

Duplicate

LB/2001/99/c2/Dept. of Arch. UEM

Wholeness in Architecture

An examination of the Concept and a Methodology for City Design



Dissertation presented to the faculty of Architecture
University of Moratuwa
for
the Final Examination of
M.Sc.(Architecture)
2001.

72 "01"

711.4 : 72.01

TH

76187

University of Moratuwa



J.P.N.Sirichandra



76187

Acknowledgment

This study owes much possibly its existence, to the assistance and guidance given by all of the following to whom I wish to extend my heart felt gratitude.

Acht. Madura Prematilleke, Senior Lecturer of Department of Architecture, University of Moratuwa for his guidance.

Dr. L.S.R.Perera Senior Lecturer of Department of Architecture, University of Moratuwa for encouraging me throughout the study.

Acht. Vidura Sri Nammuni Senior Lecturer of Department of Architecture, University of Moratuwa for his valuable comments and thoughts.

Acht. Ravin Guneratne Senior Lecturer of Department of Architecture, University of Moratuwa for his kind assistance and guidance.

Acht. Ms. Nadeera Yapa for her comments at the initial stage of this study.

Acht. C.J.de Saram for his valuable comment at the initial stage of this study.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Acht. D.B.Nawaratne for his views, guidance and comments on the synopsis.



Acht. J.N.Munasinghe for his thoughts and comments on the synopsis.

Inspector of Police Mr. Ruwan Kumara for accompanying me to take all necessary photographs.

Mr. Justin Perera, Mr. Pathirana, Mr. Karunaratne, Mr. Noel Perera, of Nawam Mawatha for their kind help assistance and encouragement to get access to all the buildings and for giving necessary data required for the study.

Mrs. Vinitha Munasinghe for type setting and language corrections without considering her busy schedules.

Arjuna and Shanila for their kind help in allowing me to use their computer and helping me to get printouts.

Nilu and Diluka for their assistance for allowing me to use their computer and to get the printouts.

Mr. Nihal Rajakaruna and family for their kind generous assistance given me throughout my studies.

Mr. Sarathchandra Rajakaruna and family for their generous support.

Mr. K.D.G. Kulatunga and family for their kind help

My Parents and sister for their continuous encouragement and assistance.

My friends Laky, Nishantha, Rocky, Sanjeewa, Gishan, Peiris, Madu, Iresh and Nadie for their support in order to make the dissertation a success.



Wholeness in Architecture: An examination of the Concept and a Methodology for City Design

Contents

	page
Acknowledgements	i
Contents	iii
List of illustrations	iv
Introduction	1
Chapter One - CONCEPT OF WHOLENESS	7
1.1 Growth as a whole	8
1.2 Essential features of growing whole	10
1.2.1 The piecemeal growth of the cities	10
1.2.2 The unpredictable quality of the whole cities	12
1.2.3 Ability to evoke feelings	12
1.2.4 Coherence in the built fabric	13
1.2.4.1 Factors of coherence	16
Chapter Two - A METHODOLOGY TO CREATE WHOLENES IN THE URBAN CONTEXT	21
2.1 The overriding rule of making wholeness	24
2.2 Piecemeal growth	26
2.3 Growth of large wholes	29
2.4 Visions	31
2.5 Positive Urban Space	33
2.6 Layout of large buildings	36
2.7 Construction	41
2.8 Formation of centers	44
2.9 Structure preserving transformations	45
2.10 Fundamental properties of centers	46
2.11 Sequences	53
2.12 The fundamental process	53
Chapter Three - CASE STUDY -Nawam Mawatha	55
Conclusion	81
Bibliography	84

List of illustration

Figure No.		Page
01	Amsterdam, Later medieval town	8
02	Growth as whole	8
03	Nature gives best wholes	9
04	Florence, Cities show organicness	9
05	Mount st. Michel, city is a single entity	10
06	Sienna, Piecemeal Growth	10
07	Growth of a Hill Town	11
08	Cellular character of early medieval towns	12
09	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	12
10	St. Marks Square Venice	12
11	St. Marks Square Venice	13
12	St. Marks Square Venice	13
13	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	13
14	St. Marks Square Venice	13
15	St. Marks Square Venice	14
16	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	14
17	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	14
18	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	14
19	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	15
20	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	15
21	Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	15
22	Similarity of details	16
23	The law of proximity	16
24	Grouping by visual sizes	16
25	Role of proximity and distance	16
26	Enclosure wins over proximity	16
27	Law of proximity	17
28	A medieval street	17
29	Grouping by common enclosure	17
30	Groups by regularity and irregularity	18
31	Heirarchy by regularity	18
32	Angle or triangle	19
33	Symmetry, Piazza del Campidoglio Rome	19
34	Symmetry is powerful than similarity	20
35	Coherent unity through symmetry	20
36	A reasonable mix, Medieval Oxford	27
37	Reasonable mixture of sizes	28
38	Reasonable mixture of functions	29

39	The growth of larger wholes, St. Marks Venice	30
40	Hint of a larger whole	30
41	Pinpointing the larger whole	31
42	Completion of the larger whole	31
43	Vision of Barron Houssmann, paris	32
44	Vision of devendra Mulachari , Kandy	33
45	public spaces defined by buildings	34
46	Pedestrian space shaped by the buildings, Rome	34
47	Continuation by the walls	35
48	Space between buildings formed in to internal gardens	35
49	Roads are decided by buildings	36
50	The internal wholeness extends towards external	37
51	making wholeness in individual buildings (steps a & b)	37
52	making wholeness in individual buildings (step d)	38
53	making wholeness in individual buildings (step h)	38
54	making wholeness in individual buildings (steps i & j)	38
55	making wholeness in individual buildings (step l)	39
56	making wholeness in individual buildings (step m)	39
57	making wholeness in individual buildings (step n)	39
58	making wholeness in individual buildings (step o)	40
59	making wholeness in individual buildings (steps p,q,r,s)	40
60	making wholeness in individual buildings (steps p,q,r,s)	40
61	making wholeness in individual buildings (steps t,u,v,w)	41
62	making wholeness in individual buildings (steps t,u,v,w)	41
63	Configuration of Structural bays	42
64	Primary structure	43
65	Secondary structure	43
66	Coherence in the structure	43
67	variation among floors	44
68	variation among floors	44
69	Overlapping centers	45
70	View towards the lake from NDB	56
71	Key layout	57
72	1 st phase building NDB	58
73	1 st phase buildings Chamber of Commerce, IBM & NDB	59
74	2 nd phase building building for car parking	59
75	3 rd phase building Green Lanka Tower	59
76	3 rd phase building Green Lanka Tower	60
77	Paking	61

78	ATM banking	61
79	The cafeteria	62
80	surprising larger wholes	63
81	Central space from the NDB	64
82	Central feeling by the distance	64
83	The process of growth	65-67
84	the cafe	68
85	activities identified by instinct	68
86	combination of the road and the entrance	69
87	pedestrian space obstructed by stell fence	69
88	attractive pedestrian space	69
89	buildings shape exterior path Chamber of commerce	70
90	variety in the pedestrian path improves wholeness	70
91	badly designed pedestrian space	71
92	Violation of the pedestrian space by vehicles	71
93	pedestrian space encroached by vehicles	71
94	buildings for parking	72
95	The most attractive space is not for the people but for cars	72
96	NDB and DHPL by the same architectural firm	73
97	The form represents the function	73
98	Difference of the ground floor to support the public functions	73
99	response to the lake	74
100	rear entrance to the central open area	74
101	weak combination with the neighbour	75
102	functional combination with the neighbour	75
103	The ground floor NDB	76
104	The 2nd Fload NDB	76
105	Auditorium Floor NDB	76
106	Roof terrace NDB	77
107	less impressive facade Green Lanka Tower	78
108	some efforts to understand super bays - DHPL	78
109	Superbays are highlighted - DHPL	79
110	prominent primary structure - Forbes and Walkers	79
111	weaknesses in appreciation of the structure	79
112	field effect around the buildings	80
113	Positive way of utilising field effect in making centers	80
114	Negative way of utilising field effect in making centers	80

