispatch Schedule (2011 – 2030)..... | 90 |
| Annex F2: Capacity Balance of Scenario - 3 | 91 |
| Annex F3: Energy Balance of Scenario - 3 | 93 |
| Annex F4: Study Results for Scenario – 3 | 95 |



Abbreviations

| | |
|--------|--|
| ADCF | Air Density Correction Factor |
| CEB | Ceylon Electricity Board |
| ENS | Energy Not Served |
| FOR | Forced Outage Rate |
| GIS | Geographical Information System |
| GP & D | Generation Planning & Design |
| IPP | Individual Power Producers |
| IAEA | International Atomic Energy Authority |
| LTGEP | Long Term Generation Expansion Plan |
| LTL | Lanka Transformers Limited |
| LDC | Load Duration Curve |
| LOI | Letter of Intent |
| LOLP | Loss of Load Probability |
| MLDC | Modified Load Duration Curve |
| NCRE | Non Conventional Renewable Energy |
| NEP | National Energy Policy |
| NCRP | Non Conventional Renewable Projects |
| NREL | National Renewable Energy Laboratory |
| PPI | Private Power Investments |
| PU | Per Unit |
| ROR | Run of River |
| SPPA | Standardised Power Purchase Agreement |
| SEA | Sustainable Energy Authority |
| SPP | Small Power Producer |
| WASP | Wein Automatic System Planning (Package) |



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk